

AENC-ARC-ENV-REP-0104

# Norwich to Tilbury

## Volume 6: Environmental Statement

Document: 6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic  
Environment Assessment Tables - Clean Version

Final Issue B

January 2026

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020027

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure)  
Regulations 2009 Regulation 5(2)(a)

**nationalgrid**

# Revision History

Version	Date	Submitted at
A	29 August 2025	DCO Application
B	30 January 2026	Providing supplementary environmental information in response to advice provided under section 51 of the Planning Act 2008 by the Planning Inspectorate.

# Contents

---

<b>11.</b>	<b>Historic Environment Assessment Tables</b>	<b>1</b>
11.1	Introduction	1
11.2	Construction Effects	3
11.3	Operation (and Maintenance) Effects	1004

---

Table A11.2.1	Significance of effects matrix	1
Table A11.2.2	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section A	3
Table A11.2.2	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section B	33
Table A11.2.3	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section C	99
Table A11.2.4	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section D	151
Table A11.2.5	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section E	198
Table A11.2.6	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section F	242
Table A11.2.7	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section G	291
Table A11.2.8	Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section H	317
Table A11.2.9	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section A	334
Table A11.2.10	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section B	446
Table A11.2.11	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section C	653
Table A11.2.12	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section D	744
Table A11.2.13	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section E	802
Table A11.2.14	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section F	868
Table A11.2.15	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section G	927
Table A11.2.16	Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section H	966
Table A11.2.17	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section A	1004
Table A11.2.18	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section B	1029
Table A11.2.19	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section C	1074
Table A11.2.20	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section D	1105
Table A11.2.21	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section E	1134
Table A11.2.22	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section F	1163
Table A11.2.23	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section G	1198
Table A11.2.24	Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section H	1218
Table A11.2.25	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section A	1231
Table A11.2.26	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section B	1343
Table A11.2.27	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section C	1464
Table A11.2.28	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section D	1537
Table A11.2.29	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section E	1581
Table A11.2.30	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section F	1677
Table A11.2.31	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section G	1721
Table A11.2.32	Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section H	1748

---

Abbreviations	1775
Glossary	1776
Bibliography	1779

# 11. Historic Environment Assessment Tables

## 11.1 Introduction

### Overview

- 11.1.1 This appendix has been produced to support Chapter 11: Historic Environment (document reference 6.11) of the Environment Statement (ES) (Volume 6 of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application) for Norwich to Tilbury (the 'Project'). It sets out the results of the assessment conducted as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), outlining the effects on:
- Designated and Non-designated Heritage Assets during construction
  - Designated and Non-designated Heritage Assets during operation (and maintenance).
- 11.1.2 The following table illustrates how the significance of effect is determined. It is the same as included in Chapter 11: Historic Environment (document reference 6.11) and is included here for ease of reference.

Table A11.2.1 Significance of effects matrix

		Value of Heritage Asset				
		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Negligible
Magnitude of impact	High	Major	Major	Major to moderate	Moderate to Minor	Minor
	Medium	Major to Moderate	Major to Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible
	Low	Major to Moderate	Moderate to Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Neutral
	No Change	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral

- 11.1.3 The ID numbers included in the tables below for designated assets refer to the National Heritage List Entry number. The ID numbers included for non-designated heritage assets are Project ID numbers, which are used throughout Chapter 11: Historic Environment (document reference 6.11), and all supporting appendices and figures. The values attributed to heritage assets below are described in Appendix 11.1: Historic Environment Baseline Report (document reference 6.11.A1). The

effects listed below are reported in relation to the section of the Project in which the heritage assets are located, as per the below Project Sections:

- Section A – South Norfolk Council
- Section B – Mid-Suffolk District Council
- Section C – Babergh District Council, Colchester City Council and Tendring District Council
- Section D – Colchester City Council
- Section E – Braintree District Council
- Section F – Chelmsford City Council and Brentwood Borough Council
- Section G – Basildon Borough Council and Brentwood Borough Council (and part of Chelmsford City Council)
- Section H – Thurrock Council.

11.1.4 Further information in relation to the Project during construction and operation (and maintenance) is detailed within Chapter 4: Project Description (document reference 6.4).

## 11.2 Construction Effects

### Designated Heritage Assets

#### Section A

Table A11.2.2 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section A

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains (1021463)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (1021463) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a sustainable drainage (SuDS) basin location and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline Code of Construction Practice Outline (CoCP) (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<p>The value of the scheduled monument is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of All Saints (1178820)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of All Saints' (1178820) is located within the Tacolneston conservation area (CA19).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the presence of construction works for pylons RG31-34, a pulling location associated with pylon RG33, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, up to 550 m and further to the south-east through to the north-east of the asset, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of All Saints is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of All Saints (1049992)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Church of All Saints' (1049992) is located within Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the presence of construction works for pylons RG50-53, pulling locations associated with pylons RG50 and RG52, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of the Church of All Saints is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>however, up to 1.1 km and further to the north-west through to the south-west of the church, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1180035)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Church of St Mary' (1180035) within Winfarthing conservation area (CA20).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG63-66, a pulling location associated with RG65, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 690 m to the east of the church and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of Saint Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Remigius (1050237)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Remigius' (1050237) is located on the south-western edge of Roydon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the Church of Saint Remigius is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		asset through the construction of pylons RG84-87, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 350 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).	
Flordon Hall (1050698)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Flordon Hall' (1050698) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG20-22, a pulling location associated with pylon RG20, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Flordon Hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Kenningham Hall (1373056)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Kenningham Hall' (1373056) is located east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG10-14, a pulling location associated with pylon RG10, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Kenningham Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Glebe Farmhouse (1050442)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe Farmhouse' (1050442) is located on the western edge of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG6-8, pulling locations associated with pylons RG7 and RG8, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Glebe Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Piggery 60 Yards South of Flordon Hall (1172231)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>100 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Piggery 60 Yards South of Flordon Hall' (1172231) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG20-22, a pulling location associated with pylon RG20, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the Flordon Hall piggery is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Barn to Flordon Hall (1373055)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to Flordon Hall' (1373055) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of the Flordon Hall barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG20-22, a pulling location associated with pylon RG20, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Limetree Farm Cottages (1050372)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Limetree Farm Cottages' (1050372) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Limetree Farm Cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Limetree Farmhouse (1152683)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Limetree Farmhouse' (1152683) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Limetree Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn East of Limetree Farmhouse (1050373)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn East of Limetree Farmhouse' (1050373) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional	The value of the Limetree Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).	
Stables Immediately South-East of Limetree Farmhouse (1152690)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stables Immediately South-East of Limetree Farmhouse' (1152690) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the Limetree Farmhouse stables is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Cart Shed and Hay Loft South-East of	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Cart Shed and Hay Loft South-East of Limetree Farmhouse' (1373217) is located at the eastern limit of	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the Limetree Farmhouse cart shed and hay loft is <b>medium</b> , and

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Limetree Farmhouse (1373217)			<p>Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Corner Farmhouse (1050374)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Corner Farmhouse' (1050374) is located on the eastern edge of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the south of the asset</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of Corner Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn Adjoining Corner Farmhouse on South-West (1152699)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn Adjoining Corner Farmhouse on South-West' (1152699) is located on the eastern edge of Forncett End.  The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG37-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, overhead line mitigation works, Overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the Corner Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
St Mary's Cottage (1178686)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'St Mary's Cottage' (1178686) is located east of Tacolneston.  The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG35-37, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is	The value of St Mary's Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 460 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Mary's Farmhouse (1050076)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mary's Farmhouse' (1050076) is located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG35-37, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Mary's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn Immediately</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Immediately North-West of Mary's Farmhouse'</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be</p>	<p>The value of the Mary's Farmhouse barn is</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
North-West of Mary's Farmhouse (1302309)		<p>(1302309) is located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG35-37, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Granville Farmhouse (1373243)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granville Farmhouse' (1373243) is located at the southern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the presence of bellmouth works, and the construction and use of temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>The value of the Granville Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Ebenezer Cottage (1171167)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ebenezer Cottage' (1171167) is located in the dispersed settlement of Bunwell.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG41-43, a pulling location associated with pylon RG42, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 500 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Ebenezer Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Banyard's Hall (1373609)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Banyard's Hall' (1373609) is located at Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG44-46, a pulling location associated with pylon RG46, overhead line mitigation</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>The value of Banyard's Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Wood Farmhouse (1049644)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wood Farmhouse' (1049644) is located at Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG46-47, a pulling location associated with pylon RG46, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 350 m to the east of the asset and would be partially visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Wood Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Quaker's Farmhouse (1373606)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Quaker's Farmhouse' (1373606) is located south-east of Bunwell.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG44-47, a pulling location associated with pylon RG46, fives SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 170 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Quaker's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Manor House (1179387)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Manor House' (1179387) is located west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG50-52, pulling locations associated with pylons RG50 and RG52, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 170 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any	The value of Manor House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).	
Manor Farmhouse (1049998)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed Manor Farmhouse (1049998) is located west of Tibenham. The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG50-52, pulling locations associated with pylons RG50 and RG52, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 20 m west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Low Farmhouse (1373399)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Low Farmhouse' (1373399) is located west of Tibenham. The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be	The value of Low Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>RG50-52, pulling locations associated with pylons RG50 and RG52, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 170 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Old Hall (1373400)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Old Hall' (1373400) is located north-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG49-050, a pulling location associated with pylon RG50, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of Old Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
				lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
Tibenham Farmhouse (1179474)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Tibenham Farmhouse' (1179474) is located north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG56-57, a temporary construction compound, a laydown area, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 320 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Tibenham Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
White House (1050786)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'White House' (1050786) is located north-north-east of	Standard construction mitigation would be	The value of White House is <b>medium</b> , and the

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG59-61, a pulling location associated with pylon RG61, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 320 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Park Farmhouse (1050829)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Park Farmhouse' (1050829) is located east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG61-64, a pulling location associated with pylon RG61, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 470 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to</p>	<p>The value Park Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).	
Heywood Manor (1049736)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Heywood Manor' (1049736) is located east of Goose Green.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any	The value of Heywood Manor is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Willow Bough Farmhouse (1386527)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Willow Bough Farmhouse' (1386527) is located east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG63-65, a pulling location associated with pylon RG65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 310 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Willows Farmhouse (1049737)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Willows Farmhouse' (1049737) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of Willows Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		asset through the construction of pylons RG65-67, a pulling location associated with pylon RG65, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 310 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).	
Hawthorn Cottage (1373552)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hawthorn Cottage' (1373552) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG67-68, four SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 400 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually	The value of Hawthorn Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Vine Farmhouse (1049738)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vine Farmhouse' (1049738) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG67-68, four SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 400 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Vine Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn Immediately South of Vine Farmhouse (1343675)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Immediately South of Vine Farmhouse' (1343675) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG67-68, four SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 400 m to the west of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of the Vine Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Bluepump Farmhouse (1050238)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bluepump Farmhouse' (1050238) is located in the east of Bressingham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG83-84, four SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works (bellmouth) are, at their closest, 160 m to the east of the asset with the pylon corridor at 380 m, and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of Bluepump Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Gables (1373310)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Gables' (1373310) is located north-north-west of Roydon.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of The Gables is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG81-82, a pulling location associated with pylon RG81, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Limetree Farmhouse (1373273)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Limetree Farmhouse' (1373273) is located north of Snow Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG81-82, a pulling location associated with pylon RG81, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of Limetree Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Hermitage (1050271)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'The Hermitage' (1050271) is located on the southern flank of Snow Street. The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG81-83, a pulling location associated with pylon RG81, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.  Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).  The value of The Hermitage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Thatch End The Haven (1154298)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Thatch End The Haven' (1154298) is located south of Snow Street.  The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG81-83, a pulling location associated with pylon RG81, four SuDS basin	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is	The value of Thatch End The Haven is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Grove Farmhouse (1050236)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1050236) is located south of Roydon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG86-87, a pulling location associated with pylon RG87, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Grove Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Elm Farmhouse (1373558)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Elm Farmhouse' (1373558) is located south of Toprow, along the B1113.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG27 to RG29, a pulling location of RG28, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, two construction laydown areas, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Elm Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Tacolneston conservation area (CA19)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Tacolneston conservation area (CA19) is focused on the B1113 Norwich Road between its junctions with Hall Road and Hurn Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG32-34, a pulling location associated with pylon RG33, seven SuDS basic locations, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 680 m to the south-east of</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any	The value of the Tacolneston conservation area is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>the asset and glimpses of the works may be visible from within the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Winfarthing conservation area (CA20)	Conservation Area	<p>Medium</p> <p>The Winfarthing conservation area (CA20) is focused on the B1077 between its junctions with Hall Road and Church Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG63-65, a pulling location associated with pylon RG65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 500 m to the east of the asset and glimpses of the works may be visible from within the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Winfarthing conservation area is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section B

Table A11.2.3 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section B

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Offton Castle (1006049)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Offton Castle' (1006049) is located south of Offton and associated with 'Barn 50 Metres East Of Castle Farmhouse' (1251594).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of extant towers P131-125, underground cabling works, the construction of pylons RG190-199, pulling locations associated with pylons RG190 and RG192, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement in the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest (tower dismantling) 70 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. However, this would not impact the relationship of the castle and the farmhouse, which is a contributing factor to the asset's significance, as the intervisibility between the assets would not be impacted. Furthermore, views out</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Offton Castle is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the interior of the asset would be extremely limited by mature tree growth within its bounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Church of St Mary the Virgin (1181735)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary the Virgin' (1181735) is located within the Mellis conservation area on the north-western flank of the settlement.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, which at their closest, are 450 m to the north-west of the asset. Works associated with the dismantling of extant towers PKF19-24 and the construction of pylons RG102-106 lie 1 km and further to the north and north-west of the asset.</p> <p>The works would be occasionally visible through the tree cover which bounds the asset to the west and north-west and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the Church of St Mary the Virgin is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1033123)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1033123) is located in the north-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes	The value of the church is high, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG14-RG21, pulling locations associated with pylons RG17, RG19 and RG20, nine SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a highway laydown mitigation area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement in the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 460 m to the east-south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Spring Farmhouse (1180592)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Spring Farmhouse' (1180592) is located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG118 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>The value of Spring Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Rookery Farmhouse (1352279)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rookery Farmhouse' (1352279) is associated with the Grade II listed 'Barn, 40 m South West Of Rookery Farm' (1180903) and located in isolated grounds north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG143-144, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 160 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Rookery Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Barn, 40 m South West Of Rookery Farm (1180903)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn, 40 m South West Of Rookery Farm' (1180903) is associated with 'Rookery Farmhouse' (1352279) and located in isolated grounds north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of the Barn at Rookery Farm is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Spalls Farmhouse (1033192)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Spalls Farmhouse' (1033192) is located on the western edge of Forward Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG152, two SuDS basin locations, an overhead line crossing protection works area, and the temporary haul road. These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the west of the asset and would be partially visible from its mature grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Spalls Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn About 35m West of Spring Farm House (1284658)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn About 35m West of Spring Farm House' (1284658) is located south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, and the dismantling of towers PKF32-34. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 400 m to the west of the asset and beyond its setting. The dismantling works are, at their closest, 170 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Highways (1241179)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Highways' (1241179) is located within the small hamlet of Candle Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction activity of bellmouth works and a highway laydown area. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 2.7 km to the south-east of the asset and beyond its setting. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the north of the asset and would be</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> )	
Church of St Peter (1352072)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Peter' (1352072) is located south-south-east of the centre of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG160-161, two SuDS basin locations, crossing protection works areas, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 360 m to the east of the asset and would be partially visible from a mature graveyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Church of St Peter is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be low adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1231082)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1231082) is located towards the south-western end of the Badley conservation area (CA45).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG167-169, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 760 m to the east of the asset and would be partially visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>The Dower House (1231088)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Dower House' (1231088) is located at the north-western extent of Needham Market adjacent to 'Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse' (1231089).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG164-167, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Dower House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse (1231089)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse' (1231089) is located at the north-western extent of Needham Market adjacent to 'Dower House' (1231088).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG164-167, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be medium adverse.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Doveshill Farm Barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1231756)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1231756) is located north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of works associated with the dismantling of towers PK12-14, mitigation works on towers PK15-16, the construction and dismantling of temporary towers, and underground cabling work.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 380 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Lower Farmhouse (1231364)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lower Farmhouse' (1231364) is located north-west of Barking Tye to the north-east of 'Top Farmhouse' (1231094).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG178-181, pulling locations associated with pylons RG178 and RG181, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of Lower Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Top Farmhouse (1231094)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Top Farmhouse' (1231094) is located north-west of Barking Tye to the south-west of 'Lower Farmhouse' (1231364).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG178-181, pulling locations associated with pylons RG178 and RG181, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Top Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1033018)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1033018) is located in Battisford.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG172-175, a pulling location associated with pylon RG172, six SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of towers PK10-11, underground cable works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset at the eastern edge of the parish. These works are, at their closest, 1.1 km to the east of the asset and it is possible there would long range views of the taller elements of the works over the top of intervening tree cover. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Long Meadow (1251602)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Long Meadow' (1251602) is located to the north of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of Long Meadow is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>the asset. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn 50 Metres East of Castle Farmhouse (1251594)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn 50 Metres East of Castle Farmhouse' (1251594) is located south of Offton and associated with scheduled monument 'Offton Castle' (1006049).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of extant towers P131-125, underground cabling works, through the construction of pylons RG190-199, pulling locations associated with pylons RG190 and RG192, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would include plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest 230 m to the south of the asset of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Castle Farmhouse Barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1263030)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p data-bbox="748 220 1317 292">magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p data-bbox="748 320 1317 427">The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1263030) is located north-east of Offton.</p> <p data-bbox="748 469 1317 612">The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works.</p> <p data-bbox="748 654 1317 871">These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the north-east of the asset and would possibly be occasionally visible through the buildings and vegetation cover in Offton. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p data-bbox="748 912 1317 1428">The Project pylon corridor would alter the wider rural setting of the asset within its parish through the construction of pylons RG186-192, pulling locations associated with pylons RG187, RG190 and RG192, the dismantling of towers PI33-37, SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works are, at their closest, 650 m to the south-west of the asset and are unlikely to be visible from the asset due to screening by the buildings and tree cover of Offton. The magnitude of impact from</p>	<p data-bbox="1111 220 1317 1018">Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p data-bbox="1361 220 2114 948">The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the tower corridor is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
Mount Pleasant Farmhouse (1251598)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mount Pleasant Farmhouse' (1251598) is located in Offton to the south of the Church of St Mary (1263030).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the north-east of the asset and would possibly be occasionally visible through the buildings and vegetation cover in Offton. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Valley Farmhouse (1250948)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Valley Farmhouse' (1250948) is located west of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG188-190, a pulling location associated with pylon RG190, the dismantling of towers PI31-33, five SuDS basin locations, crossing</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Court Farmhouse (1251595)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Court Farmhouse' (1251595) is located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG191-193, a pulling location associated with pylon RG192, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 50 m to the north-north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Rookery (1182737)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Rookery' (1182737) is located east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG97-98, temporary construction compound, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 400 m to the south-south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Rookery is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Park House (1032787)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Park House' (1032787) is located east-north-east of Wortham and associated with the 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House' (1032788) and the 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30 m East of Park House' (1182135).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG94-96, a pulling location associated</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Park House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Valley Farm House (1032791)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>with pylon RG95, a construction laydown area, overhead line crossing protection work areas, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement in the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
		<p>The Grade II listed 'Valley Farm House' (1032791) is located south-south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, the dismantling of towers PKF34-35, a works compound, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, and temporary tower works. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 820 m to the west of the asset and beyond the limit of its setting. These works would introduce plant noise and movement in the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-west of the asset and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House (1032788)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House' (1032788) is located east-north-east of Wortham and associated with the 'Park House' (1032787) and the 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30m East of Park House' (1182135).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG94-96, a pulling location associated with pylon RG95, a construction laydown area, overhead line crossing protection work areas, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the former stable block is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Former Groom's House and Coach House	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30m East of Park House' (1182135) is located north-north-east of Wortham</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of the former coach/ groom's house is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
About 30m East of Park House (1182135)		<p>and associated with 'Park House' (1032787) and the 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House' (1032788).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG94-96, a pulling location associated with pylon RG95, a construction laydown area, overhead line crossing protection work areas, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>	
Gibsons Farm House (1181694)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gibsons Farm House' (1181694) is located on the southern edge of Little Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG106-108, a pulling location associated with pylon RG108, the dismantling of towers PKF17-19, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Hall Farm House (1181726)	Grade II Listed Building	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hall Farm House' (1181726) is located on the north-western flank of the Mellis conservation area (CA47) and associated with 'Barn About 30 m West of Hall Farm House' (1032806).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 610 m to the north-west of the asset and would occasionally be visible through the out-buildings of the farmyard (Figure 7.12.F26_VP2.05 Viewpoint 2.05: Mellis Road, Mellis Green; document reference 7.12). The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 850 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Barn About 30 m West of Hall Farm House (1032806)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn About 30 m West of Hall Farm House' (1032806) is located on the north-western flank of the Mellis conservation area (CA47) and associated with Hall Farm House (1181726).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 610 m to the north-west of the asset and would occasionally be visible through the out-buildings of the farmyard. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 850 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting (Figure 7.12.F26_VP2.05 Viewpoint 2.05: Mellis Road, Mellis Green; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Hall Farm barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Pountney Hall (1352239)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pountney Hall' (1352239) is located at the south-western extent of Mellis conservation area (CA47) and associated with 'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall' (1032805).</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall (1032805)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall' (1032805) is located at the south-western extent of Mellis conservation area (CA47) and associated with 'Pountney Hall' (1352239).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 490 m to the north of the asset and would occasionally be visible through the out-buildings and tree cover of the farmyard. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 850 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of Pountney Hall barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Starhouse Farmhouse (1352111)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Starhouse Farmhouse' (<b>1352111</b>) is located south-west of Thornham Magna.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG120-122, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Abbey Farmhouse (1182599)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Abbey Farmhouse' (<b>1182599</b>) is located west-south-west of Wickham Skeith.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG125-128, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 350 m to the west of the asset and would be partially visible through the buildings of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Hempnalls Hall (1180451)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hempnalls Hall' (1180451) is located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG128-130, a pulling location associated with pylon RG129, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 420 m to the east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through and above the heavily wooded grounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Willow Farmhouse (1352480)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Willow Farmhouse' (1352480) is located east-north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG130-132, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 610 m to the east of the asset and may be occasionally visible from the asset through the outbuildings and mature trees of the farmstead. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Boundary Farmhouse (1032244)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Boundary Farmhouse' (1032244) is located south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG132-133, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature trees of the farmstead. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Lodge Cottage (1352504)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lodge Cottage' (1352504) is located west-north-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG133-134, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1097030)	Grade I Listed Building	High	The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1097030) is located in the south-west of Rickingshall.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of bellmouth works and a highway laydown area. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 2.7 km to the south-east of the asset and beyond its setting. These works are, at their closest, 560 m to the south-south-east of the asset and are unlikely to be visible due to intervening mature vegetation and development. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Mendlesham Hall ( <b>1180527</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mendlesham Hall' (<b>1180527</b>) is located west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG134-137, a pulling location associated with pylon RG137, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>their closest, 430 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature trees of the hall's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Grange Farmhouse (1032727)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grange Farmhouse' (1032727) is located north-north-east of the centre of Gipping and associated with Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 m North of Grange Farmhouse (1180790).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG138-141, a pulling location associated with pylon RG141, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 420 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature trees within the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 m North of Grange Farmhouse (1180790)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 m North of Grange Farmhouse' (1180790) is located north-north-east of the centre of Gipping and associated with Grange Farmhouse (1032727).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG138-141, a pulling location associated with pylon RG141, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 420 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature trees within the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Grange Farm barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Hill Farmhouse (1032730)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1032730) is located between Gipping to the west and Mendlesham Green to the east.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>asset through the introduction of pylons RG141-143, a pulling location associated with pylon RG143, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the east of the asset and would be clearly visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Marsh Farm House (1032768)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Marsh Farm House' (1032768) is located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of the dismantling of towers PKF31-PKF33 and underground cable works.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 45 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 730 m to the west of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset and beyond the extent of its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Poplar Farmhouse (1182121)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Poplar Farmhouse' (1182121) is located north-west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG144-147, a pulling location associated with pylon RG146, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the east of the asset and would be visible in between and above the outbuildings of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Doles Farmhouse (1352325)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Doles Farmhouse' (1352325) is located west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Star Orchard (1032663)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>RG146-148, pulling locations associated with pylons RG146 and RG148, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
		<p>The Grade II listed 'Star Orchard' (1032663) is located west-south-west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG147-149, a pulling location associated with pylon RG148, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing location work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the south</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			(bellmouth works) of the asset with the pylon corridor 200 m to the east and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
Firtree Farmhouse (1032662)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Firtree Farmhouse' (1032662) is located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG148-149, a pulling location associated with pylon RG148, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 180 m to the east of the asset and would be visible through and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Upland House (1182102)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Upland House' (1182102) is located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG148-149, a pulling location associated with pylon RG148, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Grange Farmhouse (1032661)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grange Farmhouse' (1032661) is located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG150-151, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 320 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Stowupland Hall (1182013)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p data-bbox="748 225 1279 368">in between and over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p data-bbox="748 432 1317 536">The Grade II listed 'Stowupland Hall' (1182013) is located north of Stowupland.</p> <p data-bbox="748 584 1317 983">The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG152-154, a pulling location associated with pylon RG154, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, temporary construction compound (RG-Sate2), and the temporary haul road.</p> <p data-bbox="748 1031 1317 1350">These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the east of the asset and may be occasionally visible in-between and above the mature trees of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p data-bbox="1339 225 1715 400">lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p data-bbox="1339 432 1715 1126">Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p data-bbox="1727 225 2112 368">be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p data-bbox="1727 432 2112 1054">The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse (1033189)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse' (1033189) is located south of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG157-159, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between and above the mature trees in the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Roydon Hall (1033215)	Grade II Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) and Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall (1352074).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>asset through the introduction of pylons RG156-158, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the south-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through and above the tree cover within the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall (1284584)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building High</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074).</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the south-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through and above the tree cover within the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall (1352074)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG156-158, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the south-east of the asset and would be occasionally</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the wall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			visible through and above the tree cover within the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Hill Farmhouse (1182339)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1182339) is located south-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG161-162, a pulling location associated with pylon RG162, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Creeting Hall (1352073)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Creeting Hall' (1352073) is located south of Creeting St Peter.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG162-164, a pulling location associated with pylon RG162, five SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 140 m to the south-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Cherry Tree Farmhouse (1231090)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cherry Tree Farmhouse' (1231090) is located in Badley Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of bellmouth works.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>their closest, 80 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Project tower corridor lies over 250 m to the east of the asset and beyond the limits of its setting.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Grove Farmhouse (1033214)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1033214) is located south-south-east of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG162-164, a pulling location associated with pylon RG162, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the north-west of the asset but largely screened from the asset by the Poundfield Concrete Works. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Newton Cottages (1277414)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newton Cottages' (1277414) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG170-172, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the north-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between and above outbuildings and mature trees in the asset's grounds and mature vegetation in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
College Grove Farmhouse (1231093)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'College Grove Farmhouse' (1231093) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG173 and its associated pulling location, the dismantling of towers PK11-13, underground cable works, temporary overhead line towers, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Bungeons Farmhouse (1231581)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 170 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Bungeons Farmhouse' (1231581) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG173-174, a pulling location associated with pylon RG173, overhead line mitigation works, the dismantling of towers PK11-13, underground cable works, temporary overhead line towers, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Home Farmhouse (1231443)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Home Farmhouse' (1231443) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PK13-14, the modification of tower PK15, underground cable works, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 800 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Fairfax House (1231522)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fairfax House' (1231522) is located in the north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of tower PK14, the refurbishment of towers PK15-16, and underground cable works, and a UKPN cable sealing end platform.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 920 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Ashburnham Farmhouse (1278102)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ashburnham Farmhouse' (1278102) is located north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of tower PK14, the refurbishment of towers PK15-16, and underground cable works and a UKPN cable sealing end platform.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 1.2 km to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Moat Farmhouse (1231305)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Moat Farmhouse' (1231305) is located north-west of Willisham Tye and associated with 'Former Stable Range 30m West of Moat Farmhouse' (1278293).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG181-183, a pulling location associated with pylon RG181, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest (bellmouth works), 15 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor lies 250 m to the west of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Former Stable Range 30 m West of Moat Farmhouse (1278293)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Former Stable Range 30 m West of Moat Farmhouse' (1278293) is located north-west of Willisham Tye and associated with Moat Farmhouse (1231305).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG181-183, a pulling location associated with pylon RG181, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest (bellmouth works), 15 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor lies 250 m to the west of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>	
Hascot Hill Farmhouse (1352142)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hascot Hill Farmhouse' (1352142) is located north-west of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG174-175, the dismantling of towers PK12-13, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between and above the mature vegetation of the asset's large garden. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Ringshall House (1032952)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ringshall House' (1032952) is located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG184-187, pulling locations associated with pylons RG186 and RG187, the refurbishment of tower PI36, two SuDS basin locations, underground cable works and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Tye Farmhouse (1251803)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Tye Farmhouse' (1251803) is located west of Willisham Tye.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG184-185, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. A permanent access route is adjacent to the asset to the north.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest other than the permanent access, 380 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between the outbuildings and mature tree cover of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Rose Cottage Farmhouse (1251587)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rose Cottage Farmhouse' (1251587) is located west of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG189-190, a pulling location associated with pylon RG190, three SuDS basin locations, dismantling of tower PI32, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
The Old Vicarage (1262688)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Vicarage' (1262688) is located north-east of Offton and associated with the Church of St Mary (1263030).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and would possibly be occasionally visible through the buildings and vegetation cover in Offton. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Prospect House (1262690)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Prospect House' (1262690) is located south-east of Offton.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the intervening hedgerows. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Maltings House (1251596) Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Maltings House' (1251596) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the intervening hedgerows. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Mutton (1251597)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Mutton' (1251597) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 160 m to the south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the intervening hedgerows. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the asset is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Offton Place (1262691)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Offton Place' (1262691) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 160 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			to the south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the intervening hedgerows. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hill Farmhouse (1251696)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1251696) is located south of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG193-195, dismantling of towers PI26-28, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Grove Farmhouse (1262693)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1262693) is located west of Somersham	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of works associated with the dismantling of towers PI24-26 and underground cabling work.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Gunn's Farmhouse (1262659)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gunn's Farmhouse' (1262659) is located south of Offton and associated with 'Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse' (1251600).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG194-196, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>their closest, 100 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse (1251600)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse' (1251600) is located south of Offton and associated with 'Gunn's Farmhouse' (1262659).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG194-196, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Bleak Hall (1251669)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bleak Hall' (1251669) is located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>asset through the introduction of pylons RG197-199, a pulling location associated with pylon RG197, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Bullenhall Farmhouse (1033263)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bullenhall Farmhouse' (1033263) is located west of Bramford and north-east of Bramford Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of an environmental area to the north and east of Bramford Substation.</p> <p>The scope of these works is not yet defined but might included planting and works to counteract loss of biodiversity due to the Project. The environmental area, at its closest, is 100 m to the south-west of the asset and associated</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works might introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Thornbush Hall (1251603)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thornbush Hall' (1251603) is located south-west of Bramford.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PLD46-47, the remodelling of Towers PLD48-49, the construction of temporary overhead line towers, underground cable works, and a UKPN cable sealing end platform.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 460 m to the south-west of the asset, although a permanent access route is located adjacent to the asset. There are likely to be long range views of the noted works and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1032797)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1032797) is located in the dispersed settlement of Burgate.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG101-RG107, a pulling location associated with pylon RG102, five SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of towers PFK19-PFK26, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 740 m to the south-south-east. There is likely to be long range views of the Project through the mature trees of the graveyard and intervening landscape and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Yewtree Farmhouse (1182522)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Yewtree Farmhouse' (1182522) is located on the western outskirts of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG54-RG58, pulling location associated with pylons RG54 and RG58, seven SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 410 m to the south-west of the asset. The works are likely to be visible at the extent of the asset's setting but they would be partially screened by mature trees and a large barn in the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Elms Farmhouse (1033217)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Elms Farmhouse' (1033217) is located at the south-western edge of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG156-RG159, a pulling location associated with pylon RG158, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 280 m south-west of the asset. These works would be visible at the extent of the asset's setting, although partially screened by</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			outbuildings and mature tree cover in the asset's large grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Hicks Farmhouse (1182484)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hicks Farmhouse' (1182484) is located at the south-western edge of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG156-RG159, a pulling location associated with pylon RG158, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 285 m south-west of the asset. These works would be visible at the extent of the asset's setting, although partially screened by outbuildings and mature tree cover in the asset's large grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Glebe House (1182315)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Glebe House' (1182315) is located south-south-east of Creting St Peter.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the house is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG161-RG163, a pulling location associated with pylon RG163, a construction laydown area, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the east-south-east of the asset. These works would be visible at the extent of the asset's setting, although largely screened by mature tree cover in the asset's large grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Vale Farmhouse (1033020)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vale Farmhouse' (1033020) south-east of Battisford.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Howes Farm Cottage (1198456)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the south-east of the asset with the nearest pylon at 480 m in the same direction. These works would be visible at the extent of the asset's setting, although largely screened by mature tree cover in the asset's large grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
		<p>The Grade II listed 'Howes Farm Cottage' (<b>1198456</b>) is located north of Ringshall Stocks.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG182-RG184, a pulling location associated with pylon RG132, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 510 m to the east of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset. These works would be visible at the extent of the asset's setting, although largely screened by mature tree cover and outbuildings in the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	
Church of St Mary (1251233)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1251233) is located in the settlement of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG201-RG205, a pulling location associated with pylon RG203, the dismantling of towers PI15-PI20, underground cable works, five SuDS basing locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 725 m to the east-north-east of the asset, with the nearest pylon at 920 m in the same direction. It is likely there would be long range views of the Project but these would be diminished by the local topography, the heavy tree cover of churchyard and tree cover in the intervening landscape. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Badley Church Green Conservation Area (CA45)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Badley Church Green Conservation Area (CA45) is located west of Badley Hill and contains the scheduled monument 'Chantry (site of)' (1006030), the Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1231082), the Grade II* listed 'Barn 100 Metres South East of Badley Hall' (1231085), 'Badley Hall' (1231083) and 'Dovecote 60 metres east of Badley Hall' (1231084), and the Grade II listed 'Bakehouse 15 Metres South Of Badley Hall' (1278291).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG167-169, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the south-east of the asset and would be partially visible from the boundary of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Mellis Conservation Area ( <b>CA47</b> )	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Mellis Conservation Area (<b>CA47</b>) covers much of the settlement of Mellis and contains two Grade II* listed buildings and 29 Grade II listed buildings.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, which at their closest, are 200m to the north-west of the asset. Works associated with the dismantling of extant towers PKF19-24 and the construction of pylons RG102-108, pulling locations, SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road lie 720 m and further to the north and north-west of the asset. The works would be occasionally visible through the tree cover which bounds the asset to the west and north-west and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section C

Table A11.2.4 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section C

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Crop Mark Site S of Ardleigh ( <b>1002146</b> )	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Crop mark site S of Ardleigh' (<b>1002146</b>) is located south-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB1 to TB8, pulling locations associated with pylons TB4 to TB7, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, the East Anglia Connection Node Substation, temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 25 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>)</p>
Henge, round barrow cemeteries and enclosure cropmarks 510m south-west of St	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Henge, round barrow cemeteries and enclosure cropmarks 510m south-west of St Mary's Church' (<b>1489898</b>) is located north-north-east of Great Bromley.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Mary's Church (1489898)			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the use of the temporary East Anglia Connection Node Substation access route, which may be constructed as the North Falls and Five Estuaries windfarm projects construction corridor.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Wenham Castle (Little Wenham Hall) (1003759)/ Little Wenham Castle (1033405)	Scheduled Monument/ Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The scheduled monument and Grade I listed building of Wenham Castle/Little Wenham Castle is located in the small settlement of Little Wenham, north-west of Capel St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of the pylons JC27 to JC33, pulling locations of JC27,32 and 33, seven SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection work areas, Cable Sealing</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures</p>	<p>The value of Wenham Castle/Little Wenham Castle is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>End (CSE) compound JC-SC01, Wenham Grove CSE compound, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage ponds, bellmouth works, underground cable work and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 1.2 km to south-east of the asset and would sometimes be visible to the north through the intervening treeline surrounding the grounds of the asset and likely visible from the top of the castle, across the landscape (Figure 7.12.F79_VP3.09 Viewpoint 3.09: PRow, Little Wenham (Wenham Parva 14); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>)</p>
Wenham Grange (1033409)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Wenham Grange' (1033409) is located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC29 and JC30, a SuDS</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of Wenham Grange is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>basin location, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the north of the asset and would be sometimes visible through trees lining Wenham Road. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>)</p>
Birch House Farmhouse (1036898)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Birch House Farmhouse' (1036898) is located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC25 to JC28, pulling locations associated with pylons JC25 and JC27, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 230 m to</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1036948)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1036898) is located in Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC1 to JC12, pulling locations associated with pylons JC4, JC7 and JC11, nine SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of towers PLD45, PHB29 to PHB30, permanent and temporary attenuation drainage works, underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 660 m to the north of the asset and would be occasionally visible through tree cover and development in the intervening landscape. The nearest pylon (JC11) would be located 1.2 km to the east. The magnitude of</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Chattisham Place (1036951)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Chattisham Place' (1036951) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 220 m to the south-south-east of the asset and might be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church Farmhouse (1036952)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church Farmhouse' (1036952) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, four SuDS</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Higham Hall (1036957)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Higham Hall' (1036957) is located on the southern edge of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate</b> adverse significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Old Vicarage (1036958)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Vicarage' (1036958) is located on the southern edge of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the east-south-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through mature vegetation in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>)</p>
Dewlands Farmhouse (1036963/1036986)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Dewlands Farmhouse' (1036963/1036986) is located north-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>attenuation drainage works, pole uplifting works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>)</p>
Lark Hall (1036983)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lark Hall' (1036983) is located south-west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, pole uplifting works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Pintins (1036984)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pintins' (1036984) is located south-west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, pole uplifting works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 20 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Tiffins (1036985)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Tiffins' (1036985) is located south-west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Lowe Hill House (1036991)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>works, pole uplifting works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Lowe Hill House (1036991)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Lowe Hill House' (1036991) is located north of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, pole uplifting works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the house is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Gig House Approximately 10 m North East of Newhouse Farmhouse (1111421)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Gig House Approximately 10 m North East of Newhouse Farmhouse' (1111421) is located in Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of permanent infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Jenning's Farmhouse (1111459)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Jenning's Farmhouse' (1111459) is located west of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1112060)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 160 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1112060)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1112060) is located in Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB8 to TB12, a pulling location associated with pylon TB12, three SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Thatched Cottage (1112086)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>works are, at their closest, 300 m to the north-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development of the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<p>The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Whaley Farmhouse (1112088)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatched Cottage' (1112086) is located in Arleigh Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, pole uplift works, bell mouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the north-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>,</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>west of Arleigh Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB13 to TB17, pulling locations associated with pylons TB15 and TB17, five SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 550 m to the south-south-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Maltings Farmhouse (1112093)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Maltings Farmhouse' (1112093) is located in Arleigh Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Blue Barns Farmhouse (1112094)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 50 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Blue Barns Farmhouse' (1112094) is located west of Ardleigh Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB19 to TB21, a pulling location associated with pylon TB21, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 160 m to the south-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
Beaumaris Witheys (1147592)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Beaumaris Witheys' (1147592) is located in Ardleigh Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, pole uplift works, bell mouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Gods House Farmhouse (1147645)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gods House Farmhouse' (1147645) is located west of Ardleigh Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 220 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Bounds Farmhouse (1147743)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bounds Farmhouse' (1147743) is located east of Ardeleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB1 to TB4, a pulling location associated with pylon TB5, underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, temporary infiltration drainage works, a permanent bund, the East Anglia Connection Node Substation, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn Approximately 40 Metres North West of Lodge Farmhouse (1147771)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40 Metres North West of Lodge Farmhouse' (1147771) is located south-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon TB15 and the associated pulling location, two SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a highways laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 520 m to the north of the asset and they may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and the development of the intervening</p>	<p>visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1194408)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1194408) is located north-west of Copdock.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC16 to JC20, pulling locations associated with JC16 and JC20, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, the dismantling of towers PCB2 to PCB4, underground cable works, a cable sealing end platform, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 390 m to the west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn Approximately 20 Meters North West of Four	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 20 Meters North West of Four Sisters Farmhouse'</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Sisters Farmhouse (1198496)			<p>(1198496) is located south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, a highways laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1223452)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1223452) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the north-north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church Farmhouse (1223464)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church Farmhouse' (1223464) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 320 m to the north-north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through mature tree cover around the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Langford Hall (1223469)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Langford Hall' (1223469) is located in the north of Langham.		
			The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, pole uplifting works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.		
			These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the south-south-east of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Pungford Cottages (1223498)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Pungford Cottages' (1223498) is located in the north of Langham.		
			The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of the cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>attenuation drainage works, pole uplifting works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 25 m to the south of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Glebe Farmhouse (1223526)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe Farmhouse' (1223526) is located north-north-east of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the south-west of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	
<p>Glebe House (1223527)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe House' (1223527) is located north of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the south of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the house is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn to East of Glebe Farmhouse (1223548)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to East of Glebe Farmhouse' (1223548) is located north of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Fountain Farmhouse (1253912)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fountain Farmhouse' (1253912) is located west of Arleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC15 to JC17, pulling</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>locations associated with pylons JC15 and JC17, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, a highways laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the north of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Wick Farmhouse (1253913)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wick Farmhouse' (1253913) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19, a pulling location associated with pylon JC17, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the north-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>)</p>
<p>Barn Adjacent to Road at Wick Farm (1253914)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Adjacent to Road at Wick Farm' (1253914) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19, a pulling location associated with pylon JC17, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Bloomfields Farmhouse (1253915)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bloomfields Farmhouse' (1253915) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19, a pulling location associated with pylon JC17, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the south of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover of the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn Approximately 40 m North East of Bloomfields Farmhouse (1261548)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40 m North East of Bloomfields Farmhouse' (1261548) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC17 - JC19, a pulling location associated with pylon JC17,</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is	The value of the barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 150 m to the south of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover of the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Grove Cottage (1267140)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Cottage' (1267140) is located in the northern end of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, pole uplifting works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ewens Farmhouse (1267273)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Ewens Farmhouse' (1267273) is located north-north-east of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Broomhouse to North of The Hall (1267300)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Broomhouse to North of The Hall' (1267300) is located west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>(document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Mulberry House (1273800)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mulberry House' (1273800) is located south-south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Coles Green Farmhouse (1285727)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Coles Green Farmhouse' (1285727) is located west of Copdock.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC20 to JC22, a pulling location associated with pylon JC20, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 330 m to the north-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover of the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Thatched Cottage (1322652)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatched Cottage' (1322652) is located south-west of Dedham Heath.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in</p>	<p>The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, pole uplift works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the south-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Newhouse Farmhouse (1337176)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newhouse Farmhouse' (1337176) is located in Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of permanent infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works are, at their closest, 240 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn Approximately 20 m West of Newhouse Farmhouse (1337177)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 20 m West of Newhouse Farmhouse' (1337177) is located in Little Bromley.  The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of permanent infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area.  These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1351596)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1351596) is located in Holton Saint Mary.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary infiltration and attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 360 m to the north-east of the asset and may be partially visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Four Sisters Farmhouse (1351597)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Four Sisters Farmhouse' (1351597) is located south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, a highways laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Fenn Farmhouse (1351617)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Fenn Farmhouse (1351617)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fenn Farmhouse' (1351617) is located east of Hintlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC14 to JC16, a pulling location associated with pylon JC16, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, the dismantling of towers PCB4 and PCB5, a temporary construction compound, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the west of the asset and would be</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of All Saints (1351620)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>visible through the mature tree cover of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade I listed 'Church of All Saints' (1351620) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the south-south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Cartshed at Chattisham Place (1351621)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cartshed at Chattisham Place' (1351621) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the</p>	<p>The value of the cartshed is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the asset through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 170 m to the south-south-east of the asset and might be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1351625)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1351625) is located on the southern edge of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the east of the asset and would be</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Vauxhall (1351957)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vauxhall' (1351957) is located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC29 to JC33, pulling locations associated with pylons JC32 and JC33, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 350 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and outbuildings of the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grove Farmhouse (1337174)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1337174) is located south-south-east of Little Bromley.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of permanent attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 400 m to the north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>(document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1337175)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1337175) is located south-south-west of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of permanent attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, a highways laydown area, the temporary access road, and potentially the use of the temporary East Anglia Connection Node Substation access route which may be constructed as the North Falls and Five Estuaries windfarm</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>projects construction corridor.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the south of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F82_VP3.13 Viewpoint 3.13: PRow, Little Bromley (Little Bromley 16); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Braham Hall (1337155)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Braham Hall' (1337155) is located south-east of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of permanent attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development of the intervening landscape. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Holton Place (1036982)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Holton Place' (1036982) is located in Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 190 m to the south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover in the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Pair of Cottages Approximately 10 m South of the Fox and Hounds Public House (1111418)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pair of Cottages Approximately 10 m South of the Fox and Hounds Public House' (1111418) is located south-south-east of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the asset through the introduction of permanent attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 370 m to the north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Milestone on East Verge Approximately 240 m South of Harts Lane (<b>1147792</b>)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Milestone on East Verge Approximately 240 m South of Harts Lane' (<b>1147792</b>) is located south of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon TB21 and its associated pulling location, and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Lodge Farmhouse (1322614)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lodge Farmhouse' (1322614) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon TB15 and the associated pulling location, two SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a highways laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 520 m to the north of the asset and they may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and the development of the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Hall (1223465)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'The Hall' (1223465) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 300 m to the north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover and outbuildings of the associated farmstead. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn North West Of The Hall ( <b>1267301</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade I listed 'Barn North West Of The Hall' (<b>1267301</b>) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn West Of The Hall (1267268)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade I listed 'Barn West Of The Hall' (1267268) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the north-north-west of the asset and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Langham Hall Farmhouse (1223466)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>may be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover and outbuildings of the associated farmstead. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Langham Hall Farmhouse' (1223466) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 220 m to the north-north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover and outbuildings of the associated farmstead. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Redhouse (1223467)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Redhouse' (1223467) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 140 m to the north-north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Pond Villa (1267302)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pond Villa' (1267302) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a concrete batching plant compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the north-north-west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through mature tree cover around the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Little Bromley War Memorial ( <b>1493299</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Little Bromley War Memorial (<b>1493299</b>) is located on the southern edge of the settlement of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, permanent infiltration drainage works and a highways laydown area.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The asset is</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2) as would mitigation measure NV04. Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located within the Order Limits and the works mentioned above are, at their closest, 15 m to the north of the asset and would be visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Noise and Vibration (Chapter 14) predicts this asset would experience a <b>not significant</b> effect during the construction phase due to the potential for damage due to construction vibration from potential compaction activities.</p>	<p>designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Stratford St Mary (CA41)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>Stratford St Mary (CA41) is focussed on The Street, Lower Street, and Upper Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover along the river</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ardleigh (CA26)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p data-bbox="846 220 1368 292">Stour. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p data-bbox="846 316 1368 419">Ardleigh (CA26) is focussed on The Street, Harwich Road and Station Road.</p> <p data-bbox="846 451 1368 978">The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB8 to TB12, a pulling location associated with pylon TB12, three SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road (Figure 7.12.F80_VP3.11 Viewpoint 3.11: PRow north of Ardleigh (Ardleigh 2); document reference 7.12).</p> <p data-bbox="846 1010 1368 1353">These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the north-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p data-bbox="1391 220 1727 292">adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p data-bbox="1391 316 1727 1034">Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p data-bbox="1756 220 2107 292">the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p data-bbox="1756 316 2107 930">The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section D

Table A11.2.5 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section D

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St James (1224521)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St James' (1224521) is located north of Little Tey, at the end of Church Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB63-TB68, pulling locations associated with pylons TB67 and TB68, nine SuDS basins locations, temporary construction compound, utility connection works (should it be used), the temporary haul road, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and a satellite compound. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 450 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Church of St James is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Old Bouchiers Hall (1110894)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Old Bouchiers Hall' (1110894) is located along New Road, to the south-west of Fordstreet.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of Old Bouchiers Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the addition of four SuDS basins, temporary haul road, two bellmouth and overhead line mitigation and works area and a construction laydown area. The addition of the pylons TB51-53, along with pulling locations for TB52 and TB53, would also alter the setting of the asset due to their location to the west, but also due to the wide views of the landscape. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset, especially if New Road is used. The nearest works would be located 430 m to the asset. However, well-established vegetation adequately screens the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Green Farmhouse (1170055)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Green Farmhouse' (1170055) is located south of Halstead Road in Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the addition of two SuDS basins, temporary haul road, two bellmouth, construction laydown</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of Green Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Numbers 1, 2 And 3 Brick Cottages (1169966)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Numbers 1, 2 And 3 Brick Cottages' (1169966) is located along Brook Road, south of Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB56 to TB58, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 20 m to the south and east of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Numbers 1, 2 And 3 Brick Cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
Church House Farmhouse (1170085)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church House Farmhouse' (1170085) is located along Rectory Road, west of Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB58-61, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basin location and an overhead line crossing protection work. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 550 m to the south-east through to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Church House Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would not alter this. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Fordham Place (1222602)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fordham Place' (1222602) is located along Fossetts Lane, north-east of Fordham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB41 to TB43, four SuDS basins locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of Fordham Place is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset and are likely to be visible from the asset. These works, at their closest, are 360 m to the south-east of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Wisteria (1222665)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wisteria' (1222665) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 90 m north of the asset. The magnitude of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Wisteria is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>			
Messrs Peatling And Cawdron (1222667)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Messrs Peatling And Cawdron ' (1222667) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, a four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 80 m north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Messrs Peatling and Cawdron is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
House Adjoining And To The North Of Peatling And Cawdron (1222668)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'House Adjoining And To The North Of Peatling And Cawdron' (1222668) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of House Adjoining And To The North Of Peatling And Cawdron is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 90 m north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary negligible adverse significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Knowles Farmhouse (1222772)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Knowles Farmhouse' (1222772) is located west of London Road, c. 1 km north-west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, two temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary access road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of Knowles Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 200 m to the south-west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Oak Cottage (1222861)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Oak Cottage' (1222861) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.	The value of Oak Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .
	The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 200 m to the north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).	

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church Of England School (1222862)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church Of England School' (1222862) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 200 m to the north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Church Of England School is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
New Barn House (1222863)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'New Barn House' (1222863) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of New Barn House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 40 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
School House (1222923)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'School House' (1222923) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of School House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Barn To South Of New Barn House (1222924)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 150 m to the north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To South Of New Barn House' (1222924) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 10 m to the south-west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn To South Of New Barn House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Breewood Hall (1222977)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Breewood Hall' (1222977) is located north west of Great Horkesley, north of School</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of Breewood Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>Lane, at the end of a private track.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 150 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Cart Lodge With Granary Over To South Of Breewood Hall (1222979)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cart Lodge With Granary Over To South Of Breewood Hall ' (1222979) is located north west of Great Horkesley, north of School Lane, at the end of a private track.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of Cart Lodge With Granary Over To South Of Breewood Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 100 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Highlands (1222981)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Highlands' (1222981) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 70 m to the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Highlands is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Yew Tree Cottage (1222982)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Yew Tree Cottage' (1222982) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 250 m to the north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Yew Tree Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Teybrook Farmhouse (1223156)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Teybrook Farmhouse' (1223156) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes</p>	<p>The value of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB61-67, pulling locations for TB62 and TB67, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation, overhead line protection works and 11 SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 100 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Granary North West Of Teybrook Farmhouse (1223157)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary North West Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (1223157) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB61-67, pulling locations for TB62 and TB67, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation, overhead line protection works and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of Granary North West Of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Barn To East Of Teybrook House (1223158)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To East Of Teybrook House' (122315) is located east of Brook Road, setting back from this road. This asset is south of the Roman River, c. 800 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB60-64, pulling location for TB62, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation, overhead line protection works and six SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 400 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be negligible adverse.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of Barn To East Of Teybrook House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm (1223159)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm' (1223159) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB61-67, pulling locations for TB62 and TB67, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation, overhead line protection works and 11 SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 100 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Upp Hall (1223380)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Upp Hall' (1223380) is located east of Salmon's Lane, c. 430 m north of the A120, north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB66 to TB68, a pulling</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of Upp Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>location associated with pylon TB67, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 170 m to the north-west of the asset and would be likely visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Roundhouse (1223386)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Roundhouse' (1223386) is located along East Gores Road, c. 1.2 km north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB68 and TB69, a pulling location associated with pylon TB68, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 300 m to the south. The magnitude of impact,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Roundhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
East Gores Farmhouse (1223384)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'East Gores Farmhouse' (1223384) is located along East Gores Road, c. 1.2 km north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB67 to TB70, pulling locations associated with pylons TB67, TB68 and TB70, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 40 m to the east of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of East Gores Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Barn To North East Of East Gores Farmhouse (1223385)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To North East Of East Gores Farmhouse' (1223385) is located along East Gores Road, c. 1.2 km north-west of Little Tey.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of Barn To North East Of East Gores Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB67 to TB70, pulling locations associated with pylons TB67, TB68 and TB70, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset and would likely be visible. The closest works would be c. 40 m to the south-east of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>The Old Rectory (1224447)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Rectory' (1224447) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB64 and TB65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of The Old Rectory is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 20 m to the north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a temporary moderate adverse significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Coney Byes (1225071)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Coney Byes' (1225071) is located at the end of Coney Byes Lane, c. 1.2 km north-west of Bergholt.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB40 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 180 m north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Coney Byes is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Highfields Farmhouse (1225094)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Highfields Farmhouse' (1225094) is located south of Colchester Road, along a track, c. 1.9 km north-east of West Bergholt.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be	The value of Highfields Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB38 to TB40, a pulling location associated with pylon TB40, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 220 m east of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>The Grove (1225475)</p> <p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Grove' (1225475) is located on Colchester Road, set back to the north of this road, east of the Grove Farm complex.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB35 to TB37, a pulling location associated with pylon TB37, the Great Horkesley CSE compound (Tilbury side), permanent and temporary attenuation drainage works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of The Grove is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>permanent bund, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the vegetation of the asset's grounds. The closest works would be c. 340 m to the south-east of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Priory House (1238755)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Priory House' (1238755) is located at the junction of Straight Road and Old House Lane, to the south-west of Boxted.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB27 to TB32, a pulling location associated with pylon TB26 and TB32, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, seven SuDS basins and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 230 m to the south-west of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Priory House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
King's Farmhouse (1266530)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'King's Farmhouse' (1266530) is located in the extension of Fossetts Lane, c. 1.7 km north-east of Fordham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB39 to TB41, a pulling location associated with pylon TB40, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 40 m east of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of King's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Mott's Farm House (1266736)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mott's Farm House' (1266736) is located at the end of Mott's Lane, north of the A120, north of Mark Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB62 to TB64, a pulling location associated with pylon TB62, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of Mott's Farm House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>bellmouth works, three SuDS basins and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 400 m to the south of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Barn (1224584)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Barn' (1224584) is located at the end of Mott's Lane, north of the A120, north of Mark Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB62 to TB64, a pulling location associated with pylon TB62, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation, bellmouth works, three SuDS basins and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 400 m to the south of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of The Barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Knaves Farmhouse (1266773)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Knaves Farmhouse' (1266773) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of Knaves Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB64 and TB65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 30 m west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Range South Of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse (1266775)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Range South Of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse' (1266775) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB64 and TB65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>The value of Range South Of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse (1266822)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 30 m west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse' (1266822) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB64 and TB65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 30 m west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be a temporary moderate adverse significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Little Tey House (1266823)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Tey House' (1266823) is located on Brook Road, east side, north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of Little Tey House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>the asset through the construction of pylons TB64 and TB65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 50 m west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Barn To South West Of Little Tey House (1266779)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Barn To South West Of Little Tey House' (1266779) is located on Brook Road, east side, north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB64 and TB65, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be immediately west of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Barn To South West Of Little Tey House is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Cart Lodge To West Of Upp Hall ( <b>1267339</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Cart Lodge To West Of Upp Hall' (<b>1267339</b>) is located east of Salmon's Lane, c. 430 m north of the A120, north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB66 to TB68, a pulling location associated with pylon TB67, fives SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 170 m to the north-west of the asset and would be likely visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Cart Lodge To West Of Upp Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Bragg ( <b>1267411</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bragg' (<b>1267411</b>) is located on Bracks Lane, north of the A120.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of Bragg is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>pylons TB690 and TB70, a pulling location associated with pylon TB70, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 140 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Gull Cottage (1267412)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gull Cottage' (1267412) is located south of A120, Colchester Road, in Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB70 to TB72, a pulling location associated with pylon TB70, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 210 m to the west of the asset and would be likely visible. The magnitude of impact,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Gull Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Broadgreen Farmhouse (1223206)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>the Grade II listed 'Broadgreen Farmhouse' (1223206) is located south of A120, Colchester Road, in Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB70 to TB72, a pulling location associated with pylon TB70, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 210 m to the west of the asset and would be likely visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Broadgreen Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm (1267422)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm ' (1267422) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>The value of Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse (1267423)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (1267423) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB62 to TB66, pulling location associated with pylon TB62, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible</b></p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 400 m to the west of the asset and would be likely visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse (1267424)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (1267424) is located on Brook Road, east of the Teybrook Farmhouse complex, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB62 to TB66, pulling location associated with pylon TB62, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 400 m to the west of the asset and would be likely visible. The magnitude of impact,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .			
Barn To North Of Knowles Farmhouse (1267632)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To North Of Knowles Farmhouse' (1267632) is located west of London Road, c. 1 km north-west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 200 m to the south-west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn To North Of Knowles Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Village Hall (1267662)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Village Hall' (1267662) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of Village Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 130 m to the south-west of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Danbury Cottage (1267675)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Danbury Cottage' (1267675) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Danbury Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>closest works would be c. 100 m north of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>		
<p>Idols Cottage (1267711)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Idols Cottage' (1267711) is located at the end of a track south of Rams Farms Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural south setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB43 - TB45, a pulling location associated with pylon TB44, three SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 180 m to the south-east of the asset and visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Idols Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Woodlands (1267713)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Woodlands' (1267713) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of Woodlands is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>the asset through the construction of underground cable works, one temporary and one permanent attenuation drainage, two temporary infiltration features, four temporary construction compounds, three sets of bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be 270 m east of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn To North West Of Woodlands (1267714)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To North West Of Woodlands' (1267714) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of Barn To North West Of Woodlands is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the settlement focused setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be 270 m east of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Fiddlers Farmhouse (1273588)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fiddlers Farmhouse' (1273588) is located along Fiddler's Hill, south-east of Fiddler's Wood and north-west of Fordham Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB49 to TB51, pulling locations associated with pylons TB49 and TB50, five SuDS basin locations, temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works and the bellmouth junction. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 380 m north of the asset, however, the Fiddler's Wood adequately screens the asset, except to the north. The magnitude of impact,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Fiddlers Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
Chippetts Farmhouse (1273626)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Chippetts Farmhouse' (1273626) is located on Chippetts Lane, c. 1 km south of Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB55 to TB57, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and bellmouth junction. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 110 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Chippetts Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Cartlodge With Granary Over East Of Priory House (1274028)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cartlodge With Granary Over East Of Priory House' (1274028) is located at the junction between Straight Road and Old House Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB27 to TB31, pulling</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional	The value of Cartlodge With Granary Over East Of Priory House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>locations associated with pylon TB28 and TB30, nine SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works and bellmouth junction. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 250 m to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Rose Cottage (1274029)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rose Cottage' (1274029) is located approximately 200 m north of the Order Limits, south of Queen's Head Road, and south-west of Boxted.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB31 to TB34, a pulling location associated with pylon TB32, the Great Horkesley CSE compound (Tilbury side), a temporary construction compound, four SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road and overhead line mitigation works. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c. 240 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Rose Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>south from the asset, however, woodlands would screen the asset. Other works might be visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
Langmoor House (1274047)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Langmoor House' (1274047) is located on Langham Lane, north of A12.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB25 to TB27, a pulling location associated with pylon TB25, five SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works and bellmouth junction. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The nearest works would be approximately 60 m south of the asset, and there would be intervisibility with the asset, despite dense nearby vegetation. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Langmoor House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Mott's Cottage (1337414)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mott's Cottage' (1337414) is located on Rectory Road, c. 750 m south-west of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of Mott's Cottage is medium, and the magnitude of impact</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB58 to TB59, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 300 m from the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary negligible adverse significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Grapes (1306225)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grapes' (1306225) is located on Rectory Road, c. 420 m south-west of Adham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB56 to TB58, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, three SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. These works would likely introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of Grapes is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be c.180 m east of the asset and are likely to be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Aldham Hall (1306270)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Aldham Hall' (1306270) is located on Brook Road/ North Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB57 and TB58, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, two SuDS basins, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth junction. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 100 m from the asset, and despite the vegetation would likely be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Aldham Hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Wagon Lodge To North Of Aldham Hall (1337391)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wagon Lodge To North Of Aldham Hall' (1337391) is located on Brook Road/ North Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes	The value of Wagon Lodge To North Of Aldham Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB57 and TB58, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, two SuDS basins, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works and bellmouth junction. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 35 m from the asset, and despite the vegetation would likely be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Bentalls Cottages (1337412)</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bentalls Cottages' (1337412) is situated within the settlement of Aldham, at the intersection of Green Lane and Church Grove.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB54 and TB55, a pulling location associated with pylon TB54, two SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 90 m from the asset, which would</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Bentalls Cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Church Of St Margaret And St Catherine (1170063)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church Of St Margaret And St Catherine' (1170063) is situated within the settlement of Aldham, at the intersection of Green Lane and Church Grove.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB53 and TB55, a pulling locations associated with pylons TB53 and TB54, three SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, bellmouth works, and overhead line mitigation works. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 150 m from the asset, which would be unlikely to be visible from ground level at the asset, but they would interrupt long range views of the spire from Chippets Lane and Foxes Lane to the east. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of Church Of St Margaret And St Catherine is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Chapel Cottage (1222607)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Chapel Cottage' (1222607) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation works, the temporary haul road, a temporary construction compound, and temporary infiltration drainage works. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset due to the proximity to the Project. The closest works would be c. 20 m east and west, as well as south of the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Chapel Cottage is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Fordstreet (CA9)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Fordstreet conservation area (CA9) contains the core of Fordstreet village, adjacent to the A123 highway, and bordered by the River Stour to the south.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of the Fordstreet conservation area is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		pylons TB48 to TB52, pulling locations associated with pylons TB49, TB50 and TB52, seven SuDS basins, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset, as well as within it in the south. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

## Section E

Table A11.2.6 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section E

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Coggeshall Abbey ( <b>1018865</b> )	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Coggeshall Abbey' (<b>1018865</b>) is located west of Feering Road and east of Abbey Lane within Coggeshall conservation area (<b>CA3</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB74-TB77, a pulling locations associated with pylon TB76, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, three SuDS basin locations, tower dismantling works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 820 m to the east and south-east of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5.14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggeshall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>) prior to the implementation of mitigation measures.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Cressing Temple (1002122)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument listed 'Cressing Temple' (1002122) is located south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB96-TB100, pulling locations associated with pylons TB97 and TB100, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, four SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the south of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F146_VP5.11 Viewpoint 5.11: Cressing Temple Barns, south-west of Silver End; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church Of St Mary and All Saints	Grade I Listed Building	High	The Grade I listed building 'Church Of St Mary and All Saints' (1169594) is located north of Rivenhall and south-	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
(1169594)			<p>east of Silver End, on the eastern flank of Church Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB92-TB95, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB94, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 860 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Abbot's Lodging and Corridor of Coggeshall Abbey (1123191)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'The Abbot's Lodging and Corridor of Coggeshall Abbey' (1123191) is located west of Feering Road and east of Abbey Lane within Coggeshall conservation area (CA3).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB74-TB77, a pulling locations</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with pylon TB76, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, three SuDS basin locations, tower dismantling works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 625 m to the east and south-east of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5.14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggeshall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Guest House of Coggeshall Abbey (1307071)</p>	<p>Grade I Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Guest House of Coggeshall Abbey' (1307071) is located west of Feering Road and east of Abbey Lane within Coggeshall conservation area (CA3).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB74-TB77, a pulling locations associated with pylon TB76, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, three SuDS basin locations, tower dismantling</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Nicolas, Coggeshall Abbey ( <b>1337925</b> )	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 615 m to the east and south-east of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5.14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggeshall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade I listed building 'Church of St Nicolas, Coggeshall Abbey' (<b>1337925</b>) is located west of Feering Road and north of Abbey Lane within Coggeshall conservation area (<b>CA3</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB74-TB77, a pulling locations associated with pylon TB76, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, three SuDS basin locations, tower dismantling works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 800 m to the east, south-east and south of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5.14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggeshall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	
<p>Houchin's Farmhouse (1123187)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II* listed building 'Houchin's Farmhouse' (1123187) is located 1.5 km east of Coggeshall, and immediately east of Houchin's Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB69-TB72, a pulling location associated with pylon TB70, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, three SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Feeringbury Manor (1306710)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed building 'Feeringbury Manor' (1306710) is located north of Feering and south-west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB76-TB78, pulling locations associated with pylons TB76 and TB78, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 125 m to the south and south-east of the asset, and 165 m to the east, and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Ancillary Building 6 m South East of Feeringbury Manor (1123828)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed building 'Ancillary Building 6 m South East of Feeringbury Manor' (1123828) is located north of Feering and south-west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Waterwheel and Mounting Approximately 23 m South West of Feeringbury Manor ( <b>1337602</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>TB76-TB78, pulling locations associated with pylons TB76 and TB78, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the south and south-east of the asset, and 160 m to the east, and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II* listed building 'Waterwheel and Mounting Approximately 23 m South West of Feeringbury Manor' (<b>1337602</b>) is located north of Feering and south-west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB76-TB78, pulling locations associated with pylons TB76 and TB78, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the south and south-east of the asset, and 200 m to the east, and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	
<p>Barn of Feeringbury Farm, 60 m South East of Feeringbury Manor (1123829)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn of Feeringbury Farm, 60 m South East of Feeringbury Manor' (1123829) is located north of Feering and south-west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB76-TB78, pulling locations associated with pylons TB76 and TB78, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the south and south-east of the asset, and 135 m to the east, and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Stores (1146812)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Stores' (1146812) is located within Fuller Street, and west of Braintree Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PSB39-PSB40, temporary tower works, underground cable works, a mitigation detailed work area, a UKPN compound, and a SuDS basin location. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 165 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
The Herons (1337781)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Herons' (1337781) is located within Fuller Street, and east of Fairstead Lodge Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PSB39-PSB40, temporary tower works, underground cable works, a mitigation detailed work area, a UKPN compound, and a SuDS basin location. These</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Rivenhall Hall (1122613)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rivenhall Hall' (1122613) is located north of Rivenhall and south-east of Silver End, on the eastern flank of Church Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB92-TB95, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB94, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1 and 2 Rivenhall Farm Cottages (1306464)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '1 and 2 Rivenhall Farm Cottages' (1306464) is located north of Rivenhall and south-east of Silver End, on the eastern flank of Church Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB92-TB95, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB94, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 165 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Cressing Temple Farmhouse (1168891)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cressing Temple Farmhouse' (1168891) is located within Cressing Temple scheduled monument (1002122), south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Barley Barn, 40 Metres North West of Cressing Temple Farmhouse (1123865)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB96-TB100, a pulling location associated with pylon TB100, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 390 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'The Barley Barn, 40 Metres North West of Cressing Temple Farmhouse' (1123865) is located within Cressing Temple scheduled monument (1002122), south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB96-TB100, pulling locations associated with pylons TB97 and TB100, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, four SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, and the</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Wheat Barn, 35 Metres North East of Cressing Temple Farmhouse (1123866)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 440 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB96-TB100, pulling locations associated with pylons TB97 and TB100, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, four SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Granary/ Stables Block 70 Metres South of Cressing Temple Farmhouse (1123867)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>These works are, at their closest, 430 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Granary/ Stables Block 70 Metres South of Cressing Temple Farmhouse' (1123867) is located within Cressing Temple scheduled monument (1002122), south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB96-TB100, pulling locations associated with pylons TB97 and TB100, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, four SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 295 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ford Farmhouse and Ford Farmhouse Barn (1122614)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ford Farmhouse and Ford Farmhouse Barn' (1122614) is located north of Rivenhall and east of Church Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB92-TB95, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB94, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Porter's Farmhouse (1171011)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Porter's Farmhouse' (1171011) is located east of Silver End, west of Kelvedon and south of Park Gate Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB89-TB91, a pulling location</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Newneys Farmhouse (1123450)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>associated with pylon TB90, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II* listed 'Newneys Farmhouse' (1123450) is located south of Rank's Green, east of Fairstead Lodge Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB117-TB121, pulling locations associated with pylons TB117 and TB120, overhead line mitigation works, seven SuDS basin locations, the refurbishment of tower PSB43, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn Approximately 10m South-East of Newneys Farmhouse (1337819)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>These works are, at their closest, 150 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 10m South-East of Newneys Farmhouse' (1337819) is located south of Rank's Green, east of Fairstead Lodge Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB117-TB121, pulling locations associated with pylons TB117 and TB120, overhead line mitigation works, seven SuDS basin locations, the refurbishment of tower PSB43, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 150 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Dines Hall (1123448)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Dines Hall' (1123448) is located south-east of Rank's Green, and south of Ranks Green Road.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB117-TB119, a pulling location associated with pylon TB117, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Oak Farnhouse [sic] (1123422)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Oak Farnhouse [sic]' (1123422) is located north-west of Faulkbourne, and immediately north of Church Hill (road).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB101-TB104, a pulling location associated with pylon TB103, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Oak Cottages (1123421)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Oak Cottages' (1123421) is located north-west of Faulkbourne, and immediately south of Church Hill (road).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB101-TB104, a pulling location associated with pylon TB103, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Westocks [sic] Farmhouse (1168106)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Westocks [sic] Farmhouse' (1168106) is located north of Fairstead, and immediately east of Fairstead Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead CSE compounds, the Fairstead Road West cable compound, the Fairstead Road East Substation compound, overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 135 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Farm Outbuilding Approximately 10m East of	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Farm Outbuilding Approximately 10m East of Westocks Farmhouse' (1122745) is located north of Fairstead, and immediately east of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Westcocks Farmhouse (1122745)			<p>Fairstead Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead cable sealing end compounds, the Fairstead Road West cable compound, the Fairstead Road East Substation compound, overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 135 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Granary Approximately 20m South of Westcocks Farmhouse (1168121)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary Approximately 20m South of Westcocks Farmhouse' (1168121) is located north of Fairstead, and immediately east of Fairstead Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead cable sealing end compounds, the Fairstead Road West cable compound, the Fairstead Road East Substation compound, overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 135 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Great Warley Hall ( <b>1123449</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Great Warley Hall' (<b>1123449</b>) is located east of Rank's Green and north-west of the junction between Fairstead Road and Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead CSE compounds, two temporary construction compounds (Fairstead Road West and Fairstead Road West), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn Approximately 40m West-South-West of Great Warley Hall (1248834)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40m West-South-West of Great Warley Hall' (1248834) is located east of Rank's Green and north-west of the junction between Fairstead Road and Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead CSE</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn Approximately 40m South-West of Great Warley Hall (1337818)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>compounds, the two temporary construction compounds (Fairstead Road West and Fairstead Road West), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40m South-West of Great Warley Hall' (1337818) is located east of Rank's Green and north-west of the junction between Fairstead Road and Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead CSE compounds, two temporary construction compounds (Fairstead Road West and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Fairstead Road West), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Troys Hall (1123457)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Troys Hall' (1123457) is located north of Troys Chase and east of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB105-TB109, a pulling location associated with pylon (TB105), overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, utility connecting works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 370 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Cockerell's Farmhouse and Bakehouse (1169484)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .
			The Grade II listed 'Cockerell's Farmhouse and Bakehouse' (1169484) is located south-west of Old Road and east of Coggeshall.  The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB73-TB75, a pulling location associated with pylon TB73, overhead line mitigation works, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
			These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse (1306737)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse' (1306737) is located east of Coggeshall Road and south-east of Coggeshall.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .
			The construction phase of the Project		

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn 20 Metres North West of Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse (1123869)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB78-TB82, pulling locations associated with pylons TB76 and TB82, overhead line mitigation works, nine SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 45 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn 20 Metres North West of Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse' (1123869) is located east of Coggeshall Road and south-east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB78-TB82, pulling locations associated with pylons TB76 and TB82, overhead line mitigation works, nine SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 35 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
The Old Cottage (1123839)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Cottage' (1123839) is located south-west of Old Road and east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB74-TB77, a pulling location associated with pylon TB76, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, the dismantling of three 33 kV towers (PZD91-PZD93) and the modification of another (PZD90), underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the south of the asset and would be</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Monk's Farm Cottages (1171147)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Monk's Farm Cottages' (1171147) is located north of Pantlings Lane, north-west of Kelvedon and south of Coggeshal Hamlet.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB83-TB85, a pulling location associated with TB84, overhead line mitigation works, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, are 10 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The asset has already had its original setting affected and is in proximity to an existing agricultural business which is suggestive of periodic high traffic and noise levels. The magnitude of impact is therefore considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Hungry Hall Farmhouse (1123868)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hungry Hall Farmhouse' (1123868) is located east of Witham Road (B1018) and east of White Notley.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB98-TB100, a pulling location associated with pylon TB100, overhead line mitigation works, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Pound Farmhouse (1123812)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pound Farmhouse' (1123812) is located north of Coggeshall Road, north-west of Kelvedon and south of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB81-TB83, a pulling location associated with pylon TB81, overhead</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>line mitigation works, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 20 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Felix Hall (1123797)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Felix Hall' (1123797) is located north-east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB85-TB87, a pulling location associated with pylon TB87, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 330 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The setting of this asset is</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			broadly confined to its immediate parkland boundaries, therefore the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
The Clock House (1305802)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Clock House' (1305802) is located north east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB85-TB87, a pulling location associated with pylon TB87, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
The Orangery (1337627)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Orangery' (1337627) is located north east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Rook Hall (1170991)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>asset through the construction of pylons TB85-TB87, a pulling location associated with pylon TB87, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 310 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Rook Hall' (1170991) is located north east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB86-TB90, pulling locations associated with pylons TB87 and TB90, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
Rivenhall Place (1122598)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Rivenhall Place' (1122598) is located west of Park Road, south of Western Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB92-TB95, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB94, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the east of the asset and may be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Homecot (1146765)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Homecot' (1146765) is located south of Fairstead Road and north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB108-TB116, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115 and TB117, five SuDS basin locations, both Fairstead CSE compounds, two temporary construction compounds (Fairstead Road West and Fairstead Road West), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, an environmental area and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north of the asset and would be visible, although an existing overhead line already forms part of the setting of this asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Pond Cottage (1123455)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pond Cottage' (1123455) is located west of Fairstead Road and north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB108-TB116, pulling locations</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with pylons TB110, TB115 and TB117, five SuDS basin locations, both Fairstead CSE compounds, two temporary construction compounds (Fairstead Road West and Fairstead Road West), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, an environmental area and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 300 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Hill Farmhouse (1123461)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1123461) is located west of Church Hill, and north-west of Witham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB101-TB103, a pulling location associated with pylon TB103, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Forge Cottage (1338147)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Forge Cottage' (1338147) is located north of Church Hill, and south of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB102-TB105, pulling locations associated with pylons TB103, and TB105, overhead line mitigation works, five SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 5 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Hole Farmhouse (1146854)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hole Farmhouse' (1146854) is located south-west of Cressing Road, and south-east of White</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB99-TB101, a pulling location associated with pylon TB100, a construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation works, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 55 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Post House (1123423)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Post House' (1123423) is located north of Church Hill, and north-west of Witham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB101-TB103, a pulling location associated with pylon TB103, overhead line mitigation works, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, immediately south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Blixes Farmhouse (1123460)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Blixes Farmhouse' (1123460) is located south of Rank's Green Road and east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB117-TB119, a pulling location associated with pylon TB117, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 470 m south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn Approximately 30 Metres South	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 30 Metres South West of Blixes Farmhouse' (1146827) is located south of Rank's Green Road and east of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
West of Blixes Farmhouse (1146827)			<p>Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB117-TB119, a pulling location associated with pylon TB117, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 470 m south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Fairstead Lodge (1146798)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fairstead Lodge' (1146798) is located east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB119-TB123, a pulling location associated with pylon TB120, overhead line mitigation works, seven SuDS basin locations, dismantling of towers PSB40-PSB42, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>works, a UKPN compound, underground cable works, temporary tower works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m north-west of the asset and would be visible, however existing overhead line lines already affect the setting of this asset, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Waggon Lodge Approximately 90 South West of Fairstead Lodge (1146804)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Waggon Lodge Approximately 90 South West of Fairstead Lodge' (1146804) is located east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB119-TB123, a pulling location associated with pylon TB120, overhead line mitigation works, seven SuDS basin locations, dismantling of towers PSB40-PSB42, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, a UKPN compound, underground cable works, temporary tower works, and the temporary haul</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m north-west of the asset and would be visible, however existing overhead line lines already affect the setting of this asset, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
Leylands Farmhouse (1337825)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Leylands Farmhouse' (1337825) is located west of Terling Hall Road and south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a tower access route. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn and Stable Range	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn and Stable Range Approximately 15 Metres North	Standard construction mitigation would be	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Approximately 15 Metres North of Leylands Farmhouse (1308474)			<p>of Leylands Farmhouse' (1337825) is located west of Terling Hall Road and south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a tower access route. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section F

Table A11.2.7 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section F

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Lyons Hall (1122128)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lyons Hall' (1122128) is located south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB124-129, their associated construction works, pulling location for TB126, six SuDS basins, temporary haul road, overhead line dismantle towers works (PSB38 to PSB40), overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 640 m to the north-west of the asset and would likely be partially visually screened by mature vegetation between the asset and the scheme. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Lyons Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Goodmans Farmhouse (1122135)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Goodmans Farmhouse' (1122135) is located south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of Goodmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>asset through the construction of pylon TB126-129, associated construction works, pulling location for pylon TB126, four SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, temporary haul road and bellmouth works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 65 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The setting of this asset has already been affected by existing distribution and BT lines in front of the farm. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn to the East of Goodmans Farmhouse (1171336)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to the East of Goodmans Farmhouse ' (1171336) is located south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB126-129, associated construction works, pulling location for pylon TB126, four SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and works, overhead line crossing protection works, temporary haul road and bellmouth works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 65 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The setting of this asset has</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Barn to the East of Goodmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>already been affected by existing distribution and BT lines in front of the farm. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of St Mary the Virgin (1338425)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p> <p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary the Virgin' (<b>1338425</b>) is located on the western edge of Broomfield.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB149 and TB150, associated construction works and pulling location for TB150, and four SuDS basins.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 1.2 km to the west of the asset and would likely be visible across the open agricultural fields between the asset and the scheme. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Church of St Mary the Virgin is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Balls Farmhouse (1305428)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Balls Farmhouse' (<b>1305428</b>) is located south of Great Waltham and west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB141-144, associated construction</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>The value of Balls Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Chatham Hall (1338512)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Chatham Hall' (1338512) is located east of Great Waltham and north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB136-139, a pulling location associated with pylon TB136, associated construction works, four SuDS basins, temporary haul road and bellmouth works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of Little Waltham; document</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Chatham Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Walden Cottage (1151967)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Walden Cottage' (1151967) is located on the western edge of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB140 and its associated pulling location, associated construction works, a SuDS basin location, temporary haul road and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the north-west of the asset and would likely be visible from across the flat land surrounding the River Chelmer. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Walden Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Millstone Cottage (1151939)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Millstone Cottage' (1151939) is located on the western edge of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB140 and TB141, associated construction works, a pulling location associated with pylon TB140, a SuDS</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of Millstone Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>basin location, temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Former Church of St James (1122199)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Former Church of St James' (1122199) is located in Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB156-158, associated construction works, pulling locations associated with pylons TB157 and TB158, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the southwest of the asset and would be visible between the tree line. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Former Church of St James is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Moor Hall (1237175)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Moor Hall' (1237175) is located west of Writtle.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be</p>	<p>The value of Moor Hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB166-168, a pulling location associated with pylon TB166, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basin locations, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 750 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Bearman's Farmhouse (1237406)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bearman's Farmhouse' (1237406) is located north of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB179-181, associated construction works, pulling location associated with pylon TB181, five SuDS basin locations, bellmouths, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 80 m with an permanent access route and the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>The value of Bearman's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			nearest pylon is 490 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Langleys (1305533)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Langleys' (1305533) is located on the north-eastern edge of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB137-142, pulling locations associated with pylons TB140 and TB142, the temporary haul road, bellmouth works, six SuDS basins, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 780 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible (Figure 7.12.F174_VP6.18 Viewpoint 6.18: Langleys Park, north of Great Waltham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Langleys is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hole Farmhouse (1338437)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hole Farmhouse' (1338437) is located south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of Hole Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB126-129, associated construction works, a pulling location associated with pylon TB126, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 115 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn to the South of Hole Farmhouse (1171364)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to the South of Hole Farmhouse' (1171364) is located south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB126-129, associated construction works, a pulling location associated with pylon TB126, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn to the South of Hole Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Longs Farmhouse (1171557)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Longs Farmhouse' (1171557) is located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB130-133, associated construction works, pulling locations associated with pylons TB132 and TB133, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 330 m with a permanent access route and 445 m to the main Project corridor to the west of the asset and would be visible from afar. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Longs Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Lowleys Farmhouse (1305642)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lowleys Farmhouse' (1305642) is located south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB127-129, associated construction works, temporary haul road, six SuDS basins, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and overhead line mitigation works.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Lowleys Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 20 m with a permanent access route and 260 m with the main Project corridor to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Stonage Farmhouse (1172474)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stonage Farmhouse' (1172474) is located south Chatham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB132-134, associated construction works, pulling locations associated with pylons TB132 and TB133, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, temporary construction compound, and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 25 m with a permanent access route to the south and 220 m with the main Project corridor to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Stonage Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn to the North-West of Stonage Farmhouse (1122042)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to the North-West of Stonage Farmhouse' (1122042) is located south Chatham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes</p>	<p>The value of Barn to the North-West of Stonage Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB132-134, associated construction works, pulling locations associated with pylons TB132 and TB133, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, temporary construction compound, and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 20 m with a permanent access route to the south and 250 m with the main Project corridor to the east of the asset and would be visible, although would not alter the understanding of the barn's association with the farmhouse or farmland. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Evergreens and Kozi Cot (1172487)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Evergreens and Kozi Cot' (1172487) is located south of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB130 to TB134, associated construction works, pulling location associated with pylons TB132 and TB133, temporary haul road, seven SuDS basins, temporary construction compound, construction lay down, and overhead line crossing protection works.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of Evergreens and Kozi Cot is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			These works are, at their closest, 250 m south-south-west of the works and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn at Scravels House (1122189)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn at Scravels House' (1122189) is located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB150-152, associated construction work areas, pulling location for TB150, temporary haul road and four SuDS basins.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 25 m to the south of the asset with a permanent access route and 390 m north-west with the main Project corridor and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn at Scravels House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Staceys Farmhouse (1169962)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Staceys Farmhouse' (1169962) is located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB149-TB151, associated construction</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of Staceys Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Barn at Staceys Farmhouse (1122196)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn at Staceys Farmhouse' (1122196) is located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB149-151, associated construction work areas, pulling location for TB150, temporary haul road and five SuDS basins.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 390 m south-west with a permanent access route and 430 m north-west with the main Project corridor and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn at Staceys Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Vault to the West of Partridge Green Farm (1306289)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vault to the West of Partridge Green Farm' (1306289) is located south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB145-147, associated construction work areas, pulling location for TB146, five SuDS basins and overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 15 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The construction is a big change in setting to the asset, however, the relationship the asset has with the farm would still remain. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Vault to the West of Partridge Green Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Priors (1338427)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Priors' (1338427) is located north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB150-153, associated construction work areas pulling location for TB150, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas and BT overhead line mitigation and work area.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of Priors is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 300 m to the north of the asset with a permanent access route and 720 m north-west of the asset with the main Project corridor and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Stevens Farmhouse (1263975)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stevens Farmhouse' (1263975) is located north of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB155-159, pulling locations for TB157 and TB158, temporary haul road, seven SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, overhead line crossing protection work areas and BT overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 570 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Stevens Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Range of Farm Buildings to the North of Stevens	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Range of Farm Buildings to the North of Stevens Farmhouse' (1247730) is located north of Chignell St James.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of Range of Farm Buildings to the North of Stevens Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>,</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Farmhouse (1247730)			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB155-159, pulling locations for TB157 and TB158, temporary haul road, seven SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, overhead line crossing protection work areas and BT overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 570 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grays Farmhouse (1306273)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grays Farmhouse' (1306273) is located north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB150-155, pulling location for TB150, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 740 m to the south-east of the asset and would</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a	The value of Grays Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Brook House (1171652)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Brook House' (1171652) is located in Minnow End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB139-143, pulling locations for TB140-143, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the south of the asset and would be partially visible although views would be blocked by other linear roadside development. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Brook House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Rose and Crown Inn (1122116)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rose and Crown Inn' (1122116) is located in Minnow End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB139-143, pulling locations for TB140-143, temporary haul road, four SuDS</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of Rose and Crown Inn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works, and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 160 m to the south-south-east of the asset and would be visible. Views out are restricted by other roadside development and the significance of the asset as a rural village inn would remain largely unaffected by the work. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Lace Cottages (1122117)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lace Cottages' (1122117) is located in Minnow End.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB139-143, pulling locations for TB140-143, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the south-south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Lace Cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
White Hart Inn (1122020)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'White Hart Inn' (1122020) is located in Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB137-139, pulling location for TB139, temporary haul road, three SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the north-west of the asset and would be partially visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of White Hart Inn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Knights (1122019)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Knights' (1122019) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of Knights is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 190 m to the north-west of the asset and those elements above the tree lines would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ivy Terrace (1304923)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ivy Terrace' (1304923) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Ivy Terrace is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
108 The Street (1122028)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '108 The Street' (1122028) is located within Little Waltham.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of 108 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Westeria (1152069)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Westeria' (1152069) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of Westeria is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
104 The Street (1338503)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '104 The Street' (1338503) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of 104 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Numbers 100 and 102 The Street Including 'The Alcove', Brook Hill (1304952)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Numbers 100 and 102 The Street Including 'The Alcove', Brook Hill' (1304952) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140,</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is	The value of Numbers 100 and 102 The Street Including 'The Alcove', Brook Hill is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Campen's Cottage (1122043)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the north of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
		<p>The Grade II listed 'Campen's Cottage' (1122043) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Campen's Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hillcrest (1122018)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hillcrest' (1122018) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Hillcrest is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Post Office [and] Shop (1122017)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Post Office [and] Shop' (1122017) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Post Office [and] Shop is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			to the north-west of the asset although given the degree of mature vegetation works are only likely to be visible over the treeline. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Yew Trees (1338501)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Yew Trees' (1338501) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north-west of the asset although given the degree of mature vegetation works are only likely to be visible over the treeline. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Yew Trees is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
88 - 94 The Street (1122027)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '88 - 94 The Street' (1122027) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be	The value of 88 - 94 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Little Waltham United Reformed Church (1152043)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Waltham United Reformed Church' (1152043) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-140, a pulling location for TB140, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Little Waltham United Reformed Church is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
84 and 86 The Street (1338502)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '84 and 86 The Street' (1338502) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-143, pulling location for TB140-143, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of 84 and 86 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Numbers 66, 68 (Romary's) and 70 (Lindens) (1122026)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Numbers 66, 68 (Romary's) and 70 (Lindens)' (1122026) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-143, pulling location for TB140-143, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, bellmouth works and overhead</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional	The value of Numbers 66, 68 (Romary's) and 70 (Lindens) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 270 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible as glimpse views among development. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
42 The Street (1152026)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '42 The Street' (1152026) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 330 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of 42 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
36 - 40 The Street (1122025)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '36 - 40 The Street' (1122025) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 330 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of 36 - 40 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Bell Public House (1122024)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Bell Public House' (1122024) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of The Bell Public House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 330 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
30 The Street (1122023)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '30 The Street' (1122023) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 320 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of 30 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Limes (1122022)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Limes' (1122022) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of The Limes is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Oxford House (1122055)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Oxford House' (1122055) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Oxford House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Two Gates (1151949)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Two Gates' (1151949) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Two Gates is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
37 The Street (1122054)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '37 The Street' (1122054) is located within Little</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be</p>	<p>The value of 37 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ash Tree Cottage (1122021)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ash Tree Cottage' (1122021) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TTB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Ash Tree Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Foxtons (1338476)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Foxtons' (1338476) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB138-144, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, eight SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 220 m to the north-west of the asset although the grain and density of development in the village and surrounding vegetation mean that views are unlikely. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Foxtons is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Little Waltham Lodge (1338514)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Waltham Lodge' (1338514) is located on the western edge of Little Waltham.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of Little Waltham Lodge is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Cottages Immediately to the west of St James's Churchyard (1122200)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB140-144, associated construction work areas, pulling location for TB140-144, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 370 m to the north-west of the asset and the upper portion of the pylons may be visible. The setting of this asset also includes an active dual carriageway and therefore the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Cottages Immediately to the west of St James's Churchyard' (1122200) is located within Chignell St James.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB156-158, pulling locations for TB157 and TB158, temporary haul road, six SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, BT overhead line mitigation and work area, bellmouth works and overhead line crossing</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of Cottages Immediately to the West of St James's Churchyard is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 280 m to the south-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through local mature vegetation. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Thatcher's Farmhouse (1235835)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatcher's Farmhouse' (1235835) is located east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB161-165, pulling locations for TB161 and TB164, temporary haul road, six SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection work areas and bellmouth works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Thatcher's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Hoestreet Farmhouse (1235763)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hoestreet Farmhouse' (1235763) is located south-east of Roxwell.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of Hoestreet Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB162-165, pulling locations for TB162 and TB164, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest to the asset, 480 m to the north-east and may be occasionally visible through local mature vegetation and buildings. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Granary Cottage, to South-East of Hoe Street Farm House (1247675)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary Cottage, to South-East of Hoe Street Farm House' (1247675) is located south-east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB162-165, pulling locations for TB162 and TB164, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest to the asset, 460 m to the north-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through local mature vegetation and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Granary Cottage, to South-East of Hoe Street Farm House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			buildings. The magnitude of impact is considered to be negligible adverse. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Little Thatchers (1235775)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Thatchers' (1235775) is located south-east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB162-165, pulling locations for TB162 and TB164, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest to the asset, 530 m to the north-east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through local mature vegetation and buildings. The magnitude of impact is considered to be negligible adverse. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Little Thatchers is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Newney Hall (1237228)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newney Hall' (1237228) is located north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of Newney Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Sturgeons House (1237071)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>TB163-168, pulling location for TB164 and TB166, temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation and work area, BT overhead line mitigation and work area, seven SuDS basins and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 220 m to the east-south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Sturgeons House (1237071)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Sturgeons House' (1237071) is located northwest of Great Oxney Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB164-166, pulling locations for TB164 and TB166, temporary haul road, three SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, BT overhead line mitigation and work area and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Sturgeons House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Southwoods (1237174)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Southwoods' (1237174) is located south of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB174-178, pulling location for TB174, TB175 and TB178, eight SuDS basins, temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Southwoods is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Granary and Cart Lodge at Southwoods Farm (1237420)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary and Cart Lodge at Southwoods Farm' (1237420) is located south of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB174-178, pulling location for TB174, TB175 and TB178, eight SuDS basins, temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 100 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of Granary and Cart Lodge at Southwoods Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).	
Barn at Southwoods Farm (1237421)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn at Southwoods Farm' (1237421) is located south of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB174-178, pulling location for TB174, TB175 and TB178, eight SuDS basins, temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn at Southwoods Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Barn Immediately North-West of Coptfoldhall Farmhouse (1247784)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Immediately North-West of Coptfoldhall Farmhouse' (1247784) is located north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of Barn Immediately North-West of Coptfoldhall Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn to East Of Bearmans Farmhouse (1264135)	Grade II Listed Building Medium	<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB176-TB181, pulling location for TB178 and TB181, temporary haul road, nine SuDS basins, construction laydown area and overhead line mitigation and work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 160 m north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
		<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to East Of Bearmans Farmhouse' (1264135) is located north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB178-182, pulling location for TB178 and TB181, temporary haul road, seven SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, construction laydown area, a highways laydown area, and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 520 m to the west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through local mature vegetation and buildings. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Barn to East Of Bearmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
Outbuildings at Bearmans Farmhouse (1237409)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Outbuildings at Bearmans Farmhouse' (1237409) is located north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB178-182, pulling location for TB178 and TB181, temporary haul road, seven SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area, a construction laydown area, a highways laydown area, and overhead line crossing protection work areas.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 520 m to the west of the asset and may be occasionally visible through local mature vegetation and buildings. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Outbuildings at Bearmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Settlement Site at Ash Tree Corner (1002140)	Scheduled monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Settlement Site at Ash Tree Corner' (1002140) is located west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB139-144, three SuDS basins,</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the	The value of Settlement Site at Ash Tree Corner is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Great Waltham (CA55)	Conservation area	Medium	<p>The Great Waltham conservation area (CA55) is located between Phleshey Road, following Main Road to Chelmsford Road before the junction of Chelmsford Road with the B1008. CA55 includes the Grade II RPG Langleys (1000241). The western extent of the CA55 follows South Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter part of the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB135-146, pulling locations for TB135, TB138 and TB140-144, temporary haul road, 12 SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area and overhead line crossing protection work areas (Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Great Waltham is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Little Waltham (CA56)	Conservation area	Medium	<p>Little Waltham; document reference 7.12).</p> <p>These works are adjacent to the conservation area at its south extent and would be visible. However, the historic core of Great Waltham would remain unaffected by the Project, as would those areas of the conservation area which benefit from views out to the north and west. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Little Waltham is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the village (Figure 7.12.F169_VP6.13 Viewpoint 6.13: B1008, Little Waltham; document reference 7.12). The relatively narrow street and the density and grain of development, along with roadside screening on the B1008 mean that most construction works would not be visible from much of the conservation area. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Langleys (1000241)	Grade II registered park and garden	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Registered Park and Gardens 'Langleys' (1000241) is located on the north-eastern side of the settlement of Great Waltham and is incorporated into the conservation area of Great Waltham (CA55).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB135-146, pulling locations for TB135, TB138 and TB140-144, temporary haul road, 12 SuDS basins, overhead line mitigation and work area and overhead line crossing protection work areas. These works pass to the immediate south of the asset and would be visible in designed views out to the south and east (Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of Little Waltham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Langleys is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
<p>Little Hyde Farm Cottages (1207659)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Hyde Farm Cottages' (1207659) is located to the north-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB183-186, pulling location associated with pylons TB183 and TB185, the temporary haul road, a overhead line crossing protection area, and overhead line mitigation work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible, although would be viewed in the context of the already busy A12. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Little Hyde Cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Little Hyde Farmhouse (1197304)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Hyde Farmhouse' (1197304) is located to the north-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB183-186, pulling location associated with pylon TB183 and TB185, temporary haul road, overhead line crossing</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of the Little Hyde Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>protection area and overhead line mitigation work area.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible, although would be viewed in the context of the busy A12. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section G

Table A11.2.8 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section G

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Barn at Ingatestone Hall (1002174) /Granary 130meters north west of Ingatestone Hall (1298752)	Scheduled Monument/ Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The scheduled 'Barn at Ingatestone Hall' (1002174) and the Granary 130 Metres North West of Ingatestone Hall (1298752) are located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRoW near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Barn at Ingatestone Hall and the granary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the Barn and granary at Ingatestone Hall (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Moated site immediately east of Heron Hall (1016861)	Scheduled Monument	High	magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .  The 'Moated site immediately east of Heron Hall' (1016861) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.  The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB212-222 with associated pulling location TB212, TB218 and TB222, the temporary haul road, 18 SuDS basin locations, three sets of overhead line crossing protection works and overhead line mitigation works. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The moat is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project works are over 1.2 km distant to the north-east through to south-east and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the moated site at Heron Hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the moated site at Heron Hall ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Giles (1208238)	Grade I Listed Building	High	The Grade I listed 'Church of St Giles' (1208238) is located immediately west of Old Church Lane west of Billericay.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of the Church of St Giles is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of construction works for pylons TB200-TB203, the pulling location associated with pylon TB200 and TB203, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth junction and five SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however up to c. 400 m and further to the north-east through to the south-east, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the Church of St Giles (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
Ingatstone Hall (1187315)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Ingatstone Hall' (1187315) is located within the wider complex of Ingatstone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatstone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>The value of Ingatstone Hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b></p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
		<p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect on Ingatestone Hall (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Gatehouse and courtyard ranges 30 metres west of Ingatestone Hall (1197286)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The 'Gatehouse and courtyard ranges 30 metres west of Ingatestone Hall' (1197286) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the gatehouse and courtyard ranges <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRoW near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of All Saints (1297263)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The 'Church of All Saints' (1297263) is located within Hutton village conservation area (CA17). The Church is located c. 450 m to the south of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB207-212, pulling locations at pylons TB207 and TB212, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth junction and eight SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, up to c. 600 m to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of All Saints is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Granary 5 metres south east of Heron Hall (1280702)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The 'Granary 5 metres south east of Heron Hall' (<b>1280702</b>) is a Grade II* listed building is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB212-222 with associated pulling location TB212, TB218 and TB222, the temporary haul road, 18 SuDS basin locations, three sets of overhead line crossing protection works and overhead line mitigation works. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The moat is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project works are over 1.2 km distant to the north-east through to south-east and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the Granary is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
'Lodge Cottages 170 metres north	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	'Lodge Cottages 170 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' ( <b>1187393</b> ) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of the Lodge Cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
west of Ingatestone Hall' (1187393)		<p>Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
The Barn (now workshop) 100 metres west of Ingatestone Hall	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Barn (now workshop) 100 metres west of Ingatestone Hall' (1187269) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the Barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
(1187269)		<p>construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB189-196 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191, TB193 and TB196, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road, again to the east and north-east. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The asset is, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are almost 1 km distant and beyond (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>	
<p>Shelter shed and attached byre 100 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall (1197288)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The 'Shelter shed and attached byre 100 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (1197288) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of the Shelter shed is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Byre 80 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall (<b>1197287</b>)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The 'Byre 80 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (<b>1197287</b>) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of The Byre is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn 110 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall (<b>1297189</b>)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The 'Barn 110 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (<b>1297189</b>) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the assets through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB190-195 with associated pulling location at pylons TB191 and TB193, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, nine SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the assets. They are, however, well screened by the Ingatestone Hall complex and the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the Barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Project works are over 1 km to the north-east through to the south-east (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
Wardropers Farmhouse (1293259)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Wardropers Farmhouse' (1293259) is located on the eastern side of Mountnessing Road, north-west of Billericay.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB198-202, pulling location for TB200, the temporary haul road, seven SuDS basin locations, two sets of bellmouth junctions, overhead line mitigation and two overhead line crossing protection areas. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, up to 150 m and further to the north through to the south-west of the asset, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Wardropers Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Mountnessing Hall and	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Mountnessing Hall and Attached Walls, Railings, and Gates' (1197325) is</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be</p>	<p>The value of Mountnessing Hall is</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Attached Walls, Railings, and Gates (1197325)			<p>situated off Old Church Lane west of Billericay.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of construction works for pylons TB200-TB203, the pulling location associated with pylon TB200 and TB203, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth junction and five SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however up to c. 500 m and further to the north-east through to the south-east, and so the magnitude of impact is considered be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ellices (1297247)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Ellices' (1297247) is located to the north the A129 Rayleigh Road east of Hutton Conservation Area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of construction works for pylons TB205-209, pulling location for pylon TB207, the temporary haul road, construction laydown area, six SuDS basin locations, bellmouth junction works, overhead line</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of Ellices is <b>medium</b> value and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect	
Stable and coach house at Hutton House (1197242)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Stable and coach house at Hutton House' (1197242) is located at the north-eastern end of Hutton Village conservation Area (CA17), c. 600 m east of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of construction works for pylons TB207-TB209, pulling location for pylon TB207, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth junction works, construction laydown area and three SuDS basin locations (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). These works are, however, up to 150 m and further to the north-east through to the south-east of the asset, and so the magnitude of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the stable and coach house is <b>medium</b>, and magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
Hutton House (1279616)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Hutton House' (1279616) is located at the north-eastern end of Hutton Village conservation Area (CA17), c. 600 m east of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of construction works for pylons TB207-TB209, pulling location for pylon TB207, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth junction works, construction laydown area and three SuDS basin locations (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). These works are, however, up to 150 m and further to the north-east through to the south-east of the asset, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Hutton House is <b>medium</b>, and magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Sudbury's Farmhouse (1170946)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Sudbury's Farmhouse' (1170946) is located on the southern side of Sudburys Farm Road, to the north-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of Sudbury's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> value, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The</p>

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of overhead line mitigation works, SuDS basin works, and the construction of pylons TB211-216 and a pulling location associated with TB212 and temporary haul road to the north-west, west and south-west of the asset. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however up to c. 250 m and further to the north-west through to the south-west. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Botney Hill Farmhouse (1322862)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The 'Botney Hill Farmhouse' (1322862) is located on the northern side of Botney Hill Road to the south-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of overhead line mitigation works and the construction of pylons TB215-220 with a pulling location associated with pylon TB218, and temporary haul road to the north-east, east and south-east of the asset. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however up to c. 60 m and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Botney Hill Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			further to the north-east through to the south-east. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Heron Hall (1197182)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Heron Hall' (<b>1197182</b>) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB212-222 with associated pulling location TB212, TB218 and TB222, the temporary haul road, 18 SuDS basin locations, three sets of overhead line crossing protection works and overhead line mitigation works. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The moat is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project works are over 1.2 km distant to the north-east through to south-east and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Heron Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Stable range 20 metres south west of	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The 'Stable range 20 metres south west of Heron Hall' ( <b>1197183</b> ) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of the Stable Range is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Heron Hall (1197183)			<p>north-east of Herongate.</p> <p>The operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB212-TB222. The asset is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project pylons are almost 1.4 km distant and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on Heron Hall (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
'Granary/Court Hall 90 metres south east of Heron Hall (1205471)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Granary/Court Hall 90 metres south east of Heron Hall' (1205471) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB212-222 with associated pulling location TB212, TB218 and TB222, the temporary haul road, 18 SuDS basin locations, three sets of overhead line crossing protection works and overhead line mitigation works. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value the Granary/Court Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			into the rural setting of the asset. The moat is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project works are over 1.2 km distant to the north-east through to south-east and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Wayletts (1121459)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Wayletts' (1121459) is located c. 840 m to the north-west of Dunton Technical Centre on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider semi-rural setting of the asset through the introduction of a temporary haul road, two SuDS basin locations and the construction of TB220-226, and pulling locations associated with TB222 and TB224. A bellmouth junction on Dunton Road would also be constructed c. 45 m west of the asset. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Wayletts is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Dunton Hills (1208245)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	'Dunton Hills' (1208245) is located c. 260 m to the north of Dunton Hills Golf Course to the east of West Horndon.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document	The value of Dunton Hills is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider semi-rural setting of the asset through the introduction of a temporary haul road within the golf course. To the west pylons TB224-TB227 would be constructed. Pylons PSC4-8 to the north-east, east and south-east would be dismantled. (Figure 7.12.F190_VP7.08a Viewpoint 7.08: Dunton Hills Farm (Dunton Garden Village; document reference 7.12). These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. However, well-established vegetation adequately screens the asset. These works are, however up to c. 800 m and further to the north-east through to the south-east. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of St Mary (1122253)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The 'Church of St Mary' (1122253) is located west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project the asset's setting would be impacted by the construction of pylons TB228-231, pulling locations associated with TB228, TB229, and TB231, underground cable works, pylon dismantling works, underground cable works, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road to</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the north, west and south of the asset. These works would create plant noise and movement and the cranes and the tops of the pylons would be seen from the asset despite it being surrounded by dense vegetation. These works are, however up to c. 80 m and further surrounding the asset. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Dunton Hall (1338380)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Dunton Hall' (1338380) is located west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project the asset's setting would be impacted by the construction of pylons TB228-231, pulling locations associated with TB228, TB229, and TB231, underground cable works, pylon dismantling works, underground cable works, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road to the north, west and south of the asset. These works would create plant noise and movement and the cranes, and the tops of the pylons would be seen from the asset despite it being surrounded by dense vegetation. These works are, however up to c. 80 m and further surrounding the asset. The magnitude</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Dunton Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hutton Village conservation area (CA17)	Conservation area	Medium	<p>of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>Hutton Village conservation area (CA17) is located immediately to the south-east of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of the construction of pylons TB207-211, a pulling location associated with TB207, the temporary haul road, construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation, overhead line crossing protection works, two bellmouth junctions and six SuDS basin locations. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). These works are, however, up to c. 110 m to the east, north-east, south-east of the asset, and</p>	<p>For below ground remains: none.</p> <p>For setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of Hutton Village conservation area is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the settings: The value of Hutton Village conservation area is <b>medium</b>, and magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate</b> adverse significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Little Burstead conservation area ( <b>CA14</b> )	Conservation area	Medium	<p>The linear Little Burstead conservation area (<b>CA14</b>) is focused on Clock House Road, Rectory Road and Laindon Common Road in Little Burstead.</p> <p>During the construction phase, the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the construction of pylons TB214-219, a pulling location associated with TB218, the temporary haul road, two sets of overhead line crossing protection works, 13 SuDS basin locations and overhead line mitigation. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, up to 800 m and further to the north-west through to the south-west of the asset, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the Little Burstead conservation area is <b>medium</b> , and magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Margaretting Hall ( <b>1152104</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Margaretting Hall' (<b>1152104</b>) is located southwest of Margaretting and northeast of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be	The value of Margaretting Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Designation Entry No.	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
		<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB184-188, associated construction work areas, a pulling location associated with TB185, temporary haul road, five SuDS basins, bellmouth junctions, overhead line mitigation and work area and overhead line crossing protection works. These works are, at their closest, 44 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Ingatestone Over the River Wid (That Part in Brentwood District) (1207790)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ingatestone Over the River Wid (That Part in Brentwood District)' (1207790) is located along Ingatestone Road and Stock Lane and south-east of Ingatestone, with the River Wid crossing the Order Limits approximately 5 m north of pylon TB190.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB189-193, pulling location associated with pylons TB191 and TB193, temporary haul road, seven SuDS basin locations, bellmouths, and overhead line mitigation works. The asset crosses the Order Limits approximately 5 m north of pylon TB190 and would be visible. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of Ingatestone Over the River Wid (That Part in Brentwood District) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
White's Tyrrells Farmhouse (1236733)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'White's Tyrrells Farmhouse' (<b>1236733</b>) is located east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB191-196, pulling locations associated with pylons TB191, TB193 and TB196, associated construction work areas, temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation and work area, and two SuDS basin. These works are, at their closest, c. 80 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of White's Tyrrells Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Milestone and Cover Plate 45 Metres South West of Ivy Cottage (1247731)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Milestone and Cover Plate 45 Metres South West of Ivy Cottage' (<b>1247731</b>) is located east of the B1002 and south-west of Margaretting, and within the Order Limits.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the roadside setting of the asset through the introduction of a</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional	The value of 'Milestone and Cover Plate 45 Metres South West of Ivy Cottage' is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>bellmouth and access road. The works would not result in a physical impact to the asset which is set back from road and pavement and outside the Order Limits. The works could be beneficial for this asset by cleaning up its setting (the verge and the road) and making it more visible. Therefore, the magnitude of impact could be considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>beneficial</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of St Mary (1264434)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1264434) is located east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB190-196, associated construction work areas, a pulling location associated with pylons TB191, TB193 and TB196, a temporary haul road, three SuDS basins, bellmouth junctions and overhead line mitigation and work area. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Margaret (1338504)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Margaret' (1338504) is located northeast of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon TB184-188, a pulling location associated with pylon TB185, temporary haul road, three SuDS basins, bellmouth junctions and overhead line crossing protection work area .These works are, at their closest, 115 m west with a permanent access route and c. 400 m with the main Project corridor, also to the west of the asset, and as such might be visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Church of St Margaret is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section H

Table A11.2.9 Construction effects to designated heritage assets - Section H

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south west of Doesgate Farm ( <b>1020998</b> )	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Bulphan world War II bombing decoy, 850 m and 890 m south west of Doesgate Farm,' (<b>1020998</b>) is located east of Bulpham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB235-TB239 pulling locations associated with pylons TB36 and TB37, bellmouth works, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, OLH crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would run parallel and adjacent to an existing pylon route which is located in between the asset and the Order Limits, thereby reducing the Project's impact.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 300 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Crop mark complex, Orsett (1002134)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Crop mark complex, Orsett' (1002134) is located north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ115-YYJ116 and ZB23-ZB22, pulling locations associated with pylons YYJ116 and ZB22, two SuDS basin, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 260 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place (1009286)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled Monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (1009286) is located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons ZB16, ZB17R, ZB18, YYJ120-</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>YYJ122, temporary pylon ZB17T, pulling locations associated with pylons ZB17T, YYJ121 and ZB17R, overhead line crossing protection works, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. The bellmouth works and temporary haul road) are c. 75 m to the south-east of the asset with the pylon corridor c. 430 m to the south. These works would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Church of St James (1111541)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St James' (1111541) is located south of Church Road in West Tilbury.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ129, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 450 m to</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the north-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the churchyard. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Saffron Garden (1111557)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Saffron Garden' (1111557) is located north of the Stanford le Hope Bypass.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB250-TB254, pulling locations associated with pylons TB52 and TB54, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 230 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Walls at Saffron Garden (1111558)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Walls at Saffron Garden' (1111558) is located north of the Stanford le Hope Bypass.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the construction of pylons TB250-TB254, pulling locations associated with pylons TB52 and TB54, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 230 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Lower Dunton Hall (1111583)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lower Dunton Hall' (1111583) is located off Lower Dunton Road and east-north-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB234-TB235, a SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 400 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature vegetation of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	alter the setting of the asset.	
West Tilbury Hall (1111625)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'West Tilbury Hall' (1111625) is situated south of Church Road in West Tilbury.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ129, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 450 m to the north-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the churchyard. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Lorkins Farmhouse (1111626)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lorkins Farmhouse' (1111626) is situated on the north side of Conway's Road north of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of bellmouth works, a construction laydown area,</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and the temporary haul road. The pylon corridor lies c. 930 m to the east of the asset and beyond the extent its setting.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 370 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn to north of Wyfields Farmhouse (1111646)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Barn to north of Wyfields Farmhouse' (1111646) is located north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB243 - TB247, pulling locations associated with pylons TB243 and TB244, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Timber Framed Barn at Ongar Hall (1111650)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'The Timber Frames Barn at Ongar Hall' (1111650) is located on the eastern side of Brentwood Road to the north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB241 - TB245, a pulling location associated with pylon TB243 and TB244, SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 310 m to the east of the asset but would be largely screened by the small industrial park to the east of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Weatherboarded Barn at Lorkins Farm (1146631)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Weatherboarded Barn at Lorkins Farm' (1146631) is situated on the north flank of Conway's road north of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Doesgate Farmhouse (1146666)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. The pylon corridor lies c. 930 m to the east of the asset and beyond the extent its setting.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 370 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Doesgate Farmhouse' (1146666) is located off Doesgate Lane, east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction pylon TB235 - TB237, a pulling location associated with pylon TB237, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 320 m to the west-</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible between and above residential properties to the west. The magnitude of impact, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	alter the setting of the asset.	
Granary to North East of Manor Farmhouse (1146758)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary to North East of Manor Farmhouse' (1146758) is located on the eastern side of West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset with through the construction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ129, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 430 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn to north of West Tilbury Hall (1308889)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to north of West Tilbury Hall' (1308889) is located south of Church Road in West Tilbury.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset through the construction of pylons, YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ129, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 450 m to the north-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the churchyard. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Wyfields Farmhouse (1337057)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wyfields Farmhouse' (1337057) is located on the northern side of Black Bush Lane and north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB243 - TB247, pulling locations associated with pylons TB243 and TB244, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>at their closest, 40 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Marshall's Cottages (1337058)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Marshall's Cottages' (1337058) is located on the eastern side of West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ128 - YYJ130 and ZB9 - ZB10 temporary pylon YYJ128TB, pulling locations associated with pylons YYJ129 and ZB9, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 390 m to the north-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible between and above the mature vegetation and development to the east. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ongar Hall (1337060)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ongar Hall' (1337060) is located on the eastern side of Brentwood Road to the north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Weatherboarded Cartlodge at Lorkins Farm (1337085)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB241 - TB245, a pulling location associated with pylon TB243 and TB244, SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 310 m to the east of the asset but would be largely screened by the small industrial park to the east of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Weatherboarded Cartlodge at Lorkins Farm' (1337085) is located north of Conway's road and north of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. The pylon corridor lies c. 930 m to the east of the asset and beyond the extent its setting.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 370 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Manor Farmhouse (1337089)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Manor Farmhouse' (1337089) is located on the eastern side of West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset with through the construction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ129, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 430 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Earthworks near church, West Tilbury (1002199)	Scheduled Monument	High	The Scheduled Monument 'Earthworks near Church, West Tilbury' (1002199) is located along Church Road, within the West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes	The value of the scheduled monument is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ129, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 460 m to the north-east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the settlement and the Church of Saint James' churchyard. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
West Tilbury (CA28)	Conservation Area	High	<p>The West Tilbury conservation area (CA28) is focused on Rectory Road and Church Road within West Tilbury.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the semi-rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ126 - YYJ130 and ZB8 - ZB12, pulling location associated with pylons YYJ126, YYJ129 and ZB9, temporary pylons YYJ126T - YYJ128T, seven SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Thatched Cottage (1111644)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatched Cottage' (1111644) is located along Baker Street in Thurrock.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ116 - YYJ118 and ZB20 - ZB22, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1 and 2 Grays Corner Cottages (1337056)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '1 and 2 Grays Corner Cottages' (1337056) is located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ116 - YYJ118 and ZB20 - ZB22, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct temporary <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

# Non-designated Heritage Assets

## Section A

Table A11.2.10 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION A ORDER LIMITS</b>					
1004	South Norfolk Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1004</b>) is Heywood Road, a Protected Lane, south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1004</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1005	HER-MNF10033	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1005</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking surface finds south-east of Little Green.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1005</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1009	South Norfolk Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1009</b>) is Darrow Road, a Protected Lane to the east of Bressingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1009</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1010	HER-MNF10106	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1010</b>) is the site of the deserted medieval village of Kenningham located east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG12-14, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1010</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>works and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG12-14, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1010</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<b>1013</b>	HER-MNF10900	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1013</b>) is the site of a former moated medieval house (Bush Hall) Waveney Valley located south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction</p>	<p>For below ground remains: none</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons RG85-87, a pulling location associated with pylon RG87, four SuDS basin locations, and overhead line crossing protection works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse (not significant)</b>. For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<b>1020</b>	HER-MNF10927	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1020</b>) is the site of a concentration of pot boilers north-east of Winfarthing and interpreted and possible evidence of a burnt mound.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location of pylon RG61. As only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1020</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1024	HER-MNF10974	Medium	<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>1024</b>) is the site of a medieval moat east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG69, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG69, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1024</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1024</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1025	HER-MNF68005	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1025</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Snow Street.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1025</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		<b>change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1029	HER-MNF9984	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1029</b>) is five partially surviving medieval strip fields east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG25, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1029</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1035	HER-MNF9962	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1035</b>) is the site of flint tool finds in a gravel quarry west-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1035</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1037	HER-MNF13628	Low	The asset ( <b>1037</b> ) is the site of a former WWI military airfield north-	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>1037</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG55-56, a SuDS basin location, temporary construction compound (RG-Sate1), and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1049	HER-MNF17388	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1049</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1049</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1055	HER-MNF74157	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1055</b>) is linear and discrete geophys anomalies possibly brick kilns on land east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1055</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			with pylon RG12. As only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1059	HER-MNF17828	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1059</b>) is the site of metal detector finds west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1059</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1062	HER-MNF17869	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1062</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1062</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1064	HER-MNF17882	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1064</b>) is the former Winfarthing Great Park east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1064</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG61-66, pulling locations associated with pylons RG61 RG65, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1065	HER-MNF17956	Low	The asset ( <b>1065</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds west of Cargate Common.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1065</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1070	HER-MNF19509	Low	The asset ( <b>1070</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds east of Bunwell Hill.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1070</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1072	HER-MNF19723	Low	The asset ( <b>1072</b> ) is the cropmarks of a strip field system north-north-east of Winfarthing.	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>1072</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG57, a SuDS basin location, a construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p><b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1087	HER-MNF23091	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1087</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds north-east of Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1087</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1088	HER-MNF22875	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1088</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1088</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1091	HER-MNF23103	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1091</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1091</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1092	HER-MNF23272	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1092</b>) is the site of prehistoric fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1092</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1093	HER-MNF23844	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1093</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1093</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1095	HER-MNF67730	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1095</b>) is the site of a metal detector find north of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1095</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1100	HER-MNF23802	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1100</b>) is the site of a metal detector find south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1100</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1104	Norfolk CC		<p>The asset (<b>1104</b>) is Heywood Road (2), a Protected Lane, east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	The value of asset ( <b>1004</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be low adverse. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1103	HER-MNF24358	Low	The asset ( <b>1103</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-south-east of	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1103</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Forncett End.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p><b>change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1105	HER-MNF23849	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1105</b>) is the site of metal detector finds located south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1105</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1111	HER-MNF25181	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1111</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds north of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1111</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1119	HER-MNF28669	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1119</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1119</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1120	HER-MNF25179	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1120</b>) is a scatter of burnt flint south-east of Bunwell and interpreted as a possible burnt mound.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies just outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1120</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1121	HER-MNF25180	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1121</b>) is the site of a metal detector find north of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1121</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1122	HER-MNF9750	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1122</b>) is the site of the Humbleyard Hundred Moot south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard</p>	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>1122</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pylon RG003 pulling location. Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies just outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG3-5, pulling locations associated with pylons RG3 and RG5, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 20 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1122</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1130	HER-MNF30205	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1130</b>) is a site of a possible Romano-British settlement and Anglo-Saxon cemetery south-west of Flordon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1130</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>by groundworks associated with pylon RG29, a construction laydown area, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG29, a construction laydown area, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1130</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1133	HER-MNF31447	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1133</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1133</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1137	HER-MNF31943	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1137</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1137</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Forncett End.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1138	HER-MNF31308	Low	<p>The asset (1138) is the site of metal detector finds south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (1138) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1139	HER-MNF31949	Medium	<p>The asset (1139) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-west of Forncett Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (1139) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1156	HER-MNF33192	Low	<p>The asset (1156) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east-north-east of Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of</p>	None.	The value of asset (1156) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1158	HER-MNF39241	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1158</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1158</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1159	HER-MNF39286	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1159</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Diss.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1159</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1160	HER-MNF9751	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1160</b>) is an undated cropmark east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the establishment of an environmental area immediately south of the National Grid Norwich Main Substation under which the asset partially lies. As all of the surviving asset falls within the Order</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1160</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
1161	HER-MNF39826	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1161</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1161</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1166	HER-MNF39099	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1166</b>) is the site of a metal detector find south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1166</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1167	HER-MNF39999	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1167</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds on the western flank of Forncett Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1167</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1168	HER-MNF40010	Medium	The asset ( <b>1168</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>1168</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: the high concentration of Romano-British material suggests the possibility of a metalworking site. The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG32, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1168</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG31-033, a pulling location associated with pylon RG33, three SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset and immediately to the north and south. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1175	HER-MNF41015	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1175</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1175</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1176	HER-MNF39840	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1176</b>) is the site of a metal detector find north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1176</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1179	HER-MNF41236	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1179</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1179</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1184	HER-MNF42103	Low	The asset ( <b>1184</b> ) is a scatter of Bronze Age flints and excavated archaeological features east of Swardeston.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1184</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a construction laydown area. As the whole of the unexcavated section of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1185	HER-MNF42104	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1185</b>) is a scatter of prehistoric finds and excavated archaeological features east of Swardeston.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1185</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by works associated with the establishment of an environmental area to the west of the Norwich Main Substation, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As the whole of the unexcavated section of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
1189	HER-MNF42480	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1189</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-east of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1189</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Tacolneston.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		<b>change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1195	HER-MNF44227	Low	The asset ( <b>1195</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds west of Swainsthorpe.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1195</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1217	HER-MNF66315	Low	The asset ( <b>1217</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1217</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1218	HER-MNF60099	Low	The asset ( <b>1218</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-east of Swardeston.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1218</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1222	HER-MNF60186	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1222</b>) is the cropmarks of likely post medieval drainage features north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG7, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1222</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1223	HER-MNF60305	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1223</b>) is the cropmarks of post medieval field boundaries south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG10 and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>1223</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1225	HER-MNF66314	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1225</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1225</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1227	HER-MNF74161	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1227</b>) is the results of a geophysical survey interpreted as medieval occupation to the east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG13, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG13, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1227</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1227</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<b>adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
1228	HER-MNF57726	Low	The asset ( <b>1228</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south of Bressingham.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1228</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1229	HER-MNF61840	Low	The asset ( <b>1229</b> ) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds west of Swainsthorpe.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1229</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1230	HER-MNF61841	Low	The asset ( <b>1230</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Swainsthorpe.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1230</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1232	HER-MNF58527	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1232</b>) is the deserted medieval village of Gowthorpe west of Swardeston.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG3-4, the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. These works fall within the bounds of the asset at its eastern limit and immediately to the north. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1232</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1232</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1233	HER-MNF61842	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1233</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-west of</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1233</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Swainsthorpe.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		<b>change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1234	HER-MNF61861	Low	The asset ( <b>1234</b> ) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south-south-west of Swainsthorpe.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1234</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1237	HER-MNF58532	Low	The asset ( <b>1237</b> ) is fragmentary linear cropmarks east of Swardeston.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1237</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1238	HER-MNF61931	Low	The asset ( <b>1238</b> ) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds east of	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1238</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Mulbarton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1239	HER-MNF61933	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1239</b>) is the site of post medieval fieldwalking finds east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1239</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1240	HER-MNF58671	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1240</b>) is the site of a former WWII searchlight battery, pillbox and associated structures east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by works associated with the establishment of an environmental area encompassing the National Grid Norwich Main Substation. Some of the asset lies beneath the existing Substation whilst the remainder of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1240</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
1246	HER-MNF66170	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1246</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>For below ground remains: Saxon finds led to the discovery of a furnished female burial and further burials may be present within the bounds of the asset. The asset, however, only just clips the Order Limits and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG68-69, four SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1246</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1246</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1252	HER-MNF63256	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1252</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1252</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Bressingham.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1254	HER-MNF60187	Low	The asset ( <b>1254</b> ) is the cropmarks of rectilinear enclosures north-west of Swainsthorpe.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG7. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1254</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1255	HER-MNF60188	Low	The asset ( <b>1255</b> ) is cropmarks of possible Romano-British dates north-west of Swainsthorpe.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG6, the pulling location associated with pylon RG7, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1255</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
1263	HER-MNF63452	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1263</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1263</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1264	HER-MNF60306	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1264</b>) is cropmarks of probable post medieval date south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG10 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1264</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1265	HER-MNF63549	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1265</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1265</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1266	HER-MNF63550	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1266</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1266</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1267	HER-MNF61409	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1267</b>) is the site of a Roman metal detector find south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1267</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1268	HER-MNF63551	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1268</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1268</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1270	HER-MNF73450	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1270</b>) is excavated Bronze Age remains south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG14, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the setting of the asset makes only a minor contribution to its value, therefore any changes in the setting arising from construction activity would be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1270</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1270</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1271	HER-MNF63783	Low	The asset ( <b>1271</b> ) is excavated multi-period archaeological features and finds assemblage east of	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>1271</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG3 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1278	HER-MNF64828	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1278</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south-south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1278</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1279	HER-MNF28732	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1279</b>) is the site of metalwork finds suggesting the possibility of an early Saxon burial ground located north-east of Toprow and partially within the Order Limits.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1279</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated the widening of Wymondham Road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the presence of works associated with the widening of Wymondham Road and the construction and use of a highways laydown area. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1279) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1280	HER-MNF65036	Low	<p>The asset (1280) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (1280) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1281	HER-MNF65388	Low	<p>The asset (1281) is the site of a post medieval metal detector find south-east of Bunwell.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (1281) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		<b>change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1286	HER-MNF66317	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1286</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1286</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1287	HER-MNF74159	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1287</b>) is a complex of geophysical anomalies east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location. Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies just outside areas of known impact other than the SuDS basin location and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1287</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1290	HER-MNF73128	Low	The asset ( <b>1290</b> ) is the site of a Roman metal detector find east of	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1290</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Bressingham.  As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1291	HER-MNF67757	Low	The asset ( <b>1291</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Shelfanger.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1291</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1293	HER-MNF68768	Low	The asset ( <b>1293</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Bressingham.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1293</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1300	HER-MNF65035	Low	The asset ( <b>1300</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-north-west of Flordon.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1300</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1302	HER-MNF65037	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1302</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1302</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1312	HER-MNF9770	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1312</b>) is the former Henstead Union Workhouse and Vale Hospital west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works within the asset's grounds. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1312</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1328	HER-MNF40603	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1328</b>) is the cropmarks of a possible Bronze Age barrow cemetery north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as</p>	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>1228</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>pylon RG23 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG23 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1232</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1332	HER-MNF74736	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1332</b>) is the cropmark of the ring ditch of a possible barrow within the possible Bronze Age barrow cemetery (<b>1328</b>) north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG23 and its associated</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1332</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>pulling location. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG23 and its associated pulling location, pylon RG24, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>(1332) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1333	HER-MNF74967	Low	<p>The asset (1333) is excavated Romano-British archaeological remains east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by works associated with the establishment of an environmental area west of the Norwich Main Substation and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (1333) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
1346	HER-MNF30393	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1346</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds, located east of Wreningham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1346</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1353	HER-MNF57116	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1353</b>) is the site of geophysical survey anomalies, located almost entirely within the Order Limits adjacent to the Norwich Main Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary construction compound (RG-SC01), and temporary attenuation drainage works. As almost all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1353</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1364	MNF75258	Medium	The asset ( <b>1364</b> ) is the site of Romano-British settlement remains	For below ground remains: archaeological	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>1364</b> ) is <b>medium</b> ,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located partially within the Order Limits c. 950 m south-east of Swardeston.</p>	<p>investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG4-RG5, pulling locations associated with pylons RG3 and RG5, two SuDS basin locations, a large construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1364</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG4-RG5, a pulling locations associated with pylons RG3 and RG5, two SuDS basin locations, a large construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1366	HER-MNF77380	Low	<p>the asset (<b>1366</b>) is the site of geophysical anomalies and excavated archaeological features, located south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1366</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1382	HER-MNF28442	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1382</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds, located east of Wreningham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1382</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1384	MNF13580	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1384</b>) is the remnant of the former route of the Wymondham to Forncett Railway Line.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>1384</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> ,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impacted by groundworks associated with a construction access route. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1500	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1500</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-east of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG39-40, a pulling location associated with pylon RG39, two SuDS basin locations, two crossing protection work areas and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1500</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1502	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1502</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1502</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>with pylon RG37, three SuDS basin locations, two crossing protection work areas and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1503	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1503</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG35, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1503</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
1504	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1504</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1504</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			with pylons RG30-33, a pulling location associated with pylon RG33, four SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1505	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1505</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG34, a SuDS basin location, a crossing protection works area and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1505</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1506	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1506</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1506</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG29, two SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, a crossing protection work area and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1507	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1507</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG28 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, a construction laydown area, a crossing protection works area and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1507</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1508	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1508</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1508</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1509	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1509</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Newton Flotman.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG15-17, a pulling location associated with pylons RG16, three SuDS basin locations, a crossing protection work area and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1509</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1511	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1511</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG22, a SuDS basin location, a crossing protection work area, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1511</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1512	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1512</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG23-24, a pulling location associated with pylon RG23, two SuDS basin locations, a crossing protection work area, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1512</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1513	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .  The asset ( <b>1513</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks, located east of Bressingham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG84-85, pulling locations associated with pylons RG85 and RG87, four SuDS basin locations, five crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1513</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1516	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1516</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks south of Fornsett End.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG41-43, a pulling location associated with pylon RG42, six SuDS basin locations, overhead	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1516</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
1517	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1517</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG44-45, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, three crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1517</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1518	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1518</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1518</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			with pylons RG46-48, a pulling location associated with pylon RG46, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1519	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1519</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Cartgate Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin locations, a crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1519</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1521	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1521</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1518</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			with pylons RG52-54, a pulling location associated with pylon RG52, four SuDS basin locations, two crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1522	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1522</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG55-56, a temporary construction compound (RG-Sate1), a crossing protection works area and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1522</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1524	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1524</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Snow Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1524</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG82-83, three SuDS basin locations, two crossing protection works areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1525	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1525</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG62-63, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1525</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1526	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1526</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1526</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG65-66, a pulling location associated with pylon RG65, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1528	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1528</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east-north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with RG67-68, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1528</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1532	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1532</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-south-east of Shelfanger.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1532</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG74-75, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1535	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1535</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Snow Street.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with RG79-80, a crossing protection works area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1535</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1540	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1540</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-east of Swardeston.  The construction phase would result	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1540</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG5 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a high proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1541	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1541</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-west of Swainthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with RG9-RG10, pulling locations associated with pylons RG8 and RG10, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a high proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1541</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1542	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1542</b>) is medieval/post medieval linear cropmarks and an undated sub-circular enclosure south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1542</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with SuDS basin location. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
1545	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1545</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks, located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG33 and its associated pulling location, a overhead line crossing protection works area, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1545</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1546	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1546</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1546</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG51, a pulling location associated with pylon RG50, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection works area, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1800	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1800</b>) is post medieval cropmark complex west of Dunston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the Substation compound RG-SC01 and work area, overhead line mitigation work area, and the Norwich Main Substation Extension - Substation Extensions. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1800</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1801	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1801</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Marsh Lane.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>1801</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation work area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1805	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1805</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks of field boundaries north of Millway Lane.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1805</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
1551	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1551</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Dunston.</p> <p>The construction phase would not result in any impact on the asset as it lies within the extant Norwich Main Substation. As the asset is already</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1551</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			built over, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1552	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1552</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Dunston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted any works associated with a Norwich Main Substation environmental area. As little to no groundworks are anticipated, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1552</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect is, therefore, <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1555	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1555</b>) is the parish boundary between Swardeston and Stoke Holy Cross.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the establishment of an environmental area associated with the Norwich Main Substation, a pulling location associated with pulling location with pylon RG3, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1555</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
1557	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1557</b>) is an area of woodland and ponds south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>Only a very small proportion of the known extent of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1557</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1558	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1558</b>) is the site of a former pond south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>Only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1558</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1559	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1559</b>) is the site of a former pond south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>Only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1559</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1560	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1560</b>) is a section of the parish boundary between Stoke Holy Cross and Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon RG3. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1560</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1561	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1561</b>) is the site of a former gravel pit east of Swardeston.</p> <p>Only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1561</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1562	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1562</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a Norwich Main Substation environmental area. As little to no</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1562</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks are anticipated, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b> .		
1563	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1563</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a Norwich Main Substation environmental area. As little to no groundworks are anticipated, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1563</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1564	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1564</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a Norwich Main Substation environmental area. As little to no groundworks are anticipated, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1564</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1566	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1566</b> ) is the site of a former pond north-north-west of	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1566</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		Swainsthorpe.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1569	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1569</b> ) is the site of a former pond north-north-west of Swainsthorpe.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1569</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1581	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1581</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-east of Mulbarton.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1581</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1582	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1582</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-east of Mulbarton.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1582</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1583	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1583</b>) is the site of a former plantation.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1583</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
1593	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1593</b>) is the grounds of the Grade II listed 'Kenningham Hall' (<b>1373056</b>) east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a crossing protection area north of Brickkiln Lane. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1593</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1595	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1595</b>) is an undefined boundary south of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG27. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1595</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1596	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1596</b>) is the site of a former plantation south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG16, a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1596</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1597	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1597</b>) is a former plantation south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1597</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1599	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1599</b>) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG18 and the temporary haul road. As all the asset is located within these areas of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1599</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1600	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1600</b>) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1600</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1601	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1601</b>) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1601</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1607	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1607</b>) is the site of a former pond north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1607</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1613	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1613</b>) is the site of a former sand and gravel pit west of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1613</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			south of pylon RG24. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1616	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1616</b>) is a field boundary west of pylon RG026 and south of Toplow.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1616</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1617	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1617</b>) is the former Wymondham and Forncett Railway south of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1617</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
1619	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1619</b>) is the site of a former pond south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1619</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1625	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1625</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east-south-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1625</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1626	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1626</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east-south-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1626</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1628	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1628</b>) is a parish boundary that crosses the Order Limits in two locations between pylons RG31 and RG37.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1628</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1630	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1626</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1626</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1632	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1632</b>) is the site of a former trigonometry point, located east-north-east of Forncett End.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset has been removed and so the</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1632</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1644	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1644</b>) is a section of the parish boundary between Forcett and Bunwell south of Forncett End.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road south of pylon RG42. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1644</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1645	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1644</b>) is a section of the parish boundary between Carleton Rode and Bunwell and Carleton Rode and Tibenham west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road around pylon RG49. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1644</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
1646	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1646</b>) is the site of a former pond south-east of Little Green.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1646</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1647	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1647</b>) is the site of a former pond south-east of Little Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works south of pylon RG43. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1647</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1650	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1650</b>) is a field boundary south-east of Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1650</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG47 and a SuDS basin location. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1653	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1653</b>) is a possible important hedgerow north-west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road to the south of pylon RG48. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1653</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1659	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1659</b>) is the site of a former pond west-north-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road north of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1659</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			pylon RG51. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1665	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1665</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a bellmouth location to the south of pylon RG54. As a moderate proportion of asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1665</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1666	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1666</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1666</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1670	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1670</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1670</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1678	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1678</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1678</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1680	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1680</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1680</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1681	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1681</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1681</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG57. As all the asset is located within this area of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1682	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1682</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road north of pylon RG57. As almost all the asset is located within this area of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1682</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1683	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1683</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works east of pylon RG57. As all the asset is located within this area of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1683</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1684	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1684</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works east of pylon RG57. As all the asset is located within this area of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1684</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1685	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1685</b>) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works east of pylon RG57. As all the asset is located within this area of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1685</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1691	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1691</b>) is a sinuous parish boundary which crosses the Order Limits in four locations between pylons RG57 and RG72.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1691</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, a pulling location associated with pylon RG61, pylon RG68, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1692	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1692</b>) is a possible important hedgerow south-south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1692</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1694	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1694</b>) is a the site of a pond north-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1694</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1696	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1696</b> ) is the site of a former pond north-north-east of	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>1696</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		<p>Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is within this area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	recording prior to the construction phase if required.	impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1697	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1697</b>) is the limits of the estate associated with the Grade II listed Heywood Manor (<b>1049736</b>) north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1697</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1699	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1699</b>) is the site of an extant pond north-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1699</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset's location is omitted from the pulling location associated with RG61 and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1708	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1708</b>) is the site of a now overgrown pond east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG63 and the temporary haul road. As all the asset is located within the areas of impact the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1708</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1711	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1711</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated pylon RG65. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1711</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1715	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1715</b>) is the site of a extant but overgrown pond north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1715</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1722	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1722</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1722</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1723	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1723</b>) is a copse, located east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1723</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1733	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>1733</b> ) is the site of a former building and associated yard south-south-east of Shelfanger.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>1733</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road north-east of pylon RG75. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1737	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1737</b>) is the site of a former pond east-north-east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1737</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
1743	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1743</b>) is the parish boundary between Heywood and Roydon that crosses the Order Limits east of pylon RG078 and east-north-east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1743</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1745	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>1745</b> ) is the extant Darrow Farm and its grounds north-east of Bressingham Common.  Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1745</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1753	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>1753</b> ) is an extant copse east of Bressingham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary haul road south of pylon RG73. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1753</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1755	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>1755</b> ) is a possible important hedgerow east of Bressingham.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1755</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
1764	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1764</b>) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road north-east of pylon RG19. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1764</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1771	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1771</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow north-north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1771</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1772	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1772</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road north of pylon RG4. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>1772</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1773	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1773</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow south-east of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road west of pylon RG26. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>1773</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1774	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1774) is a possibly important hedgerow west of Baynard's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (1774) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1775	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1775) is a possibly important hedgerow north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG68 and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (1775) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1776	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (1776) is a possibly important hedgerow east of Winfarthing.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset (1776) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG65 and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1777	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1777</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1777</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1778	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1778</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow east Shelfanger.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1778</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1779	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1779</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG061 and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1779</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1780	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1780</b>) is a possibly important hedgerow east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road east of pylon RG78. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits'</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1780</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			area of impact, the magnitude is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
1781	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1781</b>) is a parish boundary that crosses the Order Limits in four locations between pylons RG17 and RG27.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG17 and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1781</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
1082	HER-MNF21585	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1082</b>) comprises Late Bronze Age to Roman findspots east of Winfarthing</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1082</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1089	HER-MNF23391	Medium	The asset ( <b>1089</b> ) is the sites of the deserted medieval/ post medieval settlements of Rattongate and Haliards south-south-east of	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>1089</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Tacolneston.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road, two bellmouths and one SuDS Basin. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG40-RG42, a pulling location associated with pylon RG42, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1089</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1164	HER-MNF39828	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1164</b>) comprises a multi-period findspots site north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>1164</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
1543	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1543</b>) comprises a cropmark of a possible penannular ditch south-east of Toprow.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b></p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG24 and RG25, two SuDS locations, overhead line mitigation works, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>1543</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1089</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1580	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1580</b>) 'Page's Plantation', a woodland plantation is located south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1580</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1608	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1608</b>) comprises the estate grounds of 'Flordon Hall' north of Flordon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1608</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1615	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1615</b>) is an important hedgerow present on a map from 1882 south-east of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1615</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1654	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1654</b>) is an area of enclosed field systems and important hedgerows north-west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1654</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1704	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1704</b>) is a former pond north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction work area of pylon RG62. As a medium proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1704</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1734	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1734</b>) is a former pond north of Diss.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a non-intrusive permanent access route and temporary haul road. As a medium proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1734</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1747	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1747</b>) is a former pond east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1734</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impacted by groundworks associated with a bellmouth. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1754	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1754</b>) is an important hedgerow south-west of Baynard's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a non-intrusive permanent access route, bellmouth and temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>1754</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION A ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
1014	HER-MNF10906	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1014</b>) is a complex of two partially surviving medieval moats east of Bressingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon RG81 and its associated pulling location, pylon</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the	The value of asset ( <b>1014</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>RG82, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1019	HER-MNF10926	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1019</b>) is a finds scatter likely representing a Romano-British building east-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG61-RG62, the pulling location associated with pylon RG61, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 80 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1019</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1067	HER-MNF9765	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1067</b>) is the site of the former medieval church of Kenningham east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG12-RG14, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, an overhead line crossing protection work area, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 150 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1067</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1181	HER-MNF9717	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1181</b>) is the site of St James' Chapel within the Gowthorpe DMV (<b>1232</b>) south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG3-RG4, the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1081</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			are, at their closest, 230 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1211	HER-MNF58529	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1211</b>) is the cropmark of a trackway south-west of Swardeston and likely associated with Gowthorpe DMV (<b>1232</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG3-RG4, the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 500 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of asset ( <b>1211</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1212	HER-MNF58530	Medium	The asset ( <b>1212</b> ) is the cropmarks of a possible sub-rectangular enclosure and associated ditches and boundaries within the bounds of Gowthorpe DMV ( <b>1232</b> ).	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be	The value of asset ( <b>1212</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1231	HER-MNF58526	Medium	<p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG3-RG4, the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 170 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>Asset (<b>1231</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and enclosures likely associated with Gowthorpe DMV (<b>1232</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG3-RG4, the pulling location associated with pylon RG3 and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 380 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>1231</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1329	HER-MNF74733	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1329</b>) is the cropmark of a possible Bronze Age round barrow within barrow cemetery (<b>1328</b>) and east of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG23-RG24, a pulling location associated with pylon RG23, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1329</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1330	HER-MNF74734	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1330</b>) is the cropmark of a possible Bronze Age round barrow within barrow cemetery (<b>1328</b>) and east of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG23-RG24, a pulling location associated with pylon RG23, a SuDS basin</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1330</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1331	HER-MNF74735	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1331</b>) is the cropmark of a possible Bronze Age round barrow within barrow cemetery (<b>1328</b>) and east of Toprow.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG23-RG24, a pulling location associated with pylon RG23, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of asset ( <b>1331</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1337	HER-MNF29994	High	Asset ( <b>1337</b> ) is the evidence of Romano-British occupation outside the walled town of the scheduled	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of asset ( <b>1337</b> ) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1338	HER-MNF56295	High	<p>Asset (<b>1338</b>) is casual finds of Romano-British artefacts in and around the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1338</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1339	HER-MNF58951	High	<p>Asset (<b>1339</b>) is the cropmarks of enclosures and trackways to the east and outside the walled town of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1339</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1340	HER-MNF58956	High	<p>Asset (<b>1340</b>) is the earthworks and cropmarks of a section of the Roman Pye Road within the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1340</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1341	HER-MNF59163	High	<p>temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>Asset (<b>1341</b>) is the cropmarks of enclosures, buildings and trackways to the south and outside the walled town of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1341</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1342	HER-MNF59980	High	<p>movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>Asset (<b>1342</b>) is the cropmarks and earthworks of a Roman road between Venta Icenorum (<b>1021463</b>) and Crownthorpe (the site of a Roman temple), which extends from within the scheduled monument westwards.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1342</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1343	HER-MNF7947	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1343</b>) is the Pye Roman road associated to and to the south of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), and the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1343</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1344	HER-MNF60244	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1344</b>) is the cropmarks of Pye Roman road within the bounds of Dunston Park and associated with the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of a temporary construction compound (RG-CC01), the construction of pylons RG1-RG3, with an associated pulling location at pylon RG3, a temporary attenuation drainage location, a SuDS basin location and the haul road. These works are likely to introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, however, over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument and associated HER assets, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1344</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1369	HER-MNF10901	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1369</b>) is the alleged site of a former chantry chapel, located immediately south of Roydon and the Church of St Remigius (<b>1050237</b>).</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1369</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons RG84-RG87, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section B

Table A11.2.11 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section B

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION B ORDER LIMITS</b>					
2003	HER-MSF3942	High	<p>The asset (<b>2003</b>) is the potential site of possible Mesolithic occupation located east of Wortham and north and south of the A143 Old Bury Road.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works on the A143 Old Bury Road, and possibly the adjacent pylon RG96 and overhead line crossing protection works. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2003</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2003</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG096, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2009	HER-MSF4506	Medium	The asset ( <b>2009</b> ) is the site of an oval cropmark possibly representing a Neolithic long barrow west of Bramford.	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2009</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2009</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>
			For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works on Bullen Lane. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' area of impact, and the extant throughfare would have resulted in some truncation, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale	

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of the Bramford Substation Compound, bellmouth works and the introduction of an environmental area to the east of Bramford Substation. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. changes in the setting would not alter the contribution that it makes to the value of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2025	HER-MSF18514	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2025</b>) is the findspot of a flint Bronze Age arrowhead located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2025</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2027	HER-MSF5584	Low	<p>The asset (2027) is the findspot of a flint Bronze Age arrowhead located south-east of Burgate.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2027) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2046	HER-MSF19916	Low	<p>The asset (2046) is the findspot of a fragment of a Bronze Age copper alloy socketed axe recovered from farmland near Bramford.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2046) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2047	HER-MSF21654	Low	<p>The asset (2047) is the findspot of a flint scatter of largely Bronze Age date located near Barking.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits,</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2047) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2048	HER-MSF27149	Low	The asset ( <b>2048</b> ) is the site of the former Sproughton/ Burstall Patrol Auxiliary Unit Operational Base which comprised two Nissen huts located south-west of Bramford village.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with an environmental area. As there is expected to be very little below ground impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2048</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2065	Mid-Suffolk District Council	Low	The asset ( <b>2065</b> ) is Millway Lane, a Protected Lane to the west of Palgrave.  The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required. Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological	The value of asset ( <b>2065</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).	<b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2066	HER-MSF23108	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2066</b>) is the site of the former Thrandeston Green, located in Thrandeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2066</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2068	HER-MSF5585	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2068</b>) is the site of possible Iron Age settlement activity north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The</p>	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>2068</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the Temporary haul road and possibly the pulling location associated with pylon RG102, and a temporary tower. As a good proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2068</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG102-103, a pulling location associated with pylon RG103, two SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of towers PKF23-25, a temporary tower, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2085	PAS-SF-ACE684	Low	<p>The asset (2085) is the findspot of a Roman brooch located near Needham Market.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2085) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2103	PAS-SF-083EE3	Low	<p>The asset (2103) is the findspot of a zoomorphic vessel mount of Late Iron Age to Roman date located near Ringshall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2103) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2110	HER-MSF11787	Low	<p>The asset (2110) is the findspot of a small scatter of Roman artefacts located south-east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits,</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2110) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2111	HER-MSF12173	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2111</b>) is the findspot of a Roman coin hoard located near Needham Market.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2111</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2113	HER-MSF12566	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2113</b>) is the route of the Roman road between Coddendam and north-east of Long Melford which crosses the Order Limits north-west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG181 and the temporary haul road. As a very</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2113</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG180-182, a pulling location associated with pylon RG181, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>of asset (<b>2113</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2116	HER-MSF13360	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2116</b>) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint scatter located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2116</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2117	HER-MSF18513	Low	<p>The asset (2117) is the findspot of a Neolithic flint chisel located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2117) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2118	MSF24970	Low	<p>The asset (2118) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint flake located south-east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2118) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2119	HER-MSF24984	Low	<p>The asset (2119) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint flake located north-west of Flowton Road.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits,</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2119) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2120	HER-MSF24985	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2120</b>) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint flake located north-west of Flowton Road.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2120</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2134	HER-MSF24014	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2134</b>) is the site of a widespread, dense scatter of Romano-British material likely representative of settlement activity north-west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset and the potential settlement that this asset indicates that would be impacted by groundworks associated with the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2134</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction of pylon RG181 and associated pulling location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG180-181, a pulling location associated with pylon RG181, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>of asset (<b>2134</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2139	HER-MSF23070	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2139</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British pottery located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits,</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2139</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2142	HER-MSF24969	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2142</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British pottery located south-east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2142</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2144	HER-MSF44886	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2144</b>) is the site of is the route of a Roman road running between Stoke Ash to the north and Morley, which crosses the Order Limits in two locations west of Bressingham Common and south-west of Roydon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG82, a pulling location</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2144</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with pylon RG82, overhead line mitigation works, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, an environmental area, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG81-86, pulling locations associated with pylons RG081 and RG85, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, underground cable works, an environmental area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>of asset (<b>2144</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
2157	HER-MSF8639	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2157</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British pottery located west of Cotton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2157</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2159	HER-MSF20263	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2159</b>) is the site of a concentrated scatter of Romano-British finds likely representative of settlement activity north-east of Barking.</p> <p>For below ground remains: Only a very small proportion of the HER polygon falls within the Order Limits and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through underground cable</p>	<p>For below ground remains: none</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2159</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2159</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works, the dismantling of tower PK14, and the remodelling of towers PK15-16. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2161	HER-MSF21068	Low	The asset ( <b>2161</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British metal detector finds located near Offton.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2161</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2163	Mid-Suffolk Council	Low	The asset ( <b>2163</b> ) is Tye Lane, a Protected Lane located east of Flowton.  The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of	archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.  Any physical impact to protected lanes would be	The value of asset ( <b>2163</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).	implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2165	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset ( <b>2165</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of Anglo-Saxon metal detector finds located west of Needham Market.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2165</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2166	HER findspot (multi)	Medium	The asset ( <b>2166</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds located west of Wickham Street that may represent Romano-British settlement activity.	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.  For the setting: Standard	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>2166</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG123-126, five SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG123-126, five SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2166</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2167	HER-MSF3936	Low	<p>The asset (2167) is the site of archaeological remains of Romano-British date, located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2167) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2180	PAS-SF-6BBE23	Low	<p>The asset (2180) is the findspot of a Roman coin, located south-east of south-east of Creeting St. Peter.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (2180) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2187	PAS-SF-B44BBB	Low	<p>The asset (2187) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British, medieval and post</p>	None.	The value of asset (2187) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>medieval metal detector finds located west of Offton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2194	HER-MSF5367	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2194</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of Anglo-Saxon bronze bowl fragments located north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2194</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2198	HER-MSF36445	Low	<p>Asset (<b>2198</b>) is the findspot of a small multi-period metal detector assemblage, located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2198</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2210	HER-MSF11788	Low	<p>The asset (2210) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located south-east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2210) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2211	HER-MSF12473	Low	<p>The asset (2211) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located within Badley Hill.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2211) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2224	HER-MSF15521	Low	<p>The asset (2224) is the findspot of a scatter of medieval metal detector finds located within Offton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits,</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2224) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2229	HER-MSF19660	Low	<p>The asset (2229) is the findspot of a medieval coin located north-west of Barking.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2229) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2235	HER-MSF24976	Low	<p>The asset (2235) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval pottery located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2235) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2243	HER-MSF8353	Low	<p>The asset (2243) is the site of a post medieval kiln, located west of Thrandeston.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2243) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated the pulling location for pylon RG98 and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2244	HER-MSF43384	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2244</b>) is the site of the former medieval Bullen Green located immediately north of Bramford Substation.</p> <p>As there are no surviving physical evidence of the asset, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2244</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2249	HER-MSF5372	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2249</b>) is the cropmark of a medieval circular moat located east of Badley Hill.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2249</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG164, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG163-164, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2249</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2255	HER-MSF5565	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2255</b>) is the site of possible medieval pottery production and the site of the former Swattisfield Hall (<b>2256</b>)</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2255</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>moat located south of Mellis.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon RG117. As only a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG116 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS location, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2255</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2260	HER-MSF8355	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2260</b>) is the site of a medieval L-shaped moat located east of Great Green.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG99-100, a pulling location associated with pylon RG99, two SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of tower PKF28, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Should there be burials, the magnitude of impact would be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG99-100, a pulling location associated with pylon RG99, two SuDS basin</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2260</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>Should there be burials, the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>, and the implementation of archaeological mitigation would reduce this impact to <b>medium adverse</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2260</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>locations, the dismantling of tower PKF28, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2264	HER-MSF8618	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2264</b>) is the site of a cropmark of a moat and a small finds assemblage, located south-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As only a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2264</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		<b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2268	HER-MSF8624	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2268</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2268</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2271	HER-MSF8632	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2271</b>) is the findspot of a small medieval pottery scatter, located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2271</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2272	HER-MSF5379	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2272</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter 13th and 14th century pottery, located north-west of Forward Green.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2272</b>) is assumed <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2280	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2280</b>) is the archaeological remains of a medieval farmstead located west of Bramford and associated with the archaeological remains of two further medieval farmsteads (<b>2409, 2508</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the routing of several utility connections, and the temporary haul road. As only a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2280</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2280</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC6-7 and the pulling location associated with the former, the construction haul road, the routing of several utility connections, a substation compound (RG-SC02), a SuDS basin location, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2286	HER-MSF21069	Unknown	<p>The asset (<b>2286</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of unidentified medieval metal detector finds located near Willisham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2286</b>) is assumed <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2288	HER-MSF8673	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2288</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located north-west of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2288</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Cay Hill.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2289	HER-MSF8674	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2289</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2289</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2307	PAS-SF-FB7504	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2307</b>) is the findspot of three medieval metal detector finds located near Gislingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2307</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2309	HER-MSF13286	Low	<p>The asset (2309) is the findspot of a scatter of medieval pottery, located south-west of Barking.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2309) is assumed <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2313	HER-MSF44887	Low	<p>The asset (2313) is the Ipswich and Stowmarket Navigation which follows the Gipping river and crosses the Order Limits east of Badley Hill.</p> <p>As none of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2313) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2314	PAS-SF-BDC540	Low	<p>The asset (2314) is the findspot of a silver voided short cross halfpenny of John, located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2314) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2316	HER-MSF44835	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2316</b>) is a HER OUTLINE RECORD for archaeological remains largely representing a late Anglo-Saxon into medieval landscape comprising farmsteads and field systems, located between Somersham to the north-east and Flowton to the south-west.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG202, a SuDS basin location, the dismantling of towers P118-119, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2316</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2316</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG200-203, pulling locations associated with pylons RG200 and RG203, four SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of towers P117-121, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2317	PAS-SF-7EE243	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2317</b>) is the findspot of a silver gilt mount located near Flowdon.</p> <p>As the finds have been</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2317</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2319	HER-MSF44836	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2319</b>) is a HER OUTLINE RECORD for archaeological remains largely representing a late Anglo-Saxon into medieval landscape comprising farmsteads and field systems, located north-east of Burstallhill.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG207-208, a pulling location associated with pylon RG208, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low</b></p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2319</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2319</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p><b>adverse.</b></p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG205-208, a pulling location associated with pylon RG208, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse.</b></p>		<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2320	MSF4510	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2320</b>) is the suspected route of the Roman road Pye Road, which locally follows the route of the B1113 between Sproughton and Great Blakenham and crosses the Order Limits west of Bramford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2320</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>bellmouth works at the junction of the B1113 and Bullen Lane. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2322	MSF13361	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2322</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of Romano-British artefacts located south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2322</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2325	MSF11160	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2325</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of Anglo-Saxon (early medieval) artefacts located west of Bramford.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2325</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2326	MSF11161	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2326</b>) is the findspot of a lead papal seal, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2326</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2331	MSF4482	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2331</b>) is the site of undated rectilinear enclosure cropmarks, located north-east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG203, its associated pulling location, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2331</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2352	MSF32667	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2352</b>) is the findspot of some Roman coins, located south-west of Little Green.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2352</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2353	MSF33936	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2353</b>) is a record of post medieval pits, located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>As the remains have been excavated, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2353</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2367	MSF43515	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2367</b>) is the site of Palgrave Common, located north of Palgrave.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2367</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG89, its associated pulling location, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		negligible, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2380	MSF46880	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2380</b>) is the former site of Wick Green, located west of Forward Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and compound utilities connection works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2380</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to negligible, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2388	MSF12332	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2388</b>) is the findspot of a Romano-British brooch, located north-west of Barking.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2388</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2389	MSF12967	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2389</b>) is the findspot of a medieval seal matrix, located north-west of Barking.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2389</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2398	MSF24967	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2398</b>) is the findspot of a Romano-British and medieval pottery, located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2398</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2402	HER-MSF13264	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2402</b>) is a small landscape park associated with Grade II listed buildings 'Park House' (<b>1032787</b>), 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15 m North of Park House' (<b>1032788</b>), 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30 m East of Park House' (<b>1182135</b>) and 'Crinkle Crankle Walls to North West of Park House' (<b>1284698</b>) and located east of Wortham.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2402</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2402</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction laydown area. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2403	MSF5386	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2403</b>) is the findspot of a medieval barrel lock, located north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2403</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2409	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2409</b>) is the archaeological remains of a medieval farmstead located west of Bramford and associated with the archaeological remains of two further medieval farmsteads (<b>2280, 2508</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2409</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>groundworks associated with the substation compound (RG-SC02). As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC6-7 and the pulling location associated with the former, the routing of several utility connections, a substation compound (RG-SC02), a SuDS basin location, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2409</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2412	HER-MSF20426	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2412</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of post medieval metal detector finds located north-east of Ringshall Stocks.</p> <p>As the finds have been</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2412</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2441	HER-MSF40720	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2441</b>) is the site of Moat Farm (Barking Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Moat Farmhouse' (<b>1231305</b>) and 'Former Stable Range 30 Metres West of Moat Farmhouse' (<b>1278293</b>) and located north-west of Willisham Tye.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2441</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2441</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>
			<p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As only a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the introduction of pylons RG181-183, a pulling location associated with pylon RG181, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest (bellmouth works), 15 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor lies 250 m to the west of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2457	MSF32643	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2457</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of medieval pottery, located east of Dandy Corner.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2457</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2464	HER-MSF43272	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2464</b>) is the site of Court Farm (Lost Farm) Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Court Farmhouse' (<b>1251595</b>) and located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As only a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG191-193, a pulling location associated with pylon RG192, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>2464</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2464</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 50 m to the north-north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2465	HER-MSF43273	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2465</b>) is the site of the former Gowing's Barn located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and possibly the dismantling of Tower PI30. As only a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2465</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2468	MSF33301	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2468</b>) is the findspot of a medieval seal matrix,</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2468</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located north of Offton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2472	HER-MSF43953	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2472</b>) is the site of the Hill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hill Farmhouse' (<b>1251696</b>), located south of Offton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG193-195, dismantling of towers PI26-28, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line</p>	<p>For below ground remains: None.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2472</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>2472</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2474	MSF43959	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2474</b>) is the site of Bleak Hall Farmstead associated with 'Bleak Hall' (<b>1251669</b>), located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with compound utilities connection works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2432</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2486	HER-MSF44621	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2486</b>) is the site of the Rookery Farmstead associated with 'The Rookery' (<b>1182737</b>), located east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2486</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2496	HER-MSF33395	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2496</b>) is the site of the excavated archaeological remains of post medieval boundary ditches.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2496</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2497	HER-MSF34993	Low	The asset ( <b>2497</b> ) is the extant Ipswich to Bury St Edmunds	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2497</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>railway line, which crosses the Order Limits north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>As the asset would be overshoot by the Project, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2498	HER-MSF34994	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2498</b>) is the extant Haughley to Norwich railway line, which crosses the Order Limits east of Gislingham.</p> <p>As the asset would be overshoot by the Project, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2498</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2499	HER-MSF35010	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2499</b>) is the former route of the Mid Suffolk Light Railway, which crosses the Order Limits west-south-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2499</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with pylon RG137 and its associated pulling location, overhead line mitigation works, and an environmental area. As only a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2501	HER-MSF44866	Negligible	The asset ( <b>2501</b> ) is the site of the former Proctors Barn, located north-west of Gislingham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. As moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2501</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2500	HER-MSF44870	Negligible	The asset ( <b>2500</b> ) is the site of a former outbarn, located south-west of Gislingham.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2500</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG120 and the temporary haul road. As a very large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2504	HER-MSF44479	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2504</b>) is the site of a former unnamed farm, located north-west of Willisham.</p> <p>The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2504</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2508	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2508</b>) is the archaeological remains of a medieval farmstead located west of Bramford and associated with the archaeological remains of two</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>2508</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>further medieval farmsteads (<b>2280, 2409</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated temporary attenuation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC6-7 and the pulling location associated with the former, the routing of several utility connections, a substation compound (RG-SC02), a SuDS basin location, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2508</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2512	HER-MSF38550	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2512</b>) is the site of Batt's Farm Farmstead, located north-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2512</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2515	PAS-SF-D5A611	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2515</b>) is the findspot of a post medieval coin, located near Barking.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2515</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2519	HER-MSF38726	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2519</b>) is site of the largely demolished Potter Farm Farmstead, located west of Mendlesham.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2519</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2522	HER-MSF43954	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2522</b>) is the site of Caley Green Farm, located west of Lower Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2522</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2532	HER-MSF40560	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2532</b>) is the site of Bullen Farm, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2532</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2533	HER-MSF40008	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2533</b>) is the site of Badleybridge Farm Farmstead, located north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>2533</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2536	PAS-SF9182	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2536</b>) is the findspot of a small earthenware vessel, located near Somersham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2536</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2543	PAS-SF-114684	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2543</b>) is the findspot of a copper alloy nail, located near Gislingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2543</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2546	PAS-SF-FB8EE0	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2546</b>) is the findspot of a copper alloy sword belt fitting, located near Gislingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2546</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2548	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2548</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Willisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG186, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset would be impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2548</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2549	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2549) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Willisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2549) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, <b>permanent negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2550	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2550) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower P135,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2550) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and bellmouth works. As all of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2551	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2551</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower P135, and bellmouth works. As all of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2551</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2552	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2552</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2552</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>moderate proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2553	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2553</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2553</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2554	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2554</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower P118,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2554</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2555	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2555</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2555</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2556	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2556</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As all of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2556</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
2558	HER findspot (multi)	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2558</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2558</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2559	HER findspot (multi)	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2559</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2559</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2560	HER findspot (multi)	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2560</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located east of Wortham.</p> <p>As the finds have been</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2560</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2563	HER findspot (multi)	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2563</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located west of Offton.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2563</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2565	HER findspot (multi)	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2565</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2565</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2582	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (2582) is the archaeological remains of a late Bronze Age pit, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>As the feature has been fully excavated and removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. There is the potential, however, for similar features in the vicinity.</p>	None for this asset, but archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase for any similar and associated features.	The value of asset (2582) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be neutral ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2583	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (2583) is the archaeological remains of a late Bronze Age pit, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>As the feature has been fully excavated and removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. There is the potential, however, for similar features in the vicinity.</p>	None for this asset, but archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase for any similar and associated features.	The value of asset (2583) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be neutral ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2584	Project identified archaeological features	Low	The asset (2584) is the archaeological remains of a possible Romano-British enclosure and associated	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2584) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>boundaries located east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the RG-Main main compound, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2585	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2585</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming an enclosure and associated features located at and adjacent to pylon RG117.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG117 and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2585</b>) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2586	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2586</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming small irregular enclosures located adjacent to pylon RG187.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of pylon PI35 and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset would be impacted by the works, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2586</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2601	HER-MSF8339	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2601</b>) is the site of Neolithic settlement activity located north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road,</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary</p>	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>2601</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>although the pulling location associated with pylon RG102 immediately to the north-west. The extent of the settlement is not understood and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be potentially <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG102 and its pulling location, a SuDS basin location, the dismantling of tower PKF24, a overhead line crossing protection work area, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2601</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2603	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2603</b>) is the parish boundary between Wortham, Palgrave and Burgate parishes.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2603</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a SuDS basin location and the temporary haul road between pylons RG096 and 097. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2606	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2606</b>) is the site of a former pond located east-north-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a construction laydown area. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2606</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2607	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>2607</b> ) is the site of a former pond, located east of Wortham.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2607</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2609	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2609</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road between pylons RG97 and RG98. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2609</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2622	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2622</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Thrandeston.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2622</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower PKF29 and underground cable works. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2624	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2624</b>) is the site of former buildings with associated yard or garden, located north-north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2624</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2625	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2625</b>) is the site of former pond, located north-north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2625</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2631	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2631</b>) is the site of former pond, located east of Little Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2631</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2633	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2633</b>) is the site of former pond, located east of Little Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by overhead line mitigation works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2633</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2636	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2636</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south of Burgate.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset would be overshoot by the Project, the magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2636</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2642	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2642</b>) is the site of a former pond, located south-west of Little Green.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2642</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG110, and the temporary haul road. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2666	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>2666</b> ) is the site of the extant Great Eastern Railway, which crosses the Order Limits east of Gislingham.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset would be overshoot by the Project, the magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2666</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2661	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>2661</b> ) is a copse, located east of Gislingham.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2661</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2670	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2670</b>) is the site of a former pond located south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG119. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2670</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2674	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2674</b>) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2674</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2677	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2677</b>) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2677</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2678	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2678</b>) is the site of a parish boundary, now a trackway, between Gislingham and Wickham Skeith just to the south of pylon RG121.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As only a small proportion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2678</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2680	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2680</b>) is the site of a former pond, located south-south-east of Gislingham on the Gislingham/ Wickham Skeith parish boundary.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset would be overshoot by the Project, the magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2680</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2690	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2690</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Finningham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG124. As a <b>moderate</b> proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2690</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
2691	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2691</b>) is the site of a former pond, located Finningham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG124, and bellmouth works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2691</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2697	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2697</b>) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located east of Dandy Corner.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset falls outside the known areas of impact of the Project, therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2697</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2698	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2698</b>) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located south-east of Dandy Corner.</p> <p>The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2698</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2701	HER-MSF16681	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2701</b>) is the site of a partially extant ancient woodland, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon RG172, and the temporary haul road. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2701</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2703	HER-MSF16683	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2703</b>) is the site of an area of ancient woodland</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2703</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>(Little Newton Wood), located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>The asset is clipped by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2707	HER-MSF19346	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2707</b>) is the site of an area of ancient woodland (Lower Wood), located west of Barking.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2707</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2709	HER-MSF19393	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2709</b>) is the site of an area of ancient woodland (Round Wood and Elms Grove), located south-west of Bramford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2709</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower PHB30. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2710	HER-MSF19394	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2710</b>) is the site of a partially extant ancient woodland (Bullen Wood), located immediately to the east of the National Grid Bramford Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with an environmental area. As there is expected to be very little below ground impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>2710</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2715	HER-MSF24217	Low	The asset ( <b>2715</b> ) is the site of two parcels of extant ancient woodland, located south of Bulling Green.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2715</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2716	HER-MSF27264	Low	<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The asset (<b>2716</b>) is the site of the cropmarks of four or five curving interrupted ditch section, located south-west of Badley Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the refurbishment of an existing tower west of pylon RG167. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>The value of asset (<b>2716</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2717	HER-MSF38096	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2717</b>) is the cropmark of a rectangular enclosure, located west of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG178. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2717</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2719	HER-MSF5566	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2719</b>) is the cropmark of an irregular enclosure, located east-south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG117. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2719</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2721	HER-MSZ27174	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2721</b>) is the cropmark of a small sub-rectangular enclosure, located south-south-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2721</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2722	HER-MSZ27178	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2722</b>) is the cropmarks of a series of drainage ditches and field boundaries, located north-north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG163, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2722</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2724	HER-MSZ27185	Low	The asset ( <b>2724</b> ) is the cropmark of a possible trackway, located north-north-west of Needham Market.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2724</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2725	HER-MSZ27188	Low	The asset ( <b>2725</b> ) is the cropmark of a series of field boundaries and ditches, located north-north-west of Needham Market.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2725</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG163. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2726	HER-MSZ27297	Low	The asset ( <b>2726</b> ) is the cropmarks of a trackway, a small rectangular enclosure, linear ditches and a possible pit, located south-west of Bramford.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2726</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a cable sealing end platform, the dismantling of tower PLD48, the refurbishment of tower PLD49, and underground cable works. As large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2727	HER-MSZ27298	Low	<p>The asset (2727) is the cropmarks of several ditches, located south-west of Bramford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower PLD47 and underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2727) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2728	PAS-SF-115BC4	Low	<p>The asset (2728) is the findspot of an undated, unidentified metal detector find, located near Gislingham.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2728) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2730	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2730</b>) is the site of the former Procter's Barn, located south-west of Little Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG112, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2730</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2732	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2732</b>) is the site of the former Rose House, located south-west of Bramford Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2732</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2733	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2733</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries apparent of first edition OS mapping, located on the western outskirts of Palgrave.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with tower modification works (PKF36-37), and underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2733</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2734	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2734</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries apparent of first edition OS mapping, located east-north-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2734</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG093, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, an overhead line crossing protection work area, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2735	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2735</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS maps, located east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG96, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2735</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2737	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2737</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries mostly apparent on first edition OS maps, located north of Mellis.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG101-102, a pulling location associated with pylon RG102, the dismantling of towers PKF24-28, the use of temporary towers, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2737</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2738	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2738</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and a possible ring ditch, located north of Mellis.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG105-106, the dismantling of tower PKF20, three SuDS basin locations, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2738</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2739	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2739</b>) is the cropmarks of ditches, enclosures, trackways and ponds or possible extraction pits, located north of Mellis.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2739</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG110-112, pulling locations associated with pylons RG110 and RG112, the refurbishment of tower PKF15, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2740	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2740</b>) is linear cropmarks including a parish boundary, located north of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG113-117, a pulling location associated with pylon RG116, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2740</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2741	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2741</b>) is the linear cropmarks including a parish boundary apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Finningham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG122-124, a pulling location associated with pylon RG124, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2741</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2742	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2742) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG118-121, pulling locations associated with pylons RG118 and RG119, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2742) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2743	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2743) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2743) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>OS mapping and others likely earlier, located between Daisy Green and Dandy Corner.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons RG125-129, a pulling location associated with RG129, four SuDS basin locations, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2744	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2744) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and others likely earlier, located east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2744) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG130-132, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. As moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2745	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2745</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and others likely earlier, located west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction RG133-137, a pulling location associated with pylon RG137, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2745</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As a <b>moderate</b> proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2746	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2746</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Gipping.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG138-141, pulling locations associated with pylons RG137 and RG141, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2746</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2747	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2747) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located east of Stowupland.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG151-152, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2747) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2748	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2748) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries and a parish boundary some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located north of Saxham Street.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2748) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG145-147, a pulling location associated with pylon RG146, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>2749</b>	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2749</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. As a small</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2749</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2750	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2750) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2751	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2750) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south and west of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG156 and RG157, a pulling location associated with pylon RG155, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2751) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG184-188, pulling locations associated with pylons RG186 and RG187, the dismantling of towers PI33-35, five SuDS basin locations, a cable sealing end platform, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As only moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2752	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2752</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south-east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2752</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG205-206, a pulling location associated with pylon RG207, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2753	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2753</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located, north-east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG201-204, a pulling location associated with pylon RG203, the dismantling of towers PI15-20, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, underground cable works, and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2753</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2757	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>2757</b> ) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located around Offton.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2757</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG190-194, a pulling location associated with pylons RG190 and RG192, the dismantling of towers PI26-32, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2759	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2759</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG195-200, pulling locations associated with pylons RG197 and RG200, the dismantling of towers PI21-23, seven SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2759</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2760	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2760</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries including a parish boundary apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG169-172, the dismantling of tower PK10, the refurbishment of towers PK8-9, two cable sealing end platforms, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2760</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2761	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2761</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Battsford.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2761</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of RG173-174, a pulling location associated with pylon 173, the dismantling of towers PK11-12, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2762	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2762</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2762</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower PK14, the refurbishment of tower PK15, a cable sealing end platform, and underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2763	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>2763</b> ) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south of Barking.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the refurbishment of tower PK16. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2763</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2764	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2764</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries including a parish boundary apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG176-177, pulling locations associated with pylons RG177 and RG178, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2764</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2765	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2765</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries recorded on OS mapping, located east of Ringshall Stocks.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2765</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG182-184, a pulling location associated with pylon RG182, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2766	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2766</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping and some of likely earlier date, located south of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG178-179, a pulling location associated with pylon RG178,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2766</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2767	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2767</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south of the Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons JC3-7, pulling locations associated with pylons JC3, JC6 and JC8, the dismantling of towers PHB29-31 and PLD44-47, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a <b>large</b> proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2767</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2768	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2768</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping and some unmapped features, located east-south-east of Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works comprising cable undergrounding. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2768</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2769	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2769</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located north-west of Creeting Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2769</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction RG162 and its pulling location, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2770	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2770</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located immediately south of Badley Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG166-167, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, the dismantling of an existing tower and the refurbishment of another, a cable sealing end platform, two SuDS basin</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2770</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2773	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2773</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Creeting Saint Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG157-159, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2773</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2774	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>2774</b> ) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Creting Saint Mary.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG163, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2774</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2775	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>2775</b> ) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located north-west of Needham Market.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2755</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
2780	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>2780</b> ) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Palgrave.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2780</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons RG92-93, a pulling location associated with pylon RG92, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2781	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2781</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located on the south-western outskirts of Mellis.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG109, the dismantling of tower PKF16, a SuDS basin location, a cable sealing end platform, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2781</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2782	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2782</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping and some likely earlier, located east of Stowupland.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2782</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG153, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2799	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2799</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2799</b>) is negligible and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2809	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2809</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2809</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2810	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2810</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2810</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2820	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2820</b>) is the site of former section of a parish boundary, located south-south-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p><b>negligible adverse (not significant).</b></p> <p>The value of asset (<b>2820</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2822	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2822</b>) is a section of a parish boundary, which follows the Gipping river east of Badley Hill.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2822</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2823	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2823) is the site of a former pond, located south-south-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2823) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2824	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2824) is the former site of an OS trigonometry point, located north-east of Saxham Street.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (2824) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2830	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2830) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Saxham Street.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2830) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG148, its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2831	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2831</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2831</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2832	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2832</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2832</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2836	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2836</b>) is the site of a former pond, located south of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG150. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2836</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2847	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2847) is the former site of an OS trigonometry point, located west of Broad Green.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2847) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2850	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2850) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG156. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2850) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2851	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2851</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2851</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2859	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2859</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Creeting Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG162, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2859</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2860	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2860) is the extant Great Eastern Railway Ipswich and Norwich railway, which crosses the Order Limits at Badley Hill.</p> <p>As the asset would be overshoot by the Project, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (2860) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2866	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2866) is the site of a former pond, located at Badley Hill.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (2866) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2871	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2871) is the site of a former quarry, located south-west of Stowmarket.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2871) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works comprising underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2872	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2872) is the site of a former pond, located south-south-west of Badley Hill.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2872) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2874	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2874) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>The construction phase would</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2874) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2875	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2875</b>) is the site of 'Lodgefield Row' woodland copse, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2875</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2879	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2879</b>) is the site of a former pond, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2879</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2880	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2880) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2880) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2886	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2886) is the site of a former pond, located north-north-west of Barking.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2886) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2891	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2891) is the site of a former pond, located west of Barking.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2891) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2892	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2892</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works including underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2892</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2893	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2893</b>) is the site of former pond, located west-</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2893</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>south-west of Barking.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2895	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2895</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2895</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2898	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2898</b>) is the site of former pond, located south-west of Barking.</p> <p>Although within the Order</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2898</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2907	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2907</b>) is the site of a parish boundary, located north-west and south-east and running through Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2907</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2909	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2909</b>) is a long section of parish boundary that crosses the Order Limits in six places, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase would</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2909</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon RG193, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, the dismantling of towers PI28-27 and underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2910	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2910</b>) is the site of a parish boundary, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2910</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2911	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2911</b>) is the site of a parish boundary, located to the east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2911</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower PI16, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2913	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2913</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Offton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2913</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2919	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2919</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2919</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2920	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2920) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2920) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2925	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2925) is the site of a former pond, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2925) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2926	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2926) is a group of historic buildings located west of Somersham.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2926) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2935	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2935</b>) is the site of former buildings, located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>The asset is crossed by a permanent access route, which would have no below ground impacts. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2935</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2936	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2936</b>) is the site of a building and associated land plot located north-west of Flowton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2936</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2942	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2942) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east-south-east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2942) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2943	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2943) is the site of a former pond, located south-east of Flowton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2943) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2945	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2945) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Bramford</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2945) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Substation. The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary attenuation drainage. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
2946	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2946</b>) is the site of a former quarry, located east of Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated overhead line mitigation works including underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2946</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2947	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2947) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-north-east of Burstall.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2947) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2948	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2948) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south of Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated overhead line mitigation works including underground cable works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2948) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2949	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2949) is the site of a former pond, located north-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with an environmental area. As there is expected to be very little below ground impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2949) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2950	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2950) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location and a pulling location associated with pylon JC8. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2950) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2961	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2961</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a overhead line crossing protection works area, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2961</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2965	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2965</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a overhead line crossing protection works area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2965</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
2970	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2970) is the site of a former pond, located south-west of Cotton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2970) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2972	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2972) is the site of a former pond, located south-west of Cotton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (2972) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2983	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2983) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Finningham.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2983) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of RG124, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2984	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2984</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located west of Wickham Skeith.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2984</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2985	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2985) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Finningham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line crossing protection works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2985) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect is, therefore <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
2986	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2986) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (2986) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect is, therefore, <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2987	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2987) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect is, therefore, <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2988	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2988) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Gipping.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2988) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2989	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2989</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-west of Mendlesham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2989</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2990	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2990</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2990</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2991	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2991</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-north-west of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2991</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2992	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2992</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located west of Forward Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2992</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		<b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2993	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2993</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Stowupland.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon RG155, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2993</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect is, therefore, <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
2994	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2994</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase would</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>2994</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with compound utility connection works (should it be used), and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2995	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2995</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east-south-east of Creeping St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2995</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2996	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2996) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2996) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2997	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2997) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with Bellmouth works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (2997) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2998	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2998</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located west-south-west of Bramford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary and permanent attenuation drainage features. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2998</b>) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2999	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2999</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>2999</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
3537	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3537</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3537</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3538	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3538</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located north of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by temporary attenuation drainage works, and permanent attenuation drainage works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3538</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
3539	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3539) is a possible important hedgerow. Located east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3539) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3543	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3543) is a possible important hedgerow, located east-north-east of Burstall Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the construction of the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3543) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3547	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3547</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3547</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

**HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION B ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT**

2002	HER-MSF21996	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2002</b>) is the site of a scatter of Upper Palaeolithic and Mesolithic flintwork found on land on the southern flank of the Waveney valley.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional	<p>The value of asset (<b>2002</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>
------	--------------	--------	---	--	--

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of pylons RG85-87, pulling location associated with pylons RG85 and RG87, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 400 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2008	HER-MSF3482	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2008</b>) is the cropmarks of a possible henge monument south-east of Finningham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG125-126, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2008</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 30 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>2028</b>	HER-MSZ27175	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2028</b>) is the cropmarks of two ring likely representative of Bronze Age round barrows south-east of Stowmarket.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG163 and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>minor adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2028</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>minor adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>2029</b>	HER-MSZ27176	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2029</b>) is the cropmark of a large ring ditch</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2029</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>likely representative of a Bronze Age round barrow south-east of Stowmarket.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG163-164 and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 250 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2037	HER-MSF8356	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2037</b>) is the site of a low earthwork possibly representing the remains of a Bronze Age round barrow, located south-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG99-100, a SuDS basin location, overhead line undergrounding works,</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2037</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>underground cable works, dismantling of towers PKF28-29, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2038	HER-MSF8733	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2038</b>) is the site of a cropmark of concentric ring ditches likely representing a Bronze Age round barrow likely associated with asset (<b>2039</b>) and located north-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG132-133, two SuDS basin locations, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2038</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2039	HER-MSF8734	Medium	<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 120 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2039) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2162	HER-MSF24513	Medium	<p>The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2162</b>) is the site of a large spread of Roman metal detector finds associated with Roman road (<b>2144</b>) and located south-south-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG99-100, a pulling location associated with pylon RG99, a temporary construction compound, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2162</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2164	HER findspot (multi) MSF31139	High	<p>The asset (<b>2164</b>) is the site of a concentration Romano-British and Early Anglo-Saxon metal detector finds likely indicative of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2164</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>an inhumation/cremation cemetery located east-north-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG94-95, a pulling location associated with pylon RG94, a SuDS basin location, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 115 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2226	HER-MSF15878	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2226</b>) is the remains of a small moat located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG117-118, a pulling location associated with RG118, a SuDS basin location</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2226</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 50 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2241	HER-MSF4218	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2241</b>) is the remains of a medieval moat associated with the Grade II* listed assets 'Roydon Hall' (<b>1033215</b>) and 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (<b>1284584</b>) and the Grade II listed 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (<b>1352074</b>), all located north-east of Creeting St Peters.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG156-158, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2241</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2247	HER-MSF5286	Medium	<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2247) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2248	HER-MSF5330	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2248</b>) is the remains of a medieval moat associated with the Grade II listed 'Moat Farmhouse' (<b>1231305</b>) and 'Former Stable Range 30 Metres West of Moat Farmhouse' (<b>1278293</b>) located north-west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG181-183, a pulling location associated with pylon RG181, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest (bellmouth works), adjacent to the north of the asset and would be visible. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2248</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>pylon corridor lies 140 m to the west of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
2252	HER-MSF5497	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2252</b>) is the site of moat associated with Hempnalls Hall (<b>1180451</b>) and located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG128-130, a pulling location associated with pylon RG129, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 420 m to the east of the asset and may be occasionally visible through and above the heavily wooded grounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2252</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2274	HER-MSF8648	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2274</b>) is the site of a moat associated with 'Mendlesham Hall' (<b>1180527</b>) located west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG134-137, a pulling location associated with pylon RG137, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 430 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2274</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2368	HER-MSF43958	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2368</b>) is the site of Park Farm Farmstead</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2368</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>comprising a 19th century farmhouse and converted outbuildings, located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG205-208, a pulling location associated with pylon RG208, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible from ground-level of the asset due to gaps between outbuildings and the wider open landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2370	HER-MSF37333	Medium	The asset ( <b>2370</b> ) is the site of Marsh Farm Farmstead associated with 'Marsh Farm	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline	The value of asset ( <b>2370</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>House' (<b>1032768</b>), located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PKF31-32, and underground cable works. The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 700 m to the west of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, adjacent to the asset to the south-east and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>2372</b>	HER-MSF44752	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2372</b>) is the site of Valley Farm Farmstead associated with 'Valley Farm House' (<b>1032791</b>), located south-south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2372</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PKF34-35, temporary mitigation tower lines, and underground cable works. The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 900 m to the west of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, are c. 85 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2391	HER-MSF43708	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2391</b>) is Highways (Upper Rectory) Farmstead associated with 'Highways' (<b>1241179</b>), located at Candle Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and a highways mitigation laydown</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2391</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>area. The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 2.8 km to the south-east of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, immediately to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2399	HER-MSF43709	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2399</b>) is Brick Kiln Farmstead associated with Kiln Farm House (<b>1260662</b>), located in Candle Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and a highways mitigation laydown area. The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 3 km to the south-east of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting.</p> <p>These works would introduce</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2399</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
2400	HER-MSF38268	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2400</b>) is the site of Squirrells Farm Farmstead associated with 'Ringshall House' (<b>1032952</b>) and located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG184-187, pulling locations associated with pylons RG186 and RG187, the refurbishment of tower PI36, two SuDS basin locations, underground cable works and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2400</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2418	HER-MSF24644	Medium	<p data-bbox="815 220 1245 403">closest, 260 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p data-bbox="815 443 1245 699">The asset (<b>2418</b>) is the site of Castle Farm Farmstead, associated with 'Barn 50 Metres East of Castle Farmhouse' (<b>1251594</b>) and 'Offton Castle' (<b>1006049</b>) and located south of Offton.</p> <p data-bbox="815 738 1245 1217">The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of extant towers P131-125, underground cabling works, the introduction of pylons RG190-199, pulling locations associated with pylons RG190 and RG192, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p data-bbox="815 1257 1245 1401">These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their</p>	<p data-bbox="1283 443 1693 1074">Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p data-bbox="1733 443 2110 1074">The value of asset (<b>2418</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>closest 70 m to the south of the asset of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
2419	HER-MSF24668	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2419</b>) is the site of Plumtree Farm (also known as College Grove Farm) associated with 'College Grove Farmhouse' (<b>1231093</b>) and north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG173 and its associated pulling location, the dismantling of towers PK11-13, underground cable works, temporary overhead line towers, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 150 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2419</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2422	HER-MSF25320	Medium	<p>impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2422</b>) is the site of Roydon Hall Farmstead associated with medieval moat (<b>2241</b>), the Grade II* listed assets 'Roydon Hall' (<b>1033215</b>) and 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (<b>1284584</b>) and the Grade II listed 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (<b>1352074</b>) and located north-east of Creeting St Peters.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG156-158, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the south-east</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2422</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2423	HER-MSF25330	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2423</b>) is the Dower House/Doves Barn (Doveshill Farm) Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Dower House' (<b>1231088</b>) and 'Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse' (<b>1231089</b>) and located at the north-western extent of Needham Market.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG164-167, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works, at their closest, are 45 m</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2423</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2424	HER-MSF25426	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2424</b>) is the site of Pountney Hall Farmstead associated with Pountney Hall' (<b>1352239</b>) and 'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall' (<b>1032805</b>) and located at the south-western extent of Mellis conservation area.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 410 m to the north of the asset and would occasionally be visible through the out-buildings and tree cover of the farmyard. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 850 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2424</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2427	HER-MSF36341	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2427</b>) is the site of Ashburnham Farm or Church Farm Farmstead associated with 'Ashburnham Farmhouse' (<b>1278102</b>) and located north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of tower PK14, the refurbishment of towers PK15-16, and underground cable works and a UKPN cable sealing end platform.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 1.2 km to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2427</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2428	HER-MSF37949	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2428</b>) is the site of Bungeon's Farm Farmstead associated with 'Bungeons Farmhouse' (<b>1231581</b>) and located north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG173-174, a pulling location associated with pylon RG173, overhead line mitigation works, the dismantling of towers PK11-13, underground cable works, temporary overhead line towers, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2428</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2429	HER-MSF38358	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2429</b>) is the site of Upper Lonsdales Farmstead</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2429</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with 'Upper Lonsdales [sic] Farmhouse' (<b>1033189</b>) and south of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG157-159, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 310 m to the east of the asset and would occasionally be visible in between and above the mature trees in the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2431	HER-MSF38725	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2431</b>) is the Boundary Farm Farmstead associated with Boundary</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2431</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Farmhouse (<b>1032244</b>) and located south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG132-133, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature trees of the farmstead. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2435	HER-MSF40327	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2435</b>) is the site of Highfields Farm or Hascothill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hascot Hill Farmhouse' (<b>1352142</b>) and south-east of Battsford.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2435</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG174-175, the dismantling of towers PK12-13, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 90 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between and above the mature vegetation of the asset's large garden. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2436	HER-MSF40564	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2436</b>) is the site of Bullenhall Farm Farmstead associated with 'Bullenhall Farmhouse' (<b>1033263</b>) and west of Bamford.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2436</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of an environmental area to the north and east of Bramford Substation.</p> <p>The scope of these works is not yet defined but might include planting and works to counteract loss of biodiversity due to the Project. The environmental area, at its closest, is 40 m to the south-west of the asset and associated works might introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2437	HER-MSF40698	Medium	<p>The asset (2437) is the site of Home Farm Farmstead associated with 'Home Farmhouse' (1231443) and north of Barking.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of asset (2437) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the dismantling of towers PK13-14, the modification of tower PK15, underground cable works, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The pylon corridor and associated Project works lie c. 800 m to the north-west of the asset and beyond its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2439	HER-MSF40707	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2439</b>) is the site of Lower Farm Farmstead associated with 'Lower Farmhouse' (<b>1231364</b>) and located north-west of Barking Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG178-181, pulling</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2439</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>locations associated with pylons RG178 and RG181, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2440	HER-MSF40719	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2440</b>) is the Barking Tye (Unknown) Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Lower Farmhouse' (1231364) and located north-west of Barking Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG178-181, pulling locations associated with pylons RG178 and RG181, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2440</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2445	HER-MSF40960	Medium	<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2445) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The asset (2445) is the site of Hempnalls Hall Farmstead associated with Hempnalls Hall (1180451) and located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG128-130, a pulling location associated with pylon RG129, three SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 420 m to the east of the asset and may be</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2447	HER-MSF41038	Medium	<p>occasionally visible through and above the heavily wooded grounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2447</b>) is the site of the Grove Farm Farmstead 'Grove Farmhouse' (<b>1033214</b>) and located south-south-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG162-164, a pulling location associated with pylon RG162, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the north-west of the asset but largely screened from the asset by the Poundfield Concrete Works.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2447</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2448	HER-MSF41069	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2448</b>) is the site of Creeting Hall Farmstead associated with 'Creeting Hall' (<b>1352073</b>) located south of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG162-165, a pulling location associated with pylon RG162, five SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 140 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible in between and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is</p>	<p>The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2448</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2449	HER-MSF41071	Medium	<p data-bbox="815 220 1189 292">considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p data-bbox="815 331 1245 547">The asset (<b>2449</b>) is the site of the Hill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hill Farmhouse' (<b>1182339</b>) and located south-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p data-bbox="815 592 1267 1034">The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG161-162, a pulling location associated with pylon RG162, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p data-bbox="815 1074 1267 1401">These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the west of the asset and would be visible in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of</p>	<p data-bbox="1283 331 1697 959">Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p data-bbox="1733 331 2110 959">The value of asset (<b>2449</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
2451	HER-MSF42794	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2451</b>) is the site of the Grange Farm Farmstead associated with ‘Grange Farmhouse’ (<b>1032727</b>) and ‘Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 Metres North of Grange Farmhouse’ (<b>1180790</b>) and located north-north-east of Gipping.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG138-141, a pulling location associated with pylon RG141, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 420 m to the east of the asset and would be</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2451</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			occasionally visible through the mature trees within the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2452	HER-MSF42795	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2452</b>) is the site of the Hill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hill Farmhouse' (<b>1032730</b>), located between Gipping and Mendlesham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG142-144, a pulling location associated with pylon RG143, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, adjacent to the eastern edge of the asset and would be</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of asset ( <b>2452</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2453	HER-MSF42798	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2453</b>) is the site of the Rookery Farm Farmstead associated with 'Barn, 40 Metres South West Of Rookery Farm' (<b>1180903</b>) and the Grade II listed 'Rookery Farmhouse' (<b>1352279</b>) and located north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG143-144, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 160 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2453</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>medium adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2462	HER-MSF43270	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2462</b>) is the site of the Cottage Farm (Rose Cottage Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Rose Cottage Farmhouse' (<b>1251587</b>) and located west of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG189-190, a pulling location associated with pylon RG190, three SuDS basin locations, dismantling of tower PI32, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2462</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2463	HER-MSF43271	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2463</b>) is the site of the Valley Farm Farmstead associated with 'Valley Farmhouse' (<b>1250948</b>) and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2463</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located west of Offton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG188-190, a pulling location associated with pylon RG190, the dismantling of towers PI31-33, five SuDS basin locations, crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2467	HER-MSF43281	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2467</b>) is the site of the Mount Pleasant Farm Farmstead associated with 'Mount Pleasant Farmhouse' (<b>1251598</b>) and located in Offton.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2467</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the north-east of the asset and would possibly be occasionally visible through the buildings and vegetation cover in Offton. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2473	HER-MSF43955	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2473</b>) is the site of the Grove Farm Farmstead associated with 'Grove Farmhouse' (<b>1262693</b>) and located west of Somersham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of works associated with the dismantling of towers PI24-26 and underground cabling work.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2473</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 100 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2475	HER-MSF43850	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2475</b>) is the site of the Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse (Martin's Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse' (<b>1033189</b>) and located south of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG157-159, a pulling location associated with pylon RG157, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 340 m to the east of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2475</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>Not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the asset and would be visible in between and above the mature trees in the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2476	HER-MSF43961	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2476</b>) is the site of the Gunn Farm (Caleygreen Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Gunn's Farmhouse' (<b>1262659</b>) and 'Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse' (<b>1251600</b>) and located south of Offton.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2476</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG194-196, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p>		
			<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 15 m to the south of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2477	HER-MSF43962	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2477</b>) is the site of the Poplar Farm Farmstead associated with 'Poplar Farmhouse' (<b>1182121</b>) and located north-west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG144-147, a pulling location associated with pylon RG146, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 210 m to the east of the asset and would be visible in between and above the outbuildings of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2477</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2478	HER-MSF43965	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2478</b>) is the site of the Firtree Farmhouse Farmstead associated with 'Firtree Farmhouse' (<b>1032662</b>) located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG148-149, a pulling location associated with pylon RG148, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 180 m to the east of the asset and would be visible through and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2478</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2479	HER-MSF43968	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2479</b>) is the site of the Upland Farm Farmstead associated with Upland House' (<b>1182102</b>) located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG148-149, a pulling location associated with pylon RG148, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 260 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2479</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2480	HER-MSF43969	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2480</b>) is the site of the Grange Farm Farmstead</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2480</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with 'Grange Farmhouse' (<b>1032661</b>) and located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG150-151, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 320 m to the east of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between and over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2481	HER-MSF44177	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2481</b>) is the site of the Starhouse Farm Farmstead associated with Starhouse Farmhouse' (<b>1352111</b>) and located south-west of Thornham Magna.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2481</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG120-122, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 240 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2485	HER-MSF44478	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2485</b>) is the Tye Farm Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Tye Farmhouse' (<b>1251803</b>) and located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG184-185, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. A</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2485</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>permanent access route is adjacent to the asset to the north.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest other than the permanent access, 370 m to the west of the asset and would be occasionally visible in between the outbuildings and mature tree cover of the farming complex. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2487	HER-MSF44857	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2487</b>) is the site of the Spring Farm Farmstead associated with 'Spring Farmhouse' (<b>1180592</b>) and located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylon RG118 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection work areas, and the</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2487</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 70 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2534	HER-MSZ27179	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2534</b>) is the tree lined avenue Badley Walk, located at Badley Hill, partially within the Badley Church Green Conservation Area (<b>CA45</b>) and associated with the scheduled monument 'Chantry (site of)' (<b>1006030</b>), the Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (<b>1231082</b>), the Grade II* listed 'Barn 100 Metres South East of Badley Hall' (1231085), 'Badley Hall' (<b>1231083</b>) and 'Dovecote 60 metres east of Badley Hall' (<b>1231084</b>), and the Grade II listed Bakehouse 15 Metres South Of Badley Hall' (<b>1278291</b>).</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>2534</b>) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The construction phase of the</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG167-169, a pulling location associated with pylon RG167, overhead line mitigation works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works, however, are largely at some distance from the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2755	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Medium	<p>The asset (2755) is the site of a cropmark complex possibly associated with the former Little Bricett Church (2247) located south-east of Bricett.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons RG186-187, pulling locations associated with both pylons, the dismantling of towers PI33-35, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale</p>	<p>The value of asset (2755) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 110 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section C

Table A11.2.12 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section C

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION C ORDER LIMITS</b>					
<b>3001</b>	HER-MSF11981	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3001</b>) is the cropmark of a sub-square or circular ring ditch traversed by double ditched enclosure, located south-west of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As only a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3001</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
<b>3006</b>	HER-MSZ27351	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3006</b>) is the cropmarks field boundaries, enclosures and a ring ditch, located west-south-west of Sproughton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of towers PHB24.25, and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3006</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3016	HER-MEX1036590	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3016</b>) is the site of Late Iron Age finds and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The asset comprises archaeological remains that have been excavated and subsequently destroyed by quarrying, the setting is, therefore, not considered further.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>3016</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>
3017	HER-MEX1036591	Low	The asset ( <b>3017</b> ) is the site of Late Iron Age/Romano-British finds and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3017</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3023	HER-MEX9880	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3023</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located north-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, and temporary infiltration drainage works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3023</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3024	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3024</b>) is the archaeological remains of a small number of undated ditches and pits and some post</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3024</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>medieval ditches, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB9, temporary infiltration drainage works, underground cable route, bellmouth works, and the underground cable haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3026	HER-MSF18242	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3026</b>) is the site of a former milestone, located east of Hintlesham.</p> <p>As the asset is now lost, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3026</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3029	HER-MEX36377	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3029</b>) is the findspot of a Sestertius of Trajan, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3029</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
<b>3034</b>	HER-MEX9887	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3034</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located north of Arleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3034</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>3039</b>	HER-MEX43488	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3039</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and a possible Roman road, located east of Arleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works and a a temporary construction compound. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3039</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
3044	PAS-SF-B24BFF	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3044</b>) is the findspot of a copper alloy strap end, located north-west of Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3044</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3045	HER-MEX9899	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3045</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, a construction laydown area, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3045</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3049	HER-MEX9908	Low	The asset ( <b>3049</b> ) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-west	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>3049</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary attenuation drainage works, and underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3050	HER-MSF45249	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3050</b>) is the route of a Roman road, located between Long Melford and East Bergholt.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a highways laydown area. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3050</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3071	HER-MEX9906	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3071</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3071</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with temporary infiltration drainage works, and underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3081	HER-MSF5051	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3081</b>) is a cropmark complex, located south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3081</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3090	HER-MEX1036593	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3090</b>) is the site findspots and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3090</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction of pylon TB20, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3092	HER-MCC9122	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3092</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary attenuation drainage works, and underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3092</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3093	PAS-SF-B1D0BF	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3093</b>) is the findspot of a copper alloy stirrup, located west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3093</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3094	PAS-SUR-CF810A	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3094</b>) is the findspot of a fragment of a copper alloy purse frame found near Holton St Mary.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3094</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3097	HER-MEX1036592	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3097</b>) is the site findspots and archaeological remains, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB20, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3097</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3098	Project identified	Low	The asset ( <b>3098</b> ) is the archaeological remains of the ditches of phased field	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>3098</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	archaeological features		<p>systems including a Romano-British phase, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB8, underground cable route, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, the cable haul road and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	recording prior to the construction phase.	impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3101	HER-MCC9168	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3101</b>) is the site of the former Dedham Heath, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>As there is no surviving physical evidence of the asset, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3101</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3110	HER-MSF4544	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3110</b>) is a cropmark complex, located on the western outskirts of Ipswich.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3110</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the restringing of towers PHB20 to PHB21 and PTA17 to PTA18. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3113	HER-MSF38087	low	<p>The asset (<b>3113</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-north-west of East Bergholt.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a highways laydown area. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3113</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3125	HER-MSF42546	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3125</b>) is the derelict Longlands Barn, located south-south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3125</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3133	HER-MSF44940	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3133</b>) is the site Pipers Vent Farmstead, located south-east of Raydon.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>3133</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3144	HER-MSF46987	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3144</b>) is the projected route of a Roman road that crosses the Order Limits in two places, firstly to the north-west and secondly to the south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with Cable undergrounding works, temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and a highways laydown area. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3144</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3145	HER-MSF15844	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3145</b>) is Burstall Bridge over Flowton Brook, located south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As the bridge is expected to remain untouched, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3145</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3162	HER-MSF42439	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3162</b>) is Fen Farm farmstead which is associated with the Grade II listed Fenn Farmhouse (<b>1351617</b>) and located south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3162</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3163	PAS-SF-B4A95E	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3163</b>) is the findspot of a late Anglo-Saxon silver hooked tag, located south of Chattisham.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3163</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		<b>change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3165	HER-MSF28976	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3165</b>) is the site the former Hadleigh Railway, located north of Little Wenham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3165</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3166	HER-MSF10676	High	<p>The asset (<b>3166</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation</p>	For below ground remains: The value of asset ( <b>3166</b> ) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall partially within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3166</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3168	HER-MSF5095	High	<p>The asset (<b>3168</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3168</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall partially within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>3168</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3170	HER-MSF1822	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3170</b>) is the cropmark of a double ditched rectangular enclosure, located south-west of Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3170</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3173	HER-MSF8459	High	<p>The asset (<b>3173</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3173</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3173</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3176	HER-MSF8463	High	<p>The asset (<b>3176</b>) is the cropmark of a rectilinear enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The temporary haul road works fall partially within the bounds of the asset. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3176</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3176</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3177	HER-MSF8464	High	<p>The asset (<b>3177</b>) is the cropmark of a sub-rectangular enclosure, located</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3177</b>) is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3177</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3178	HER-MSF8581	High	<p>The asset (<b>3178</b>) is the cropmark of a rectangular enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For the below ground remains:</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3178</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3178</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3180	HER-MSF3721	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3180</b>) is the cropmark of an irregular field boundary, located north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3180</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3188	HER-MSF8462	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3188</b>) is the cropmark of a semi-circular enclosure, located south-east of Higham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset is located within a trenchless crossing area and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3188</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3189	HER-MSF27253	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3189</b>) is the site of the former RAF Raydon, located north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, and temporary attenuation drainage works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3189</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3191	HER-MSZ27306	Low	The asset ( <b>3191</b> ) is the cropmarks of a trackway and field boundaries, located south of Sproughton.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>3191</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3194	HER-MSF44821	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3194</b>) is a navigable section of the Stour river, known as the Stour Navigation, located north-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset is located within a trenchless crossing area and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3194</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3206	HER-MEX9534	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3206</b>) is the route of a Roman road, located east of Langham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset is located within a trenchless crossing area and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3206</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3207	HER-MEX9020	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3207</b>) is the route of a possible Roman road, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with various elements of the East Anglia Connection Node Substation. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3207</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3208	HER-MEX1031545	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3208</b>) is the cropmarks of a rectilinear enclosure, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB11, a SuDS basin location, underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3208</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3214	HER-MEX1031659	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3214</b>) is the cropmarks of linear features, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3214</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3218	HER-MEX12738	High	<p>The asset (<b>3218</b>) is a cropmark complex that encompasses the scheduled monument (<b>1002146</b>), located south-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB6 and TB7, their associated pulling locations, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3218</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3218</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>introduction of pylons TB1 to TB8, pulling locations associated with pylons TB4 to TB7, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, the East Anglia connection node Substation, temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are partially within the bounds of the asset and would be visible. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3219	HER-MEX23705	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3219</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB17-18, a pulling location associated with pylon TB18, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3219</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3223	HER-MEX8241	Low	<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>3223</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, enclosures and pits, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB13-17, pulling locations associated with pylons TB15 and TB17, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth work areas, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3223</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3227	HER-MEX8620	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3227</b>) is a cropmark complex that encompasses the northern portion of scheduled monument (<b>1489898</b>) between Little Bromley and Great Bromley.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase may require the use of the proposed temporary East Anglia Connection Node Substation access</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3227</b>) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>route, which may be constructed as the North Falls and Five Estuaries windfarm projects construction corridor. As the construction of this route would be undertaken as part of another project, no ground would be broken for the Norwich to Tilbury Project. The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the northern primary access route, and bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the use of the temporary East Anglia Connection Node Substation access route, and the northern primary access route. These routes are partially within the bounds of the asset and would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3227</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3229	HER-MEX8700	Low	The asset ( <b>3229</b> ) is the cropmarks of ring ditches, pits, trackways, and linear	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>3229</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>features, located on the south-eastern edge of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3232	HER-MEX9188	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3232</b>) is the cropmarks of a possible Roman road, field boundaries and enclosures, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with various elements of the East Anglia Connection Node Substation. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3232</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3233	HER-MEX9352	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3233</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located east of Ardleigh.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3233</b>) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB5, its associated pulling location, underground cable works, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3233</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB1 to TB8, pulling locations associated with pylons TB4 to TB7, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, the East Anglia Connection Node Substation, temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works are partially within the bounds of the asset and would be visible. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
3236	HER-MEX9535	High	<p>The asset (<b>3236</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3236</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3236</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b></p>
			<p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation works, and bellmouth works. These works are partially within the bounds of the asset and would be visible. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3237	HER-MEX9580	High	<p>The asset (<b>3237</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. These works are partially within the bounds of the asset and would be visible. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3237</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3237</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3238	HER-MEX9627	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3238</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-east of Langham.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation and infiltration works, a temporary construction compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation and infiltration works, a temporary construction compound, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works are partially within the bounds of the asset</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3238</b>) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3238</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and would be visible. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
3239	HER-MEX9754	High	<p>The asset (<b>3239</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>For the below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. These works are partially within the bounds of the asset and would be visible. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3239</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3239</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3245	HER-MSF44887	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3245</b>) is the Ipswich and Stowmarket Navigation, which crosses the Order Limits east of Badley Hill.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3245</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3246	HER-MEX52134	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3246</b>) is the findspot of bronze padlock, located east of Langham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3246</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3251	HER-MCC10280	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3251</b>) is the findspot of a late Iron Age coin, located north-west of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3251</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable.

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3254	HER-MCC10315	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3254</b>) is the findspot of a silver coin, located south-east of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>3254</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3255	HER-MCC10321	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3255</b>) is the findspot of a silver coin, located south-east of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3255</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3256	HER-MCC10332	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3256</b>) is the findspot of a gold coin, located west of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3256</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3258	HER-MCC10383	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3258</b>) is the findspot of a silver pin, located west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3258</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3263	HER-MEX9820	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3263</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Boxted Cross.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, bellmouth works, and temporary attenuation drainage works. As only the north part of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3263</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3264	HER-MEX9894	Low	The asset ( <b>3264</b> ) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Boxted	For below ground remains: archaeological	The value of asset ( <b>3264</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Cross.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the introduction of temporary attenuation drainage works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3269	HER findspot (multi)	Unknown	<p>The asset (<b>3269</b>) is the site of several PAS finds, located east of Boxted Cross.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3269</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3278	Colchester City Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3278</b>) is Water Lane, a Protected Lane, between Boxted in the west and Stratford St Mary in the east.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3278</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with temporary attenuation drainage works, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3279	Colchester City Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3279</b>) is Low Lift Cottage Road, a Protected Lane, between Boxted in the west and Stratford St Mary in the east.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated cable undergrounding works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3279</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3280	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3280</b>) is the archaeological remains of two medieval ditches, possibly a trackway, located west of Bacon's Green.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3280</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the underground cable route. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3281	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3281</b>) is the archaeological remains of a Romano-British trackway and possible farmstead enclosure located east of Raydon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable route and the bypass haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of the underground cable route, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the bypass haul road. These works would</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3281</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2381</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3282	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3282</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible Romano-British farmstead enclosure located east of Raydon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable route. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of the underground cable route. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3282</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3282</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3283	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3283</b>) is the archaeological remains of probable prehistoric pits and ditches located south of Raydon and possibly associated with the Iron Age to Romano-British enclosure (<b>3337</b>) a little to the south.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the underground cable route, and the underground cable haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable route, temporary infiltration drainage works, overhead line mitigation works, cable compound</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor</b> adverse significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3283</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3283</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>CC-CC02, batching compound JC-BC01, bellmouth works, and the underground cable haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3284	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3284</b>) is the archaeological remains of widespread remains of Neolithic, Bronze Age and Iron Age activity located west of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the underground cable route, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the underground cable haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of groundworks associated with underground cable route, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, temporary attenuation drainage works,</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3284</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>3284</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and the underground cable haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3285	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3285</b>) is the archaeological remains of medieval pitting located north-east of Langham.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3285</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3286	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3268</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible prehistoric / Romano-British roundhouse.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3286</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b> adverse.</p>		<p>as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3287	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3287</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible late Iron Age / Romano-British farmstead.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the underground cable route, overhead line mitigation works, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB10 to TB12, pulling locations associated with pylons TB10 and TB12, bellmouth works, underground cable route, overhead line mitigation works, a</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>3287</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2387</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b> adverse. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3288	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3288</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible Iron Age pits and ditches perhaps peripheral to an area of settlement, located adjacent to Little Bromley Road and east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with cable compound JC-CC05, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>3288</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3289	Project identified archaeological features	Low	The asset ( <b>3289</b> ) is the archaeological remains of medieval/post medieval field boundary ditches and furrows located west of Hungerdown Lane and east of Ardleigh.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3289</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB4 and its associated pulling location, underground cable route, bellmouth works, the underground cable haul road, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3290	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3290</b>) is the archaeological remains of a Romano-British ditch and possible occupation layer located within the bounds of the former RAF Raydon (<b>3189</b>) and north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the bypass haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3290</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3291	Project identified	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3291</b>) is the archaeological remains of the north-east corner of a probable Romano-British enclosure</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	<p>The value of asset (<b>3291</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	archaeological features		<p>located immediately north of the former RAF Raydon (<b>3189</b>) and north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the compound buffer for Cable Sealing End (CSE) Compound JC-SC01. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	construction phase if required.	considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3292	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3292</b>) is the archaeological remains of a Romano-British ditch and a partially exposed possible kiln located within the bounds of the former RAF Raydon (<b>3189</b>) and north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the bypass haul road. As all of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3292</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3293	Project identified	Low	The asset ( <b>3293</b> ) is the archaeological remains of a possible undated	None for this asset, but archaeological	The value of asset ( <b>3293</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	archaeological features		<p>cremation located within the bounds of the former RAF Raydon (<b>3189</b>) and north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>As the feature has been fully excavated and removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. There is the potential, however, for similar features in the vicinity.</p>	investigation and recording prior to the construction phase for any similar and associated features.	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be neutral (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>3294</b>	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3294</b>) is the archaeological remains of a brick-built 16th-18th century building located between Stratford St Mary to the north-east and Boxted in the south-west.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset falls outside the known areas of impact of the Project, therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3294</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
<b>3295</b>	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3295</b>) is the archaeological remains of Romano-British ditches and pits and post medieval field boundaries.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3295</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with the underground cable route, bellmouth works, and the cabling haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3296	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3296</b>) is the archaeological remains of a middle Bronze Age ditch, post medieval and undated ditches, and undated pits including one containing cremated human bone located immediately west of the A137 Harwich Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the underground cable route, pylon TB10 and its associated pulling location, temporary infiltration draining works, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the cabling haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3295</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3297	Project identified Phase 2	Low	The asset ( <b>3297</b> ) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a north/south aligned trackway with	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>3297</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	geophysical anomalies		<p>several perpendicularly appended enclosures with numerous internal, discrete features located adjacent to pylon JC12.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon JC12, a SuDS basin and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	construction phase if required.	considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3298	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3298</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming three sides of a presumably square or rectangular enclosure with several internal discrete anomalies located between pylons JC31 and JC32.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of two SuDS basins and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3298</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3300	HER-MCC9375	Unknown	<p>The asset (<b>3300</b>) is the site of a PAS find, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3300</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3301	HER-MCC9376	Unknown	<p>The asset (<b>3301</b>) is the site of a PAS find, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3301</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3302	HER-MCC9377	Unknown	<p>The asset (<b>3302</b>) is the site of a PAS find, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3302</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3304	HER-MCC9436	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3304</b>) is the findspot of a medieval artefact, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3304</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3305	HER-MCC9437	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3305</b>) is the findspot of a medieval artefact, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3305</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3326	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3326</b>) is the site of the former Longlands Barn, located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3326</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3327	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3327</b>) is the site of unnamed farm on the first edition OS map, located north-north-west of Great Wenham.</p> <p>Although largely within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3327</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3328	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3328</b>) is the site of an unnamed former farmstead within the bounds of RAF Raydon.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3328</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
3329	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3329</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located west of Sproughton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3329</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction of pylons JC9-10, two SuDS basin locations, the dismantling of tower PHB28, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3330	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3330</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon JC13, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3330</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3332	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3332</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and enclosures, located north-east of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3332</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction of pylons JC18-19, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3333	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3333</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, locate south and east of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons JC20-23, a pulling location associated with pylon JC20, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3333</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3334	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3334</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and a possible trackway, located north of Coopers</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3334</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Corner.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons JC31-35, pulling locations associated with pylons JC32 and JC33, three SuDS basin locations, underground cable works, the temporary haul road and various elements of the Raydon Substation. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3335	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3335</b>) is cropmarks of field boundaries and enclosures, located largely within RAF Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, the temporary haul road and various elements of the Raydon Substation. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3335</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3336	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3336</b>) is cropmarks of field boundaries, pits and a possible enclosure, located east of Raydon and partially within RAF Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary infiltration drainage works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3336</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3337	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3337</b>) is cropmarks of field boundaries, located south of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3337</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3338	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>3338</b>) is cropmarks of field boundaries and trackways, located west of Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3338</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3339	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3339</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, ring ditches, and enclosures extending asset (<b>3168</b>), located south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary attenuation works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits'</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3339</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
3340	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3340</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon JC15, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, an overhead line crossing protection works area, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3340</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3341	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3341</b>) is cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons JC24-28, pulling locations associated with pylons JC25 and JC27, five SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3341</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
3343	HER-MEX11474	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3343</b>) is cropmarks of field boundaries and three ring ditches, located south-east of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3343</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3349	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3349</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located immediately north of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a permanent attenuation drainage channel. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3349</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3350	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3350</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-west of Sproughton.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of towers PHB22-25, OLH mitigation works, and underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>3350</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3351	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3351</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located north-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3351</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3353	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3353</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south of Little Bromley.</p> <p>Although just partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3353</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3362	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3362</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, enclosures, and a trackway, located immediately north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the construction of pylons TB11-12, a pulling location associated with pylon TB12, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3362</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3404	Project Mapped	Low	The asset ( <b>3404</b> ) is a parish boundaries, which crosses the Order	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>3404</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		Limits south-east of Burstall.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the dismantling of tower PCB5, and underground cable works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	recording prior to the construction phase if required.	impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3405	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3405) is a parish boundaries, which crosses the Order Limits south-east of Burstall.  Although just partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset (3405) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3332	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3332) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to the north-east and south of Chattisham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3332) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			overhead line crossing protection work areas, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>3407</b> ) is the site of a former pond, located east of Burstall.  Although just partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3407</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3408	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>3408</b> ) is the site of a former trigonometry point, located east of Burstall.  As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3408</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3410	Project Mapped	Low	The asset ( <b>3410</b> ) is the site of a former Saw pit, located on the southern flank	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>3410</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		<p>of Hurdle Makers Hill south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3413</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3413</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3421</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Chattisham.</p> <p>Although just partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>3421</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3434	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3434) is the site of a former pond, located south of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the pulling location associated with pylon JC25. As all of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3434) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3439	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3439) is the site of the former Longland's Barn, located south-south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>Although just partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (3439) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3444	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3444) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits between pylons JC26 and JC26 to the south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3444) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3445</b>) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits at pylon JC30 to the south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the construction of pylon JC30, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3445</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3447</b>) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to twice to the east and south of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3447</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3450	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3450) is the route of the former Great Eastern Railway (Hadleigh Branch), which crosses the Order Limits immediately north of the Little Wenham CSE compound and north of Coopers Corner.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the construction of the compound and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (3450) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3452	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset (3452) is the site of a former pond, located north-east of Raydon.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset (3452) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the introduction of temporary attenuation drainage works. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3454	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3454</b>) is the site of the former Cole's Farm, located east-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works along Ardleigh Road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3454</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3455	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3455</b>) is the site of a former pond, located immediately north of Ardleigh Road and east-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3455</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works along Ardleigh Road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3456	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>3456</b> ) is a possible important hedgerow, located immediately to the east of the proposed East Anglia Connection Node Substation to the east of Ardleigh.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3456</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by temporary attenuation drainage works, and water utility connection works (should it be used). As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
3459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>3459</b> ) is the site of a woodland, located east-south-east of Ardleigh.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3459</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect
			Although just partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3466</b>) is the site of a former pond adjacent to Dedham Road and located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3466</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3467	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3467</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by a pulling location associated with pylon TB12, and the temporary haul road. As all of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3467</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3474	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3474</b>) is the site of a former pond, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3474</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3478	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3478</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3478</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3479	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3479</b>) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by temporary attenuation drainage works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3479</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3483	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3483</b>) is the site of a former gravel pit, now backfilled and returned to pasture, located north-north-east of Langham.</p> <p>Although located partially within the Order Limits, there are expected to be no associated archaeological remains and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3483</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3485	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3485</b>) is the site of a former gravel pit, located south-south-west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the introduction of bellmouth works, and a construction laydown area. As a moderate proportion of the is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3485</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3502	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3502</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3502</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3510	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3510</b>) is a parish boundary, located east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works, and temporary attenuation drainage works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3510</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3351	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3351</b>) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits along Holtonwood Road to the north-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3351</b>) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3520	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3520</b>) is a parish boundary located west of Stratford St Mary and delineated by the Stour river.</p> <p>The river and, therefore, the boundary would not be impacted by the Project, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3520</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3523	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3523</b>) is the site of a series of former ponds, located north-east of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by temporary attenuation drainage works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3523</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3525	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3525</b>) is a parish boundary, located east of Langham.</p> <p>The asset is located within a trenchless crossing area, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3525</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3530	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3530</b>) is the former site builds, yards and ponds, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the pulling location associated with pylon JC12, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3530</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3532	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3532</b>) is the site of a former orchard, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a small proportion</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3532</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3533	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3533</b>) is a parish boundary, located immediately to the east of the proposed East Anglia Connection Node Substation to the east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by temporary attenuation drainage works, and water utility connection works (should it be used). As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3533</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3535	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3535</b>) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits south of Langham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by overhead line crossing protection works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits'</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>3535</b> ) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3536	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3536) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to the south of Lamb Corner.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (3536) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3540	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3540) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (3540) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3541	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3541) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Higham.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (3541) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
3542	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3542) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3542) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3544	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3544) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (3544) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the construction of the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3545	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3545) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (3545) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
3546	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3546) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	<p>The value of asset (3546) is <b>low</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by underground cable works. As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	<b>adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
3548	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>3548</b> ) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>3548</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION C ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
3042	HER-MSF4638	Medium	The asset ( <b>3042</b> ) is the Birch Farm Moat associated with Birch House Farmhouse ( <b>1036898</b> ) and the Birch Farm Farmstead ( <b>3046</b> ) and located south-west of Chattisham.  The construction phase of the Project	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be	The value of the asset ( <b>3042</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC25 to JC28, pulling locations associated with pylons JC25 and JC27, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
3046	HER-MSF42547	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3046</b>) is the Birch House Farm Farmstead associated with the Birch Farm Moat (<b>3042</b>) associated with Birch House Farmhouse (<b>1036898</b>) and located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC25 to JC28, pulling locations associated with pylons JC25 and JC27, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 200 m to the south-south-west of the asset</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>3046</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
3088	HER-MSF44937	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3088</b>) is the Woodlands Farm Farmstead, located north-north-east of Roydon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB34 and TB35, the Little Wenham CSE compound, underground cable works, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3088</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3107	HER-MSF4541	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3107</b>) is the site of the circular cropmark of a possible barrow, located south of Sproughton. It is not clear if this asset is a duplicate of asset (<b>3115</b>) or not.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3107</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>PHB24 to PHB26, underground cable works, bellmouth works, and a UKPN compound.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be visible. The tower corridor of the Project lies c. 1.1 km to the west of the asset and beyond the limits of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3111	HER-MSF5127	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3111</b>) is the site of a medieval moat, located on Vauxhall Farmstead north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC29 to JC33, pulling locations associated with pylons JC32 and JC33, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 350 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and outbuildings of the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3111</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3112	HER-MSF45157	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3112</b>) is the site of Vauxhall Farmstead, located north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC29 to JC33, pulling locations associated with pylons JC32 and JC33, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 350 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and outbuildings of the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3112</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3115	HER-MSZ27316	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3115</b>) is the site of the circular cropmark of a possible barrow, located south of Sproughton. It is not clear if this asset is a duplicate of asset (<b>3107</b>) or not.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the dismantling of towers PHB24 to PHB26, underground cable works, bellmouth works, and a UKPN compound.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3115</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 290 m to the south-south-west of the asset and would be visible. The tower corridor of the Project lies c. 1.1 km to the west of the asset and beyond the limits of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3118	HER-MSF43893	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3118</b>) is the site of Four Sitters Farm Farmstead, located south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, a highways laydown area, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 10 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmstead (<b>3118</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>low adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>Not significant</b>).</p>
3154	HER-MSF43778	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3154</b>) is the site of Dewlands Farm Farmstead, located north-east of Higham.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, pole uplifting works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3174	HER-MSF8460	High	<p>The asset (<b>3174</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3174</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3175	HER-MSF8461	High	<p>The asset (<b>3175</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3175</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3179	HER-MSF8582	High	<p>The asset (<b>3179</b>) is the cropmark of a small rectangular enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3179</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3195	HER-MSF20278	High	<p>The asset (<b>3195</b>) is the cropmark of a small ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3195</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3250	HER-MEX8587	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3250</b>) is a cropmark complex that encompasses the southern portion of scheduled</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3250</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>monument (<b>1489898</b>) between Little Bromley and Great Bromley.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the use of the temporary East Anglia Connection Node Substation access route, and the northern primary access route. These routes are, at their closest, 370 m to the north-east of the asset and would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section D

Table A11.2.13 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section D

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION D ORDER LIMITS</b>					
4000	Colchester City Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4000</b>) is Holts Road/ Crabtree Lane/Workhouse Road, a Protected Lane network, west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with cable undergrounding works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4000</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4002	Colchester City Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4002</b>) is Fossetts Lane, a Protected Lane, west of north-east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4002</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be low adverse.</p>	<p>with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p><b>4007</b></p>	<p>Project identified archaeological features</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The asset (<b>4007</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible Romano-British settlement activity and possible medieval pottery production located west of The Causeway and south-east of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable route, the bypass haul road, bellmouth works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and cable compound TB-CC03. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of the underground cable route, the bypass haul road, bellmouth works, temporary</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>4007</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>4007</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>infiltration drainage works, a construction laydown area, overhead line mitigation works, and cable compound TB-CC03. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p><b>4012</b></p>	<p>Project identified archaeological features</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The asset (<b>4012</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible roadside medieval settlement activity immediately south of School Lane and south-east of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the underground cable route, overhead line mitigation works, the bypass haul road, bellmouth works, and temporary infiltration drainage works. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable route, overhead line mitigation</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>4012</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>4012</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works, the bypass haul road, bellmouth works, and temporary infiltration drainage works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4013	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4013</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible Romano-British enclosure located west of London Road and south of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable route and the bypass haul road. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of underground cable route, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the bypass haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>4013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>4013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
				adversely alter the setting of the asset.	Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4015	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4015</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming small rectilinear fields Located between pylons TB38 and TB39.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB39 and TB39, a SuDS basin, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>4015</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4016	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4016</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a small group of possible pit and short gullies located adjacent to pylon TB53.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB53, and a SuDS basin. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>4016</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4017	Project identified geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4017</b>) is geophysical anomalies located at pylon TB51. The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB51, overhead cable mitigation works, a construction laydown area, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4017</b>) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4018	HER-MCC4742	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4018</b>) is a group of cropmarks of trackways between School Lane and Old House Road, west of the Causeway, south of Great Horkesley. The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4018</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4019	Project identified geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4019</b>) is geophysical anomalies located immediately west of pylon TB65.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB65, overhead cable mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>4019</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4024	HER-MCC4784	Low	<p>From a meadow at the side of Mill road/ Fiddlers Hill a type FW3/22 pillbox (<b>4024</b>) faces north towards Fordham Bridge.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As all of the surviving asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4024</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4026	HER-MCC4786	Low	The asset ( <b>4026</b> ) is a pillbox (WWII) located south bank of River Colne, c. 380 m east of Fordstreet.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4026</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4028	HER-MCC4787	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4028</b>) is a type FW3/22 concrete pillbox (WWII), 45 m east of Fordstreet Hill, south of Fordstreet.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated overhead line crossing protection works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4028</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4043	HER-MCC5119	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4043</b>) is a destroyed pillbox (WWII), west of Fordstreet Hill, south of Fordstreet.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon TB52, and overhead line crossing protection works. As a small portion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4043</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
4050	HER-MCC5658	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4050</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Colchester Road and west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB35 to TB37, a pulling location associated with pylon TB35, underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, the Great Horkesley – Tilbury side CSE compound a temporary construction compound, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, a permanent soil bund location, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset would be largely removed by the construction works for the Project and therefore there is no potential for setting impacts as it would no longer exist.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4050</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4057	HER-MCC7017	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4057</b>) is a medieval homestead moat located along Brook/Great Tey Road.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4057</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
4059	HER-MCC6931	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4059</b>) is a cropmark complex, located west of Brook/Great Tey Road and south of Great Tey.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated a SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4059</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4059</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB63 to TB67, a pulling location associated with pylon TB67, eight SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, a temporary construction compound, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4062	HER-MCC7125	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4062</b>) is a cropmark complex including ring ditches, field boundaries, linear features, pits and a trackway, located east of Great Horkesley, on Broad Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB33-TB34, a pulling location associated with pylon TB32, underground cable works, a temporary construction compound, the Great Horkesley – EACN side CSE compound, permanent attenuation drainage works, a permanent soil bund and an environmental area. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4062</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
4063	HER-MCC7126	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4063</b>) is the former Boxted WWII Airfield, located south-west of Langham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB22 to TB26, a pulling location associated with pylon TB25, six SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is then considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB21 to TB27, pulling locations associated with pylons TB21 and TB25, nine SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, the temporary haul road, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4063</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (4063) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is then considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
4067	HER-MCC7207	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4067</b>) is the Old House Field located north-west of Great Horkesley, on School Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary infiltration drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4067</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4073	HER - MCC7577	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4073</b>) is a cropmarks complex, located south of Rectory Lane and south-east of Great Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB59, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary access road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits'</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4073</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
4077	HER - MCC7704	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4077</b>) is a cropmark complex located between Fiddlers Hill/Mill Road and Porters Farm Road, on the southern bank of the Colne river north of Fordham Heath.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4077</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
4078	HER - MCC7711	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4078</b>) is the site of possible prehistoric cropmarks including five ring ditches and a double ring ditch. This asset is located immediately east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4078</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4078</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the construction of pylons TB49-TB51, pulling locations associated with pylons TB49 and TB50, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. Some of these works fall within the bounds of the asset with the pylon corridor c. 80 m to the east and south. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4081	HER - MCC7078	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4081</b>) is the site of excavated Romano-British settlement remains, located south of Fordham, and associated with a lead coffin and two Roman burials excavated earlier (<b>4093</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB48, a pulling location associated with pylon TB47, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4081</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4081</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB46 to TB49, pulling locations associated with pylons TB47 and TB49, five SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset as well as to the west and east. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4082	HER - MCC7079	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4082</b>) is the site of cropmarks and geophysical anomalies considered to represent Romano-British activity associated with excavated remains (<b>4081</b>) and (<b>4093</b>), located south of Fordham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB48, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. However, these works are located outside of the main area in</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4082</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4082</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>which there is evidence for remains, and therefore only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB46 to TB49, pulling locations associated with pylons TB47 and TB49, five SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset as well as to the west and east. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4084	HER - MCC7081	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4084</b>) is a group of undated cropmarks west of Aldham Hall along North Lane, including trackway spanning two modern fields.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4084</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4088	HER - MCC7733	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4088</b>) is a group of undated cropmarks including ring ditches and linear feature. This asset is located north-east of Fordham, along Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line crossing protection works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons TB44 to TB47, pulling locations associated with pylons TB44 and TB47, seven SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. A part of these works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4088</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4088</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4090	HER - MCC7762	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4090</b>) is a cropmark complex, located east of Fordstreet and Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB50 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4090</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4092	HER - MCC7775	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4092</b>) is a cropmarks complex, located south of Rectory Road and south-east of Great Tey.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4092</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
4093	HER - MCC8139	Medium	The asset ( <b>4093</b> ) is a site of a Roman lead coffin and skeleton excavated in 1984, and located south of Fordham.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4093</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4099	HER - MCC8640	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4099</b>) is a group of undated cropmarks of linear features, the predominant ones being the former woodland boundaries and field boundaries of Aldhamhall Wood and Aldham Hall. This asset is located along Brook Road, south of Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks with the construction of pylons TB56-TB57, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4099</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4100	HER - MCC8641	Low	The asset ( <b>4100</b> ) is a group of linear cropmarks, located north-east of Fordham.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4100</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
4102	HER - MCC8645	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4102</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-east of Fordham and south of Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB46-TB47, a pulling location associated with pylon TB47, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB46-TB47, a pulling location associated with pylon TB77, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4102</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4102</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	adversely alter the setting of the asset.	Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
4103	HER - MCC8646	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4103</b>) is a cropmark complex, located east of Fordstreet and west of Mill Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB49 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, a temporary badger sett, and the temporary haul works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4103</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4104	HER - MCC8753	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4104</b>) is the cropmark of a probable medieval moated site, located along Fossetts Lane and east of Fordham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4104</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB43, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4107	HER - MCC9061	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4107</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located immediately west of the A12 and south of Langham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB21 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and overhead line crossing protection works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4107</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4107</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB21 and TB23, a pulling location associated with pylon TB21, a SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and overhead line crossing protection works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4120	HER - MCC7148	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4120</b>) is the route of a possible Roman road which follows the route of the A134 through Great Horkesley.</p> <p>Due to the construction of the A134 it is likely all traces of the asset have been removed; therefore the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4120</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4123	HER - MCC8754	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4123</b>) is the route of the Roman road Stane Street, located within the Order Limits as the A120 between Broad Green and Surrex.</p> <p>Due to the construction of the A134 it is likely all traces of the asset have been removed; therefore the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4123</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4161	HER - MCC5344	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4161</b>) is the location of a former post medieval brickworks located north-west of Bergholt.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4161</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4183	HER - MCC10120	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4183</b>) is the site of excavated Late Iron Age occupation features within cropmark complex (<b>4107</b>), located immediately west of the A12 and south of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits through excavation, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4183</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4184	HER - MCC10121	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4184</b>) is the site of several charcoal rich pits excavated, and radiocarbon dated to 21 BC - 125 AD, located north of Langham Road and south-west of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits through excavation, the</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4184</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4185	HER - MCC10122	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4185</b>) is the site of two charcoal rich excavated pits located south-west of Boxted.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits through excavation, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4185</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4186	HER - MCC10123	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4186</b>) is a site several excavated late Iron Age occupation features including ditches and pits and postholes. This asset is located east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits through excavation, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4186</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4197	HER - MCC4740	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4197</b>) is the site of Brickworks North of Primrose House/Colliers Brick Works which is an active site that has been producing bricks since 1863. It is located along the railway and the Roman river, north of Marks Tey.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>4197</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB60, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of with pylons TB60-TB61, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4197</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4205	HER - MCC9121	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4205</b>) is a group of undated cropmarks near Boxted Heath.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset, the north-west part, falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4026</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4208	HER - MCC9146	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4208</b>) is former common land, located south-west of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4208</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4211	HER - MCC9149	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4211</b>) is the site of Boxted Heath common land, located south-east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB28-TB33, pulling locations associated with pylons TB28, TB30 and TB32, the Great Horkesley CSE compound (EACN side), six SuDS basin locations, permanent attenuation drainage works, a permanent spoil bund, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4211</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
4228	HER - MCC9334	Low	<p>The asset (4228) is an undated cropmark complex east of Great Horkesley, along The Causeway.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, a construction laydown area, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset (4228) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4360	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (4360) is a group of cropmarks west of Langham Lane and north of Colchester.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB27, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset (4360) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
4362	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4362</b>) is a group of cropmarks south of Colchester Road, north east of Quilters Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB38 and TB39, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4362</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4363	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4363</b>) is a group of cropmarks east of Fossetts Lane, east of Quilters Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB42, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4363</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
4365	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4365</b>) is a group of cropmarks east of great Tey Road, north of Marks Key.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB61 to TB64, a pulling location associated with pylon TB62, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4365</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4366	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4366</b>) is a group of cropmarks east of The Causeway, north-east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, a temporary construction compound, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4366</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
4367	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4367</b>) is a cropmark complex flanking London Road, west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, bellmouth works, temporary infiltration drainage works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4367</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4368	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4368</b>) is a cropmark complex east of Vinessee Road, south of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4368</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4369	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4369</b>) is a group of cropmarks west of Great Tey Road, north of Marks Key.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB65, three SuDS basin locations, a temporary construction compound, compound utility connections works (should it be used), overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset, the north-west part, falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4369</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4370	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4370</b>) is a cropmark complex north, east and south of Broad Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB68 to TB70,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4370</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			pulling locations associated with pylons TB68 and TB70, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4800	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4800</b>) is cropmarks located north of Lodge Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB21. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4800</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4379	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4379</b>) is a Pond at Knave's Farm, along Great Tey Road, south of the Grade II listed building 'Old Rectory' (<b>1224447</b>).</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4379</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4380	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4380</b>) is the site of a pond, situated along Great Tey Road, north-west of the Grade II listed 'Old Rectory' (<b>1224447</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with compound utility connections works (should it be used). As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4380</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4385	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4385</b>) is the site of a pond, situated east of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4385</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4386	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4386</b>) is the site of a pond, situated east of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4386</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4397	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4397</b>) is the site of a pond, situated west of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4397</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4404	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4404</b>) is the site of two ponds, situated east of Aldham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB54 and its pulling location. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4404</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4405	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset ( <b>4405</b> ) is the site of several ponds, situated along Green Lane,	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>4405</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		north-east of Aldham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	recording prior to the construction phase.	magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4425	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (4425) is the site of possible allotments, situated along Broad Lane and Lincoln Lane, east of Great Horkesley.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary attenuation drainage works, a bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset (4425) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4437	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4437) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, situated east of Great Tey Road and south of the Roman river.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset (4437) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4441	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4441</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated north of Colchester Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB35 and TB36 and the associated Great Horkesley CSE compound (Tilbury side), the construction of TB37 and associated pulling location, permanent and temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4441</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4442	Project Mapped	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4442</b>) is the site of an undefined boundary, situated between Crabtree Lane and Vinesse Road.</p>	Archaeological investigation and	<p>The value of asset (<b>4442</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	recording prior to the construction phase.	considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4443	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4443</b>) is the site of a project undefined boundary, situated east of Vinesse Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4443</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4444	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>4444</b> ) is the site of a project identified Parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated on London Road and to its west.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4444</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4445</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated along Langham Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4445</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4446	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4446</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated east of Lincoln Lane and Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB32,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4446</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4447</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated along Colchester Road, west of Crabtree Lane.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4447</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
4448	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4448</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated north of Colchester Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB35 and TB36 and the associated Great Horkesley CSE compound (Tilbury side), the construction of TB37 and associated pulling location, a permanent soil bund, permanent and temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4448</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
4449	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4449</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated east of Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4449</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4453	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4453</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, following the River Colne from Fordstreet to Halstead Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, and overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4453</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4455	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4455</b>) is the site of a Parish, located east of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB63, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4455</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4456	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4456</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary and located north of Marks Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a temporary construction compound off Great Tey Road, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4456</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
4457	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4457</b>) is the site of a Parish boundary, located between Surrex and East Gores.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location of pylon TB70, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4457</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4459</b>) is the site of a woodland copse, located east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4459</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
4460	Project Mapped	Low	The asset ( <b>4460</b> ) is the site of a woodland copse, located east of Great Horkesley and of Lincoln Lane.	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>4460</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with permanent attenuation drainage works, and an environmental area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	recording prior to the construction phase.	considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4461	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>4461</b> ) is the extant Great Eastern Railway - Sudbury and Bury Branch, located south-east of Great Tey.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>4461</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
4465	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>4465</b> ) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along Langham Lane and south-west of Langham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4465</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4466</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along the B1508 Colchester Road west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and overhead line mitigation works. As large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4466</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4467	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4467</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along the B1508/ Colchester Road and west of great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4467</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4468	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4468</b>) is the site of a Project identified historical hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, and is located along Great Tey Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4468</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4469	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4469</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located between Vinesse Road and London Road and west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, temporary attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4469</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4470	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4470</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located of Fossetts Lane and north-east of Fordham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4470</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4471	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4471</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located between Vinesse Road and London Road, and west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4471</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4472	Project Mapped	Low	The asset ( <b>4472</b> ) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located west of Great Tey Road and east of East Gores.	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>4472</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	recording prior to the construction phase.	considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4474	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4474</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located east of Lincoln Lane and east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon TB32, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate part of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4474</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4475	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>4475</b> ) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along Langham Lane and south-west of Langham.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>4475</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4500	HER-MCC9344	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4500</b>) is excavated Iron Age and early medieval archaeological features, located to the south of Fossetts Lane, Fordham.</p> <p>As the asset has been removed from the Order Limits through excavation, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4500</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4512	HER-MCC10067	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4512</b>) is the postulated route of an earthwork dyke following the line of Salary Brook and Black Brook, to the north of Colchester.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4512</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
4687	HER-MCC9767	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>4687</b>) is a findspots recorded by the PAS. This asset is located between Colchester Road and Crabtree Lane.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4687</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4613	HER-MCC9370	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4613</b>) is a findspots recorded by the PAS. This asset is located between Colchester Road and Crabtree Lane.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4613</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4691	HER-MCC9804	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4691</b>) is a findspot recorded by the PAS. It is located west of Brook Road, north-west of North Lane.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4691</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4616	HER-MCC9405	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4616</b>) is a findspot recorded by the PAS and located west of Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4616</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4646	HER-MCC9582	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4646</b>) is a findspots recorded by the PAS. This asset is located between Colchester Road and Crabtree Lane.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4646</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4707	HER-MCC9966	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4707</b>) is a findspot recorded by the PAS located west of Mill Road, east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4707</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4621 to 4645 and 4647 to 4686	HER-MCC9553-MCC9569-MCC9574-MCC9581-MCC9598-MCC9618-MCC9689-MCC9698-MCC9700-MCC9702-MCC9708-MCC9723	Low	<p>The assets (4621) to (4645) and (4647) to (4686) are findspots recorded by the PAS, They are all located in the same place.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of assets (4621) to (4645) and (4647) to (4686) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4692	HER-MCC9805	Low	<p>The asset (4692) is a findspot recorded by the PAS. It is located west of Brook Road.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (4692) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4600 and 4609	HER-MCC4118 and MCC6521	Low	<p>The assets (4600) and (4609) are findspots recorded by the PAS. They are located east of the Causeway.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of assets (4600) and (4609) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
4700	HER-MCC9931	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4700</b>) is a findspot recorded by the PAS, west of Brook Road, south of Rectory Road.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4700</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
4118	MCC7761	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4118</b>) is cropmarks such as linear features, some of which may represent remnants of recently removed field boundaries.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4118</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b>.</p>
4520	MSF44892	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4520</b>) is a possible section of the Roman road identified as forming part of a route running north-northeast from Colchester towards Great Horkesley and crossing the Order Limit.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4520</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth, bellmouth visibility splays, and cable undergrounding works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4354	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4354</b>) is a cropmark complex located north of Rectory Road and partially within the Order Limits.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with one SuDS location, pulling location linked to the pylon TB57, pylon TB56, the temporary haul road and overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4354</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4804	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4804</b>) is a field boundary found east of Fossetts Lane, c. 1.3 km north-east of Fordham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with one SuDS</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>4804</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			location and overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION D ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
4072	HER-MCC7572	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4072</b>) is a medieval moat near Brook Road, south of Aldham, which surrounds the medieval Grade II* listed building Aldham Hall (<b>1306270</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB57 and TB58, a pulling location associated with pylon TB57, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The closest works would be at c. 50 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of asset ( <b>4072</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
4217	HER-MCC9220	Medium	The asset ( <b>4217</b> ) is a historic farmstead located along Great Tey Road, north of Mark Tey and which includes the Grade	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the	The value of asset ( <b>4217</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>II listed 'The Range south of barn to south of Knaves Farmhouse' (<b>1266775</b>), 'Knaves Farmhouse' (<b>1266773</b>) and the 'Barn to south of Knaves Farmhouse' (<b>1266822</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB63-TB66, six SuDS basins locations, temporary construction compound, utility connection works (should it be used), the temporary haul road, bellmouths works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and a temporary construction compound. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The overhead line compound utility connection works (should it be used) would be adjacent to the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4225	HER-MCC9229	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4225</b>) is a historic farmstead located along Brook Road and south of Great Tey. The farmstead includes the Grade II listed 'Granary' (<b>1223157</b>), 'Barn to east of Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1223158</b>), 'Stables To South Of</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>4225</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1267423</b>), 'Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1267424</b>), 'Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1223156</b>), 'Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm' (<b>1267422</b>), and the 'Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm' (<b>1223159</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the addition of water pipelines and the combined temporary haul road, temporary construction compound, utility connections (should it be used) and working area, four SuDS basin locations, bellmouth junction and visibility splays and overhead line mitigation works. The construction of the pylons TB64 to TB65, would also alter the setting of the asset due to their location running north of the asset, but also due to the wide views of the landscape. These works would likely introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The primary access route and the water pipeline works would be close to the asset. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate</b> adverse significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

## Section E

Table A11.2.14 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section E

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION E ORDER LIMITS</b>					
<b>5002</b>	HER - MEX1032902	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5002</b>) is a 19th century cast iron milepost located by Colchester Road, east of Surrex.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB71 and TB72, bellmouth works, and overhead line mitigation works. As the asset falls completely within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5002</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>5009</b>	Project identified archaeological features	Low	The asset ( <b>5009</b> ) is the archaeological remains of a small prehistoric pit containing pottery/ burnt material and located east of Fairstead Road, North of Fairstead, and west of White Notley.	None for this asset, but archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase for any similar and associated features.	The value of asset ( <b>5009</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the feature has been fully excavated and removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> . There is the potential, however, for similar features in the vicinity.		significance of effect would be neutral ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5010	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5010</b>) is the archaeological remains of undated ditches and one pit located north of Fairstead, and west of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB110, a SuDS basin location, an environmental area, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5010</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5014	HER - MEX1031391	Low	The asset ( <b>5014</b> ) is the site of a sub-rectangular enclosure complex, thought to be field boundaries, located north-west of Feering.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5014</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB78, a pulling location associated with pylon TB78, and three SuDS basin locations. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5024	HER - MEX1033776	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5024</b>) is the former site of ancillary dispersed site buildings associated with the WWII RAF Rivenhall (<b>5239</b>), within Cutley's Wood, east of Silver End.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5024</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
5021	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5021</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming enclosures and a possible trackway, and a partial possible</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	<p>The value of asset (<b>5021</b>) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>ring ditch located near pylon TB74.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB74, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5027	HER - MEX1033779	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5027</b>) is the former site of ancillary dispersed site buildings associated with the WWII RAF Rivenhall (<b>5239</b>), east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5027</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5030	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5030</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming possible enclosures located to the east of pylon TB93.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a SuDS basin, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5030</b>) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5036	HER - MEX1035403	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5036</b>) is a designed landscape (garden) associated with Rivenhall Place (<b>1122598</b>), located south of Western Road, west of Park Road and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB094. As a very small proportion of the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>5036</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB93 - TB95, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB95, three SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5036</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
5056	HER - MEX20749	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5056</b>) is the site of identified cropmarks of a potential building, trackway, and linear ditch features, south-west of Witham Road (B1018), and east of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5056</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5057	HER - MEX23958	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5057</b>) is the site of cropmarks within a field immediately north-east of Faulkbourne, and north-east of Church Hill (road).</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road, and bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of the temporary</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>5057</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5057</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>haul road and bellmouth works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. some of these works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5059	HER-MEX1050834	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5059</b>) is the site of three probably, likely multi-phase, areas of settlement activity, in a field south-east of Silver End and north-west of Rivenhall.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB94 and TB95, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5059</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5059</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the construction of pylons TB94 and TB95, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5069	HER - MEX26753	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5069</b>) is the site of a partially extant medieval moat boundary ditch located at Porter's Farm surrounding Porters Farmhouse (<b>1171011</b>), situated south-west of Park Gate Road and east of Silver End.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase of the Project would alter</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5069</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5069</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB89-TB91, a pulling location associated with pylon TB90, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5077	HER - MEX27187	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5077</b>) is the site of undated cropmarks of possible field boundaries, two small enclosures and associated trackways, situated immediately north of Hollow Road, east of Silver End and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB87 and TB88, a pulling location associated with pylon TB87, two SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road,</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5077</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5089	HER - MEX28254	Medium	<p>bellmouth works, and overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>5089</b>) is the site of cropmarks including a rectangular enclosure and a possible ring ditch, situated east of Coggeshall Road and south-east of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB80 and TB81, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider</p>	<p>remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5089</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5089</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB79 - TB82, a pulling location associated with pylon TB82, seven SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5094	HER - MEX37983	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5094</b>) is the site of a former medieval deer park (Rivenhall I and II) encompassing Rivenhall Place (<b>1122598</b>), and located on the south-eastern outskirts of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB91-TB93, a pulling location associated with pylon TB93, six SuDS basins, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth work areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5094</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5095	HER - MEX37985	Low	<p>road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>5095</b>) is the site of a former formal landscaped park (Rivenhall III and IV) once associated with Rivenhall Place (<b>1122598</b>), and located on the south-eastern outskirts of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB91-TB93, a pulling location associated with pylon TB93, six SuDS basins, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth work areas, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5095</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5098	HER - MEX38514	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5098</b>) is the site of cropmarks of potential linear features and quarry extraction pits, situated north of Church Hill (road) and north-west of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB103 and associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection work, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5098</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5099	HER - MEX38516	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5099</b>) is the site of undated cropmarks of potential field boundaries and a parish boundary, situated south-west of Church Hill (road) and south of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5099</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB105 and TB106, a pulling location associated with pylon TB105, the temporary haul road, and three SuDS basin locations. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5100	HER - MEX38518	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5100</b>) is the site of cropmarks indicating possible field boundaries, situated north and east of Fairstead Road and north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB109, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5100</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5102	HER - MEX38522	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5102</b>) is the site of cropmarks indicating possible field boundaries as well as rectilinear and curvilinear enclosures, situated west of Fairstead Road, and north-west of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with permanent attenuation drainage works, the temporary haul road, an overhead line crossing protection works area, and bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5102</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5103	HER - MEX38525	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5103</b>) is the site of a possible rectilinear enclosure and field boundaries within land surrounding Dines Hall (<b>1123448</b>), situated south of Ranks Green Road and south-east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5103</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB116 - TB118, a pulling location associated with pylon TB117, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>5104</b>	HER - MEX38528	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5104</b>) is the site of cropmark of linear field boundaries, situated east of Fairstead Lodge Road and west of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB120 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5104</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5107	HER - MEX38727	Low	magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (5107) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5109	HER - MEX38730	Low	<p>The asset (5107) is the site of cropmark of linear field boundaries, situated east of Witham Road and south of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB97, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (5109) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5110	HER - MEX38731	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5110</b>) is the site of cropmarks of linear field boundaries, situated south of Western Lane, and south of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB95, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5110</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5111	HER - MEX38733	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5111</b>) is the site of cropmarks of linear field boundaries a pond and trackway suggestive of nearby occupation,</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5111</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>situated south of Western Lane, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with, the temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5117	HER - MEX38824	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5117</b>) is the site of undated field boundaries seen on OS first edition mapping, situated north of Park Gate Road, east of Wood House Lane, and east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB89 and TB90, a pulling location associated with pylon TB90, two SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, bellmouth works, and overhead</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5117</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
5119	HER - MEX38906	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5119</b>) is the site of undated field boundary cropmarks, situated west of Pantlings Lane, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB84 and TB85, a pulling location associated with pylon TB84, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5119</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5124	HER - MEX27809	Medium	The asset ( <b>5124</b> ) is the site a possible Romano-British settlement, suggested due to large volumes of pottery and coins being recovered, as well as	For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>5124</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>a scatter of tile fragments, and is situated south-west of Scrips Road, south of Coggeshall.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB81 - TB84, pulling locations associated with pylon TB81 and TB84, four SuDS basin locations, and overhead line mitigation works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>required.</p> <p>for the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5124</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5131	HER - MEX28161	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5131</b>) is the site of several undated potential linear features, a small rectangular enclosure, a curvilinear trackway, and several large rectangular pits, west of the River Blackwater, east of Kelvedon Road, and east of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5131</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5133	HER - MEX1035754	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5133</b>) is the possible path of a Roman Road, located between Coggeshall and Kelvedon along the path of the B1024.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB81 and its pulling</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5133</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			location, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
5152	HER - EEX55721	Low	<p>The asset (5152) is the location of an archaeological excavation and watching brief which uncovered evidence of multiple periods. The pipeline crosses the Order Limits north-west of Pink Lane and south-west of White Notley.</p> <p>As the archaeological works have already been undertaken, and the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (5152) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
5153	HER - EEX59322	Low	<p>The asset (5153) is the site of the eastern-most extent of a trial trench evaluation which identified early to middle Iron Age pits, gullies, post-holes, and ditches, situated west of Pantlings Lane, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (5153) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB84 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5240	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (5240) is the site of a cropmark complex identified by the Project, situated west of Coggeshall Road, and south of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB82 and TB83, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection works, and overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact,</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (5240) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
5241	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5241</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, situated west of Park Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB93, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5241</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5243	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5243</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated north-east of Coggeshall Road, and south-east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5243</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>pylons TB75 and TB76, a pulling location associated with pylon TB76, three SuDS basins, the temporary access road, overhead line mitigation works, the dismantling of two 33 kV towers, underground cable works, and two overhead line crossing protection work areas. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
5244	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5244</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated east of Park Road, north-east of Church Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB92, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, and overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5244</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
5246	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5244</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated south-east of Church Hill, and south-west of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB107, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, and an overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5246</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5247	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5247</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated north-east of Fairstead Road, and east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB111-TB115, pulling</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5247</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>location associated with pylons TB110 and TB115, two permanent soil bunds, the temporary haul road, two temporary construction compounds, attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent drainage ponds and an overhead line crossing protection works area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
5249	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5249</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated north of Fairstead Hall Road, and south of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB119, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5249</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
5251	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5251</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB121-TB123, five SuDS basin locations, a UKPN compound, an overhead line mitigation detailed work area, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, the dismantling of towers PSB41 and PSB42, temporary tower works, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5251</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low adverse</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5252	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>5252</b> ) is the site of Project identified cropmarks,	Archaeological investigation and	The value of asset ( <b>5252</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>situated south-west of Church Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB94 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, an overhead line crossing protection work area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5253	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5253</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated south-west of Church Hill (road), and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with, pylon TB104, a pulling location associated with pylon TB105, a</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5253</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
5300	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5300</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated north-east of Fuller Street, and north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5300</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5301	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5301</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of	None.	The value of asset ( <b>5301</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5304	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5304</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south of Rank's Green Road, and south-east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5304</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5309	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5309</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south of Ranks Green Road, and south-east of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5309</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Rank's Green.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5317	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5317</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Fairstead Road, and west of White Notley.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5317</b> is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
5328	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5328</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south-west of Church Hill (road), and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5328</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. This asset location appears to now be occupied by buildings, the edges of which may fall within the Order Limits' area of impact, therefore the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect is, therefore, <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
5332	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5332</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and west of Rivenhall.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5332</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5335	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5335</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Witham</p>	None	<p>The value of asset (<b>5335</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Road, and north-west of Rivenhall.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5336	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5336</b>) is the site of a Project identified section of an informal medieval parkland identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated west of Church Road and Park Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB94 and its associated pulling location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5336</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
5342	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5342</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated north of Church Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5342</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5352	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5352</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated north-east of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is</p>	None	The value of asset ( <b>5352</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
5353	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5353</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, north-east of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None	<p>The value of asset (<b>5353</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5361	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5361</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated west of Coggeshall Road (B1024), and south of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5361</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			pulling location associated with pylon TB84. As all the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
5375	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5375</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and north-west of Stocks Green.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the modification of an existing 132 kV tower. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5375</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5377	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5377</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and north-west of Stocks Green.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5377</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5381	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated 33 kV underground cable works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>5381</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>5381</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5382	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5382</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and south of Surrex.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5382</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5395	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5395</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow and parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Boreham Road, and north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a large</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5395</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
5396	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5396</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow and parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Fairstead Road, and north-east of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary access road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5396</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5397	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>5397</b> ) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and south of Silver End.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5397</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5398	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB96, a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>The value of asset (<b>5398</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5399	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5399</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow, situated south of Park Gate Road, and east of Silver Street.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None	<p>The value of asset (<b>5399</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
5400	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5400</b>) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB80, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5400</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5401	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5401</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond, situated north of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p>	None	<p>The value of asset (<b>5401</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5402	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5402</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible boundary of Felixhall Park identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated north of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB87. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5402</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5405	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5405</b>) is the site 'High Hall' buildings, grounds and pond, situated south-east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5404</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5406	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5406</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping situated east of Coggeshall Road, and west of Feering.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB81 and its pulling location, and an overhead line Access Route. As a low proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5406</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
5407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5407) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping situated crossing Park Gate Road, and east of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation work, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (5407) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5408	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5408) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping situated east of Cressing Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (5408) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5409	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5409</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping situated west of Witham Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a bellmouth. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5409</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5410	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5410</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping situated</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5410</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>west of Cressing Road, and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with two overhead line crossing protection work areas. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5411	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5411</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary, situated south and south-west of White Notley.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pulling locations associated with pylons TB100, TB103, TB105, overhead line mitigation works, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, two permanent attenuation drainage channels, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5411</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>5413</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary, situated east of Cole Hill, and south, south-west, west and north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with underground cable works, the dismantling of tower PSB40, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5413</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
5414	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5414</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Coggeshall Road, and south of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5414</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Coggeshall.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon TB81, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5415	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5415</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Boreham Road, and north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5415</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
5416	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5416</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated adjacent to Crossing Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5416</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5417	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5417</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated east of Witham Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. As</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5417</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5418	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>a high proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>5418</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB105, and an overhead line Access Route. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5418</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5419	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>5419</b> ) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated south-west of Park Road, and south of Silver	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5419</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>End.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5420	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5420</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated adjacent to Fairstead Road, and east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a permanent attenuation drainage works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5420</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
5421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5421</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated north of Colchester Road, and west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with an overhead line crossing protection works area. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5421</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5422	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5422</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated adjacent to Cressing Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5422</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
5423	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5423</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated adjacent to Church Hill (road), and north-west of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5423</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5424	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>5424</b> ) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic OS mapping, situated adjacent to Church Hill (road), and north-west of Faulkbourne.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>5414</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5425	Geophysical Survey Anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5245</b>) is the site of a single curving ditch-like anomaly aligned roughly north-west/south-east, and a single magnetically enhanced discrete response recorded at the south-west boundary, both identified during geophysical survey, situated east of Fairstead Road, and north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase could result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pylons TB109 to TB115, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110 and TB115, five SuDS basin locations, both Fairstead CSE compounds, two temporary</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5245</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>constructions (Fairstead Road West and Fairstead Road East), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, an environmental area and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
5388	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5388</b>) is a former pond, first seen on mapping from 1876, located east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5388</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
5500	Braintree District Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5500</b>) is Pole Roda, a Protected Lane, north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however,</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5500</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).	significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5503	Braintree District Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5503</b>) is Fairstead Lodge road, a Protected Lane, north of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	The value of asset ( <b>5503</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION E ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
<b>5017</b>	HER - MEX1032412	Medium	The asset ( <b>5017</b> ) is the late 19th century designed landscape belonging to Faulkborne Hall, located south-east of Faulkborne.	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP	The value of asset ( <b>5017</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB102-TB105, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>(document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5018	HER - MEX1032414	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5018</b>) is the site of a deserted medieval village, south-east of Faulkborne.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB102-TB105, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5018</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5019	HER - MEX1032527	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5019</b>) is the site of building rubble possibly indicating a building of Roman date, as well as a cropmark of a wall, located directly east and north-east of Rivenhall.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB92-94, pulling locations associated with pylons TB93 and TB94, three SuDS basins, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 130 m to the north-west of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5019</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5020	HER - MEX1031218	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5020</b>) is the site of a potential moated area near Great Walley Hall (<b>1123449</b>) and the nearby listed barns (<b>1248834</b>, <b>1337818</b>), located east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB110-TB117, pulling locations associated with pylons TB110, TB115, and TB1117, both Fairstead CSE compounds, two temporary construction compounds (Fairstead Road West and Fairstead Road East), overhead line mitigation works, permanent attenuation drainage channels, temporary and permanent attenuation ponds, five SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>There works are, at their closest, 150 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5020</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5044	HER - MEX20241	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5044</b>) is the site a moat which surrounds Dines Hall (<b>1123448</b>), located south-east of Rank's Green, and south of Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB117-TB119, a pulling location associated with pylon TB117, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 60 m to the south and south-east of the asset, and 135 m to the east, and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5044</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
5073	HER - MEX1005521	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5073</b>) is an extant late 17th to early 18th century timber framed barn south of the Grade II listed Porters Farm House (<b>1171011</b>), east of Silver End, west of Kelvedon and south of Park Gate Road.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5073</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB89-TB91, a pulling location associated with pylon TB90, overhead line mitigation works, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, c. 55 m to the north-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
5078	HER - MEX27727	Medium	<p>The asset (5078) is the site of a substantial volume of medieval pottery suggestive of occupation at 'Houchin's Farmhouse' (1123187), located east of Coggeshall, and immediately east of Houchin's Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB69-TB72, a pulling location</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed</p>	<p>The value of asset (5078) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with pylon TB70, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works areas, three SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the setting of the asset.</p> <p>These works are, at their closest, 140 m to the south-east of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5132	HER - MEX28191	High	<p>The asset (<b>5132</b>) is the site of cropmarks within the area surrounding a long mortuary enclosure and round barrow scheduled monument (<b>1017230</b>), north-west of Feering.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB77-79, a pulling location for TB79, six SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5132</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>These works are, at their closest, 230 m to the north of the asset and would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	

## Section F

Table A11.2.15 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section F

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION F ORDER LIMITS</b>					
6000	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6000</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible Romano-British field boundaries located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the TB main compound off Braintree Road, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>6000</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6002	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6002</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies possibly linked to enclosure located immediately north of pylon TB144.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB144, a SuDS basin, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6002</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
6004	HER-MEX1031196	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6004</b>) are undated field boundaries which have been identified in a field at Lee Farm, located south-west of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB173, one SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6004</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6007	HER-MEX1031266	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6007</b>) comprises cropmarks of two ring ditches with internal features and linear ditches are recorded on land north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB164 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul</p>	<p>For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>6007</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB163-TB165, a pulling location associated with pylon TB164, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>asset (<b>6007</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
6011	HER-MEX1032817	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6011</b>) is the site of a WWI Second Class Royal Flying Corps night landing ground, located at Broomfield Court, Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB147-TB148, one SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6011</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
6014	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6014</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming three sides of a possible enclosure located at pylon TB145.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB145, and a SuDS basin. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6014</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6015	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6015</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a linear feature and a possible, partial sub-circular enclosure located between pylons TB145 and TB146.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6015</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6024	HER-MEX1040665	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6024</b>) is the site of cropmarks of medieval to post medieval field boundaries at Hoestreet Cottages. The asset is located immediately to the east of Hoe Street.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6024</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be neutral ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6025	HER-MEX1040666	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6025</b>) comprises cropmarks of three small rectilinear enclosures located immediately to the east of the A131 Braintree Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB133-TB134, a pulling location associated with pylon TB133, four SuDS basin locations, a temporary construction compound (TB-Main), and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6025</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6031	HER-MEX20762	Low	The asset ( <b>6031</b> ) is the site of undated linear cropmarks, thought to be old field boundaries, located west-south-west of	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>6031</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB129, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6038	HER-MEX28573	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6038</b>) is a cropmark complex located partially within the south-eastern portion of Langleys parkland (<b>1000241</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by the construction of pylon TB140 and associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase</p>	<p>For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>6038</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6038</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB140 and associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
6041	HER-MEX2914	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6041</b>) comprises the fragmentary remains of a medieval moat located at Montpeliers Farm to the north of Writtle.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6041</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6045	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6045</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming linear features and sub-circular enclosures with internal features located around pylon TB155.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6045</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
6050	HER-MEX3612	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6050</b>) comprises a medieval moat at Partridge Green Farm located on the outskirts of Broomfield.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6050</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6056	HER-MEX38451	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6056</b>) comprises undated cropmarks of two large circular pits, a field boundary, other linear features, and some amorphous features are located on land to the north of Hole Farm, Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB126-TB127, a pulling location associated with pylon TB126, two SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>6056</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium adverse</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
6057	HER-MEX38455	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6057</b>) comprises undated cropmarks of various linear and amorphous features, identified on land south of Terling Spring.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of tower PSB39, temporary tower works, underground cable works, an overhead line mitigation detailed work area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6057</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low adverse</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6061	HER-MEX28603	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6061</b>) comprises undated linear cropmarks in a field south-west of Partridge Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB148, one SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6061</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
6064	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6064</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a group of conjoined irregular enclosures and linear located between pylons TB156 and TB157.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a SuDS basin, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6064</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6073	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6073</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a group of discrete and linear features including two sides of a possible enclosure located immediately south of pylon TB173.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a SuDS basin, and the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6073</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		
6075	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6075</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a penannular shaped feature located north of TB174.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6075</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b> .
6078	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6078</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a small group of features including two sides of a possible enclosure and two discrete features located north-west of pylon TB173.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a SuDS basin and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6078</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6083	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6083</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming three sides of a possible enclosure with associated linear features located between pylons TB141 and TB142.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB142, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6083</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6086	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6086</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a possible small circular enclosure located between pylons TB148 and TB149.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6086</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b> .
6104	HER-MEX20466	Medium	The asset ( <b>6104</b> ) comprises the route of the Chelmsford-Braintree-Long Melford Roman which crosses the Order Limits between pylons TB135 and TB135.	For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if	For below ground remains: the value of asset ( <b>6104</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of a small proportion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB134-TB136, pulling locations associated with pylons TB135 and TB136, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, a temporary construction compound, a construction laydown area, and bellmouth works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. However, as the asset was likely destroyed by the construction of the A131, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6104</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6105	HER-MEX28472	Medium	The asset ( <b>6105</b> ) comprises an anti-tank ditch runs through the Order Limits at Little Waltham, to the north-west of the settlement.	For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if	The value of asset ( <b>6105</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would not result in any impact on the asset as the asset follows the route of the river Chelmer within the Order Limits and as the waterway would not be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB138 and its associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>required.</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6105</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6113	Project identified geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6113</b>) is geophysical anomalies located north of pylon TB161.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road and a construction laydown area. As a</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6113</b>) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6200	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6200</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of Boreham Road, north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB124, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6200</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6201	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6201</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of Paulk Hall Lane, south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location, overhead line mitigation</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6201</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			works, overhead line crossing protection works, the temporary haul road and a bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
6203	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6203</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the eastern flank of Braintree Road, east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB130, two SuDS basins, a construction laydown area, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6203</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6204	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6204</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland south-east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6204</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction of pylons TB131 and TB133, pulling locations associated with TB132 and TB133, two SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, overhead line crossing protection works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6206	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6206</b>) comprises a cropmark complex, located north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB135 and its associated pulling location, two SuDS basin locations, a construction laydown area, the temporary haul road, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6206</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6207	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6207</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the north-western flank of Braintree Road, immediately north of Little Waltham.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6207</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB137-TB139, three SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required.</p>	<p>implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6208	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6208</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north and south of Lark's Lane, west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB142 and the associated pulling location, a SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, overhead line mitigation works, a construction laydown area, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6208</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6210	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6210) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north-west and south-east of Hollow Lane, north of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB151, an associated pulling location associated with pylon TB150, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6210) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6212	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6212) comprises linear cropmarks in farmland on the eastern flank of Mashbury Road, north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB155, two SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6212) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6214	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>6214</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland to the west of the Can river, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB159-TB161, a pulling location associated with pylon TB161, three SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, a construction laydown area, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6214</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6217	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6217</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north of Ongar Road, west of Writtle.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6217</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6219	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6219</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland south of Ongar Road, west of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB169-TB171, a pulling location associated with pylon TB170, three SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, a construction laydown area, bellmouth works, and overhead line crossing protection works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6219</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6220	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6220</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland immediately east of Highwood Road, immediately south of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB172, the temporary haul road, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6220</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
6221	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6221</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland immediately north of Nathan's Lane, south-south-east of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB173-TB174, a pulling location associated with pylon TBG174, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6221</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6224	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6224</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of the A12, west and south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB182-TB185, pulling locations associated with pylons TB183 and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6224</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB185, seven SuDS basin locations, the temporary haul road, a highways laydown area, two overhead line crossing protection work areas, bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
6233	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6233</b>) comprises a linear cropmark in farmland immediately south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6233</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6248	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6248</b>) is former pond, west of the A12 east of Dawes Farm.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line crossing protection works,</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6248</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6252	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6252</b>) is a former pond, located along the east side of Coptfold Hall Drive, north-west of Margaretting and the A12.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a construction laydown area, As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6252</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6259	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6259</b>) is a former pond, west of Margaretting Road, north of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB175 and overhead line mitigation works. As all of the asset falls within the Order</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6259</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
6269	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6269) is a former pond, immediately west of Highwood Road and south-west of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. as a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (6269) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6271	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6271) is a former pond, south-east of Highwood Road and south of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset (6271) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b> .
6289	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6289) is a former pond, west of Writtle.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside</p>	None.	The value of asset (6289) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b>.</p>
6290	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6290</b>) is a former pond, west of Writtle.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6290</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible (not significant)</b>.</p>
6299	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6299</b>) is a former pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon TB162. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6299</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6302	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6302</b>) is a former spring/pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6302</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location for pylon TB162. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6303	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6303</b>) is a former pond, southeast of Roxwell and northwest of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB163. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6303</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6307	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6307</b>) is a former pond east of Roxwell.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6307</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6314	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6314</b>) is a former pond, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6314</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6320	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6320</b>) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road, and the pulling location associated with pylon TB175. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6320</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6324	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6324</b>) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. As a small proportion</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6324</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6330	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6330</b>) are former possible allotments located along Broads Green and Lark's Lane and south-east of Chignall Saint James.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6330</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6331	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6331</b>) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall Saint James.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and bellmouth works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6331</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6344	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6344</b>) comprises four former ponds west of Broomfield and north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6344</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6350	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6350</b>) is a former pond north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6350</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6363	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6363</b>) is a former pond south-east of Broad's Green.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6363</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6376	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6376</b>) is part of a former medieval park north of Little Waltham and east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB139, one SuDS basin location, the temporary haul road, bellmouth works, and overhead line crossing protection works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>6376</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6381	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6381</b>) comprises a former pond north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6381</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6385	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6385</b> ) is a former pond north-east of Little Waltham.	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6385</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon TB133. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6386	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6386</b> ) is a former pond north of Little Waltham.  The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location associated with pylon TB135. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6386</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6387	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6387</b> ) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.  Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6387</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6393	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6393</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and northeast of Great Waltham.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6393</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6394	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6394</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6394</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6396	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6396</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6396</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6403	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6403</b>) is a former pond south Little Leighs and east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6403</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6413</b>) is a former pond west of Fuller Street and south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6413</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6426	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6426</b>) is an extant possibly important hedgerow along the River Wid, to the north-east of Stock Lane, Ingatstone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6426</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6427	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6427) is a historic hedgerow north of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (6427) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6428	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6428) is a historic hedgerow south of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB158 and its associated pulling location, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (6428) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6432	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>6432</b>) is a historic civil parish boundary north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, and the pulling location associated with pylon TB181. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6432</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6434	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6434</b>) is a historic civil parish boundary north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, and the asset follows the path of the Braintree Road as it intersects the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6434</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6440	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6440) 'Gally's Farm' buildings and yard/garden Great Leighs.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (6440) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6445) is a historic parish boundary north-west of Chelmsford and south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB158 and its associated pulling location, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (6445) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6446	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6446) is a parish boundary north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road, artificial badger setts, and pulling location for pylon TB161. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6446) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6447) is a historic hedgerow north-west of Great Oxney Green and Writtle.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6447) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6449	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6449) is a parish boundary north of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6449) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6450	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6450</b>) is a parish boundary north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6450</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6458	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6458</b>) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6458</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6459) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin, overhead line crossing protection work areas and overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6459) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6460	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6460) is a historic hedgerow north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>Although within the bounds of the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6460) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6461	Project Mapped	Low	The asset (6461) is a historic hedgerow south of Broad's Green.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset (6461) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6462	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6462</b>) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Broad's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road, and the pulling location for pylon TB142. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6462</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6463	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6463</b>) is a historic hedgerow west Broomfield and north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road, and the pulling</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6463</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			location pylon TB150. As all of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		<b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6466</b>) is a historic hedgerow south of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB158 and its associated pulling location, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6466</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6021	HER-MEX1037221	High	<p>The asset (<b>6021</b>) comprises Romano-British settlement activity of various phases located west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The asset is located outside the bounds of the Order Limits. The magnitude of impact is <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction pylons TB139-TB143, pulling locations</p>	<p>For below ground remains: no mitigation required</p> <p>For the setting: Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>6021</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6021</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with pylons TB140, TB142 and TB143, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation works, overhead line crossing protection works, and bellmouth works. These works are adjacent to the asset. However, as the setting only makes a minor contribution to the value of the asset, the impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>negligible adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6046	HER-MEX31097	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6046</b>) comprises a circular cropmark enclosure on the north-western outskirts of Chelmsford.</p> <p>For below ground remains: Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would not affect the wider setting of the asset as the pylon corridor of the Project lies outside the setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>6046</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6046</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
				<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>neutral</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6202	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6202) are undated field boundaries which have been identified west of Lyonshall Wood, east of Braintree Road.</p> <p>The asset is located entirely within the Order Limits, but outside known areas of impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (6202) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>No change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
6211	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6211) are undated field boundaries which have been identified north-east of Chignall St James, west of Chignall Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (6211) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6382	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6382</b>) is a former pond adjacent to Braintree Road (A131), north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary construction compound utility connections works (should it be used). As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6382</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6399	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6399</b>) is a former pond which has been identified east of Braintree Road, south-east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The asset is located entirely within the Order Limits, but outside known areas of impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6399</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6402	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6402</b>) is a former pond which has been identified adjacent to Braintree Road, south-east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The asset is located entirely within the Order Limits, but outside known areas</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6402</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6404	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6404</b>) is a former pond which has been identified between Paulk Hall Lane and Goodmans Lane.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6404</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6406	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6406</b>) is a former pond which has been identified between Paulk Hall Lane and Goodmans Lane, north of (<b>6404</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6406</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6407) is a former pond which has been identified along Goodmans Lane, near river Ter.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6407) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6421) is a former pond which has been identified north of Roxwell Road, south of Rover Can.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a construction laydown area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6421) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6185	MEX1031198	Low	The asset (6185) is a cropmark complex of linear features, boundaries and a trackway on the northern edge of Ingatestone and the Ingatestone Bypass.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (6185) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>Only a very small proportion of the asset would be affected by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6193	MEX1049590	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6193</b>) comprises a cropmark enclosure of two conjoined enclosures to the west of Broomfield Hospital</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon TB149, the temporary haul road, and a SuDS basins. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylon TB149 and TB150, with TB149 situated within the asset, a pulling location of TB150, two SuDS basin location, and</p>	<p>For below ground remains: Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6193</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6193</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
6029	MEX20644	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6029</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Chatham Green.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6029</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6006	MEX1031238	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6006</b>) is cropmarks of undated linear features identified at Cole Hill, south at Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would in result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the dismantling of pylon PSB39, bellmouth work areas, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation work areas, compounds area, and underground cable works. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits,</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6006</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
6005	MEX1031201	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6005</b>) is cropmarks of undated linear features and a double ditched trackway, located north-north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would in result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6005</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
6213	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6213</b>) is cropmark complex in farmland east of the Can river, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>Only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6213</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6457	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>6457</b> ) is a possible important hedgerow located along Paulk Hall Lane, west of the A131, south of Great Leighs.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6457</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would in result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation work areas and bellmouth works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6600	Chelmsford Borough Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6600</b>) is Boreham Road/Cole Hill/Boreham Road, a Protected Lane to the south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6600</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6601	Chelmsford Borough Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6601</b>) is Paulk Hall Lane, a Protected Lane to the south of Great Leighs.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6601</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase if required. Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6602	Chelmsford Borough Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6602</b>) is Goodmans Lane, a Protected Lane to the south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works, overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required. Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6602</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6604	Chelmsford Borough Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6604</b>) is Larks Lane, a Protected Lane, east of Broad's Green, and south-west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6604</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6605	Chelmsford Borough Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6605</b>) is Cow Watering Lane, a Protected Lane, west of Writtle College, c. 600 m north of the A414.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and the pulling location with pylon TB166, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6605</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6607	Chelmsford Borough Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6607</b>) is Little Hyde Lane, a Protected Lane, north of Ingatestone, south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6607</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7190	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7190</b>) is a possible important hedgerow located along Little Hyde Lane, east of the A12, west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase would in result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation work areas. As the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>7190</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION F ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
<b>6059</b>	MEX3988	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6059</b>) is a complex of undated cropmarks, located on farmland to the south of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB141 to TB144 and pulling locations, four SuDS basin location, bellmouth and work areas, overhead line mitigation works, and an overhead line crossing protection works. However, the setting makes only a minor contribution to the value of the asset, therefore the magnitude of impact on the setting of the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6059</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>6188</b>	MEX1031775	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6188</b>) is a moated site surrounding the Grade II listed 'Longs Farmhouse' (<b>1171557</b>) located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB130-133, associated construction works, pulling locations associated with</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6188</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>pylons TB132 and TB133, temporary haul road, four SuDS basins and overhead line crossing protection works.</p> <p>These works are 120 m to the north of the asset and would be visible from across the agricultural field adjacent to the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be a temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>)</p>
6037	HER-MEX28570	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6037</b>) is a cropmark complex within the Grade II registered park and garden Langleys (<b>1000241</b>).</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons TB137-TB139, four SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, c. 160 m south-east of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6037</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6062	HER-MEX1049589	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6062</b>) is the site of a Roman temple west of Broomfield.</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6062</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons TB148 and TB149, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall c. 20 m west of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
6088	HER-MEX1038105	High	<p>The asset (<b>6088</b>) is the site of an Auxiliary Unit Operational Base located west of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons TB128-TB129, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection works, and temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works are, at their closest, 40 m to the east of the asset. The</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6088</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
6099	HER-MEX3763	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6099</b>) is the site of a possible Romano-British building suggested by a finds scatter, located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB148 and TB149, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works, at their closest, are located c. 210 m west of the asset. The setting of the asset has already been affected by the existing hospital and Broomfield village to the east. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of asset ( <b>6099</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6109	HER-MEX1035967	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6109</b>) is the site of a Roman artefact scatter west of Broomfield and within asset (<b>6062</b>) - a possible Romano-British temple site.</p> <p>The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of with pylons TB148 and</p>	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be reversed once the	The value of asset ( <b>6109</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB149, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall c. 60 m west of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

## Section G

Table A11.2.16 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section G

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION G ORDER LIMITS</b>					
7003	HER-MEX1031044	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>7003</b>) is a crop mark field boundary located east of Brentwood.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7003</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
7032	HER-MEX18664	Medium	<p>The asset is the route of a Roman road (<b>7032</b>) that runs along the High Street between Ingatestone and Margaretting.</p> <p>For below ground remains: although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase of the Project would alter the wider setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB186-187, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line</p>	<p>For below ground remains: none</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>7032</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>7032</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			mitigation works, highways laydown area, construction laydown area, temporary haul road, bellmouth mitigation works and an overhead line crossing protection work area. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset and the cranes used in the pylon construction would be clearly visible. The magnitude of impact on the setting of the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7034	HER-MEX1041037	Low	<p>Located within the eastern outskirts of Brentwood was a metal detecting finds scatter of post medieval and later material (<b>7034</b>).</p> <p>As the material was removed, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7034</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
7015	HER-MEX1040372	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7015</b>) is the possible cropmark of a ring ditch to the north-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7015</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
7045	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7045</b>) is linear cropmarks to the east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road and a SuDS basin. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7045</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7187	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (<b>7187</b>) is a hedged boundary which flanks the Wid river north of Stock Lane and east of Ingatestone. The asset follows the line of a parish boundary. The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7187</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. Only a small proportion of the asset would be impacted by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7188	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (<b>7188</b>) is a hedged boundary to the east of Mountnessing Road to the north-west of Billericay. The asset follows the line of a parish boundary. The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. Only a small proportion of the asset would be impacted by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7188</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7152	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The site of a former pond (<b>7152</b>) is located within a field to the south-east of the railway line and to the west of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7152</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6040	HER-MEX2906	Low	The possible deserted medieval village ( <b>6040</b> ) surrounds the Church of St Margaret ( <b>1338504</b> , Grade II*), Margaretting.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6040</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
6001	HER-MEX1031191	Low	The asset ( <b>6001</b> ) is linear cropmarks, and a possible bomb crater partially located within the Order Limits north-west of Billericay.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB197-198, pulling location of TB196, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basin locations and an overhead line crossing protection work area. As only a small proportion of the asset falls	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6001</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
7006	HER-MEX1031192	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>7006</b>) is linear cropmarks located south-east of Padham's Green.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB199-201, the pulling location associated with TB200, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basin locations, and an overhead line crossing protection work area. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7006</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7047	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7047</b>) is linear cropmarks located west of Billericay.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation, the temporary haul road, a SuDS basin location, and an overhead line crossing protection work area. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7047</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
7050	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7050</b>) is linear cropmarks located west of Billericay.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB204, TB206-207, pulling locations associated with pylon TB207, overhead line mitigation, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basin locations, and an overhead line crossing protection works area. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7050</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7051	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>This asset is an area of cropmarks of field boundaries (<b>7051</b>) located to the west of Brentwood.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation and cable work. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7051</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7140	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The former Bush Wood (<b>7140</b>) is located between Rayleigh Road to the south and the railway line to the north and to the east of Hutton.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with two SuDS basins locations, pylon TB206 work area, overhead line mitigation and the temporary haul road. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7140</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7123	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7123</b>) is the site of a former orchard south of Rayleigh Road east of Hutton.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7123</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
7122	Project Mapped	Negligible	Asset ( <b>7122</b> ) is a former pond in farmland east of Hutton.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>7122</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated pylon TB205 and overhead line mitigation. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7118	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset ( <b>7118</b> ) is a former pond in farmland south-east of Hutton.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7118</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7052	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>7052</b> ) is linear cropmarks located south-west of Billericay.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB211-212, a	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7052</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			pulling location associated with pylon TB212, the temporary haul road, three SuDS basin locations, and overhead line mitigation works. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		<b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7112	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7112</b>) is a former pond north of Sudburys Farm Road to the north-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB213. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7112</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7104	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (<b>7104</b>) is a hedged boundary in farmland south-west of Billericay. The asset follows the line of parish boundaries and is largely extant.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location around pylon TB212, overhead line</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7104</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			mitigation works and the temporary haul road which crosses the asset in one location. Only a small proportion of the asset would be affected by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
7026	HER-MEX41052	Low	The asset ( <b>7026</b> ) is three roughly circular cropmarks possibly representing former ponds or bomb craters west of Brentford.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7026</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation and cable works. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
7101	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset ( <b>7101</b> ) is the site of a former pond west of Little Burstead.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7101</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
			Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7106	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7106</b>) is four former ponds which formally flanked Sudburys Farm Road north-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works and an overhead line crossing protection work area. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7106</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7054	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7054</b>) is linear and enclosure cropmarks north-east of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB214-216, the temporary haul road, seven SuDS basin locations, and two overhead line crossing protection work areas. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7054</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7025	HER-MEX40683	Low	The asset ( <b>7025</b> ) is linear and enclosure cropmarks north-east of Basildon.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>7025</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB215, a SuDS basin and the temporary haul road. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7004	HER-MEX1031186	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>7004</b>) is a cropmark field boundary located west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation and cable works. As only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7004</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a no change magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7009	HER-MEX1031350	Low	The asset ( <b>7009</b> ) is the cropmark of a rectangular enclosure located south-west of Billericay.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7009</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by two SuDS basins, the temporary haul road and overhead line access route. As only a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7096	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset ( <b>7096</b> ) is an area of former woodland south-west of Little Burstead.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB217, pulling location for TB218, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works and the temporary haul road. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7096</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7055	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>7055</b> ) is linear cropmarks north-east of Basildon.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7055</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB217-219, pulling locations associated with pylon TB219, the temporary haul road, four SuDS basin locations and an overhead line crossing protection works area. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7087	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (<b>7087</b>) is a hedged boundary in farmland north-west of Basildon. The asset follows the line of parish boundaries and is largely extant.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB211 and TB223, overhead line mitigation and cable works, the temporary haul road and two SuDS basin locations. Only a small proportion of the asset would be affected by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7087</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7089	Project Mapped	Negligible	Asset ( <b>7089</b> ) is a partially extant pond in farmland north-west of Basildon.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the	The value of asset ( <b>7089</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location for pylon TB222. A large proportion of the asset would be affected by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .	construction phase if required.	mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7056	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7056</b>) is linear cropmarks on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB224 and pulling location, the temporary haul road, and a SuDS basin location. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7056</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7088	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7088</b>) is a pond in Park Farm, south-east of Herongate.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7088</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
7185	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset ( <b>7185</b> ) is a hedged boundary east of Dunton Road on the western edge of Dunton Wayletts. The asset partially follows the line of a parish boundary and is extant.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of the temporary haul road. Only a small proportion of the asset would be impacted by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7185</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7165	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset ( <b>7165</b> ) is the site of a former gravel pit on the western flank of Dunton Road in Dunton Wayletts.  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a construction laydown area, bellmouth junction and the temporary haul road. The majority of the	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7165</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset would be impacted by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7028	HER-MEX1050480	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7028</b>) is Romano-British archaeological remains investigated on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation and cable works. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7028</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7084	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7084</b>) is a partially extant pond in farmland north-west of Basildon.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>7084</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
7074	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset ( <b>7074</b> ) is a hedged boundary to the west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset follows the line of the same parish boundary as	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7074</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset (<b>7060</b>). The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB225-227, the pulling location associated with TB227, overhead line mitigation and crossing protection. Although within the Order Limits, the asset is largely avoided by the elements of the Project. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7080	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7080</b>) is a former pond in farmland west of Lower Dunton Road on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7080</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7057	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7057</b>) is linear cropmarks on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7057</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would in result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB226, the temporary haul road, two SuDS basin locations, overhead line mitigation and works and construction laydown area. As only a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7077	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7077</b>) is four ponds (one extant) associated with Friern Manor on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>7077</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
7075	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7075</b>) is a former pond in farmland west of Lower Dunton Road on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>7075</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7066	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7066</b>) is a pond now water feature on Dunton Hills golf course west of Basildon.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>7066</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
7017	HER-MEX17676	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>7017</b>) is a purported DMV located north of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset is associated with a moat (<b>7016</b>) and the Grade II listed Dunton Hall (<b>1338380</b>) and Church of St Mary (<b>1122253</b>).</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>However, the construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB227-232 with associated pulling locations at pylons TB227-229 and TB231, overhead line mitigation and pylon dismantling works, six SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road to the north, west</p>	<p>For below ground remains: none</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>7017</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>7017</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and south of the asset. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset and the cranes used in the pylon construction, would be clearly visible. The magnitude of impact on the setting of the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>alter the setting of the asset if required.</p>	<p>reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7058	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7058</b>) is linear cropmarks on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB230-231, a pulling location for TB231, the temporary haul road, a SuDS basin location, and overhead line mitigation works and crossing protection. As only a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>7058</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7060	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (<b>7060</b>) is a hedged boundary to the west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset follows the line of a parish boundary. The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>7060</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB228 and TB23, pulling location for TB228, the temporary haul road, a SuDS basin location and overhead line mitigation works. A moderate amount of the asset would be impacted by the Project and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		of impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7078	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7078</b>) is a former pond in farmland west of Lower Dunton Road to the west of Basildon.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary haul road and construction laydown area. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7078</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the magnitude of impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7172	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Parish boundary ( <b>7172</b> ) is located immediately south-east of parish boundary ( <b>7171</b> ). It is aligned north-west to south-east before turning to the east when the assets encounter the Dunton Road. The asset crossed woodland and agricultural fields.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>7172</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As only a small amount of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7174	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>LNER Shenfield and Southend Railway line (7174) is located along the present railway line between Hutton and Billericay.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (7174) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
7175	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>London Tilbury and Southend Railway line (7175) is located along the railway line between Laindon, to the east, and West Holdon to the west.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (7175) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		asset would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
6178	HER-MEX1031188	Low	<p>South-east of Ingateston, along Stock Lane is the asset (<b>6178</b>), consisting of cropmarks of unknown period.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with SuDS basin locations, the working area round and pulling location of pylon TB191 and the temporary haul road. As a large part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6178</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7067	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>This asset (<b>7067</b>) is a pond located west of Lower Dunton Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation and cabling works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7067</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7083	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Near Dunton Wayletts, crossing Dunton Road, is a pond (7083).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth mitigation works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7083) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7099	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>South of Billericay Road, south-west of Little Burstead, is a pond (7099).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location and temporary haul road. As only a moderate part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7099) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7109	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>West of Blind Lane, north-west of Burstead, is a former ditch (7109).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7109) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7117	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7117	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	East of Brentwood, south of Church Lane, is a pond (7117).  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7117) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7129	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	North of Rayleigh Road, north-east of Hutton House (1279616) is a pond (7129).  The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As all the asset would be	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7129) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7130	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>On Rayleigh Road, is a pond (7130).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by overhead line mitigation works and bellmouth mitigation. As all the assets would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7130) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7131	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>On a field along Rayleigh Road, is a pond (7131).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a SuDS basin location. A small amount of the asset would be impacted; therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset (7131) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7136	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>North-east of Brentwood and south of the railway is a pond (7136).</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of asset (7136) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7167	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (7167) is a possible important hedgerow is crossing the railway, west of Billericay, and of Mountnessing Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road and overhead line mitigation. As only a small amount of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (7167) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7170	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7170</b>) is a civil parish boundary that follows Billericay Road before heading south through fields located north of Dunton Wayletts.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As only a small amount of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>7170</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6464	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6464</b>) is an important hedgerow along the High Street and Church Lane, Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth mitigation works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6464</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6451	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6451</b>) is a parish boundary southwest of Margareting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth mitigation works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6451</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6453	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6453</b>) is a parish boundary along the River Wid, to the north-east of Stock Lane, Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6453</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6431	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6431</b>) is a historic civil parish boundary south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth mitigation works. As only a small part of the asset would be impacted, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6431</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6240	Project Mapped	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6240</b> ) is a former pond south-east of Ingatestone.	None.	The value of asset ( <b>6240</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Historic Map Feature		Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6169	HER-MEX1049930	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6169</b>) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, located c. 1.7 km west of Stock.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works, temporary haul road, a SuDS basin location and bellmouth junction. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6169</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6173	HER-MEX18677	Low	<p>Cropmarks (<b>6173</b>) are located surrounding the Church of St Mary (<b>1264434</b>, Grade II*) and on either side of the Buttbury (road), Stock.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6173</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6226	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6226</b>) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, where the Church of St Mary (<b>1264434</b>) is, c. 1.2 km south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pylon TB192 work area and pulling location FOR TB191, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation work, two SuDS basins locations and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6226</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6184	HER - MEX18675	Low	<p>Located surrounding the Church of St Mary (<b>1264434</b>, Grade II*) and on either side of Buttbury (road), Stock, was a deposit of Post Medieval peg tiles and pottery (<b>6184</b>).</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>6184</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the material was removed, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
6229	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>6229</b> ) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, where the St Mary church is ( <b>1264434</b> ), c. 1.2km south-east of Ingatestone.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6229</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB193 to TB195 work areas and pulling locations, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation work, five SuDS basins locations and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
6235	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>6235</b> ) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, located north-west of St Margaret's Church ( <b>1338504</b> ), along High Street and Church Lane.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>6235</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a
			The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			associated the pylon TB186 work area, overhead line crossing protection works, overhead line mitigation work, one SuDS basins location, the temporary haul road, a construction laydown area and highways laydown area. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7300	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7300</b>) is the site of cropmarks of linear features located north of Buckwyns.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB197, one SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7300</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7301	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7301</b>) is the site of cropmarks of linear features located of Mountnessing Road.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7301</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB199, a pulling location associated with pylon TB200, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
7010	HER-MEX1032571	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>7010</b>) maps the dispersed elements of the medieval and post medieval settlement of Hutton, now located on the north-eastern outskirts of modern-day Brentford. The separate elements of the asset are dispersed over approximately two square km and many of them have been subsumed by the 20th century expansion of Brentford. Some of the asset's eastern elements fall within and just outside the Hutton Village conservation area (<b>CA17</b>) just 30 m to the west of the Order Limits.</p> <p>For below ground remains: although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB207-211 with</p>	<p>For below ground remains: none</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: the value of asset (<b>7010</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For setting: The value of asset (<b>7010</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated pulling locations at pylons TB207, overhead line mitigation, five SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road to the north, west and south of the asset. These works would introduce noise and construction activity into the setting of the asset and the cranes used in the pylon construction, would be clearly visible (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact on the setting of the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

**HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION G ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT**

<b>7027</b>	HER-MEX41534	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>7027</b>) is the remains of a homestead moat located in woodland south-west of Little Burstled.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would not impact any below ground elements of the asset as it lies outside the Order Limits. However, it would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB219-222 with an associated pulling location at pylon TB222, six SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road to the north, east and south of the asset. These works are also likely to introduce noise and movement into the settings of the asset and the pylons, and the cranes</p>	<p>Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>7027</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
-------------	--------------	--------	---	--	--

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			used in their construction, would be visible from the south of the asset beyond the tree cover of the woodland. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	
7016	HER-MEX17671	Medium	Asset ( <b>7016</b> ) is a moat now associated with the non-designated Old Rectory located off Old Rectory Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset is associated with the purported Dunton DMV ( <b>2017</b> ) and the Grade II listed Dunton Hall ( <b>1338380</b> ) and Church of St Mary ( <b>1122253</b> ).  The construction phase of the Project would not impact any below ground elements of the asset as it lies outside the Order Limits. However, it would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the construction of pylons TB227-231 with associated pulling locations, overhead line construction works areas, pylon dismantling works, seven SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road to the north, west and south of the asset. These works are also likely to introduce noise, movement, vibration and pollution into the settings of the asset and the pylons, and the cranes used in their construction, would be clearly visible. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of asset ( <b>7016</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

## Section H

Table A11.2.17 Construction effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section H

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION H ORDER LIMITS</b>					
7173	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7173</b>) is a parish boundary, located between Dunton Hills Golf Club and Brentwood Road, Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB232, PAB47, PAB46, pulling location associated with pylons TB243, TB244, and TB248, two SuDS basin locations and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>7173</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8000	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8000</b>) is the archaeological remains of two Romano-British postholes located on the northern edge of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a permanent attenuation</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	For below ground remains: The value of asset ( <b>8000</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high</b> adverse. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			drainage feature. As all of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		<b>adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8001	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8001</b>) is the archaeological remains of undated ditches and pits interpreted as possibly prehistoric located north-east of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with Tilbury North Substation. As all of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>8001</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8016	HER-MEX1031026	High	<p>The asset (<b>8016</b>) is a WWII bombing decoy which encompasses the scheduled monument 'Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south west of Doesgate Farm' (<b>1020998</b>), and is located east of Bulphan.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB238. As a very small proportion of the asset is</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of the bombing decoy (<b>8016</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction pylons TB234-TB239, pulling locations associated with pylons TB238 and TB237, seven SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works and the temporary haul road. These works would be visible and would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works would run parallel and adjacent to an existing pylon route which is located in between the asset and the Order Limits, thereby reducing the Project's impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The value of the bombing decoy (<b>8016</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>8048</b>	HER-MEX18140	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8048</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The asset is potentially non-extant due to the creation of a golf course and the Brentwood Road, but the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8048</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8049	HER-MEX18168	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8049</b>) is a cropmarks complex, located south of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB252, TB253, and TB254, the pulling locations for pylons TB252, TB253, and T254, overhead line mitigation works, two SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary access road. As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of the pylons TB252-TB255, the pulling locations for TB252 and T254, overhead line mitigation works, three SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary access road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of the asset (<b>8049</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the mitigation measures reduces the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8049</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8050	HER-MEX18220	Low	<p>of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8050</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with permanent and temporary, and a permanent spoil bund.</p> <p>As almost all of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For any below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8050</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8066	HER-MEX39661	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8066</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the overhead line mitigation works, three temporary construction compounds, temporary attenuation drainage works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a moderate proportion of the asset is</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8066</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8075	HER-MEX6251	Low	located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .  The asset ( <b>8075</b> ) is the site of excavated Iron Age archaeological remains, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.  Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8075</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8082	HER-MEX6312	Medium	The asset ( <b>8082</b> ) is a cropmarks complex, located east of Chadwell St Mary.  For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary pylons YYJ126T and YYJ127T and pylons YYJ126, ZB12 and YYJ126R, pylon YYJ126R and associated pulling location, three SuDS basins, and the temporary haul road. As a moderate proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.  For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the	For below ground remains: The value of asset ( <b>8082</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the mitigation measures reduces the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ). For the setting: the value of asset ( <b>8082</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of standard construction mitigation would

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of temporary pylons YYJ126T and YYJ127T, pylons YYJ126, ZB11-ZB12, and YYJ126R with associated pulling location, four SuDS basins, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. Some of these works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
8084	HER-MEX6327	High	<p>The asset (<b>8084</b>) is a cropmark complex, including ring ditches and enclosures east of Chadwell St Mary and east of East Tilbury. The asset is within the Order Limits.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary pylons YYJ127T and YYJ128TA, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>8084</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the mitigation measures reduces the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8084</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of temporary pylons YYJ127T and YYJ128TA, pylons YYJ127 and ZB11, four SuDS basin, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. Some of these works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
8087	HER-MEX6411	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8087</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: the construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with permanent attenuation drainage works, a permanent spoil bund, and the Tilbury CSE compound. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of works associated with pylons ZB13RC-ZB13RA, ZB13, YYJ125R-YYJ124RD,</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of the asset (<b>8087</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The value of the asset (<b>8087</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			underground cable works, and permanent and temporary attenuation drainage works. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. Some of these works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	of mitigation measures would not alter this. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
8088	HER-MEX6420	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8088</b>) is a complex, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the Tilbury CSE compound, underground cable works, overhead line mitigation works, the temporary haul road, and pylons ZB15RA, RB RC, ZB14 and ZB13, pylons YYJ124 and YYJ123, pylons YYJ123RA and YYJ123R.</p> <p>As a very large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8088</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8099	HER-MEX6607	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8099</b>) is a cropmark complex, located south-west of East Tilbury.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>8099</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>groundworks associated with pylons YYJ129- YYJ130 and ZB8, pulling locations associated with pylons YYJ129 and ZB9, a SuDS basin location, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8104	HER-MEX6914	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8104</b>) is a undated linear feature and other features south-east of Southfields.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB259-TB261, pulling locations associated with pylons TB259 and TB260, the dismantling of tower PAB22, the refurbishment of tower PAB23, underground cable works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>8104</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8116	HER-MEX1036423	Low	The asset ( <b>8116</b> ) is a Bronze Age findspot, located south-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8116</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8117	HER-MEX1036426	Low	The asset ( <b>8117</b> ) is the findspot of Roman greyware pottery sherds, located east of Brentwood Road.  As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8117</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8122	HER-MEX6641	Low	The asset ( <b>8112</b> ) is the findspot of an Iron Age Belgic pedestal bowl, located on the Standford Le Hope bypass.  As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8112</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8124	HER-MEX1036570	Low	The asset ( <b>8124</b> ) is a pottery and flint findspot, located north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.  As the finds have been removed from the	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8124</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8129	HER-MEX42202	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8129</b>) is the findspot of medieval pottery sherds, located on St Clere Hall Golf Course.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>8129</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
8130	HER-MEX42204	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8130</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of post medieval tile, located south-west of Stanford-le-Hope.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of asset (<b>8130</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
8133	HER-MEX6418	Low	<p>This asset (<b>8133</b>) is a findspot of a Neolithic spearhead, located between Chadwell Saint Mary in the west, and Linford to the east.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>8133</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8139	HER-MEX1033041	Low	<p>Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8139</b>) is the site of the former Orsett Hall Estate Brick Works, located west-north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a construction laydown area, bellmouth work, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>8139</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8155	HER-MEX39649	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8155</b>) is cropmarks of two ring ditches, located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons ZB17R and YYJ121 and their pulling locations, the pulling locations of pylons ZB17T and ZB17, a SuDS basin, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of the asset (<b>8155</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>road. As the majority of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons ZB17R and YYJ121 and their pulling locations, the pulling locations of pylons ZB17T and ZB17, a SuDS basin, overhead line crossing protection works, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	<p>removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8155</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
8156	HER-MEX17761	High	<p>The asset (<b>8156</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of early Iron Age occupation overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon ZB17T, ZB17 and ZB17R, pulling</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8156</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>. For the setting: N/A</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>locations associated with pylons ZB17T, ZB17 and YYJ121, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. The HER monument (<b>8156</b>) extends 410 m to the south of the scheduled monument and into the Order Limits. As the small proportion of the HER asset that is located within the Order Limits' impact area is over 400 m south of the excavated remains of the scheduled monument, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The archaeological remains have been removed through excavation. The setting of the asset is, therefore, <b>not considered</b>.</p>		
8157	HER-MEX17776	High	<p>The asset (<b>8157</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of early medieval inhumations, an integral element of the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon ZB17T, ZB17 and ZB17R, pulling locations associated with pylons ZB17T,</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8156</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8158	HER-MEX17779	Low	<p>ZB17 and YYJ121, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. The HER monument (<b>8157</b>) extends 410 m to the south of the scheduled monument and into the Order Limits. As the small proportion of the HER asset that is located within the Order Limits' impact area is over 400 m south of the excavated remains of the scheduled monument, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The archaeological remains have been removed through excavation. The setting of the asset is, therefore, <b>not considered</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8158</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of medieval field boundaries overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon ZB17T, ZB17 and ZB17R, pulling locations associated with pylons ZB17T, ZB17 and YYJ121, overhead line</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8158</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8159	HER-MEX17782	Low	<p>crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small portion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8159</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of post medieval field boundaries and a trackway overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon ZB17T, ZB17 and ZB17R, pulling locations associated with pylons ZB17T, ZB17 and YYJ121, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small portion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8159</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8160	HER-MEX17783	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8160</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of undated ditches, pits and postholes overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8160</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a temporary haul road, the temporary pylon ZB17T, and pulling locations for pylons ZB17T, ZB17R and YYJ121, and overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>As a moderate portion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8164	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8164</b>) is a cropmark complex, located east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB238-TB240, a pulling location associated with pylon TB238, four SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8164</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8165	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8165</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons TB244 and TB245, a pulling location associated with pylon TB244, overhead line mitigation works, two SuDS basin locations, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8165</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8166	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8166</b>) is a cropmark complex, located west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pylons TB24-TB248, a pulling location associated with pylon TB248, five SuDS basin locations, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8166</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8168	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8168</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8169	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8168</b>) is a cropmark complex, located west of Stanford-le-Hope.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons TB255 - TB256, a pulling location associated with pylon TB256, a SuDS basin location, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>8169</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a large proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		significant).
8170	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8170) is a cropmark complex, located north-west of East Tilbury.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset (8170) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons ZB13 and 13RA, YYJ125R, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ126R, a Tilbury CSE compound, a SuDS basin location, temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.		
			As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
8171	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8171) is a cropmark complex, located west of East Tilbury.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	the value of the asset (8171) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct,
			The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons YYJ128TB-YYJ128TA, ZB9-ZB10, and		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>YYJ128-YYJ129, pulling locations associated with pylons YYJ129 and ZB9, three SuDS basin locations, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a moderate part of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8190	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8190</b>) is a shrunken woodland, located west of Linford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works.</p> <p>As a moderate proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8190</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8205	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8205</b>) is the site of a former pond west of Black Bush Lane and Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order limits, the asset is located outside areas of known impact, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8205</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8209	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8209</b>) is a former clay pit, located north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated overhead line mitigation works.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>asset is <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>8209</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8211	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8211</b>) is a non-extant pond located off Black Bush Lane and north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the overhead line mitigation works. As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact areas, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8211</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8212	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>8212</b> ) is a group of three former ponds, located east of Brentwood Road and south-south-east of Bulphan.	None.	The value of the asset ( <b>8212</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Although partially within the Order limits, the asset is located outside areas of known impact, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset is <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
8228	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8228</b>) is the site of a former pond located west of Lower Dunton Road and east of Basildon.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order limits, the asset is located outside areas of known impact, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8228</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset is <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
8227	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8227</b>) is the site of a former pond, west of Lower Dunton Road and north-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB233 and its pulling location, and a SuDS basin.</p> <p>As the whole asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>The value of the asset (<b>8227</b>) is <b>negligible</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8231	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8231</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Black Bush Lane and Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line mitigation works. As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8231</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8232	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8232</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Brentwood Road and north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon TB244 and its pulling location, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As the whole asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8232</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8235	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>8235</b> ) is the site of a former pond, located west of Buckingham Hill Road and south-east of Southfields.	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8235</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the pulling location for pylon TB259.</p> <p>As the whole asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>low</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8240	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8240</b>) is the site of a former pond, located west of Black Bush Lane and west-north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>Although partially within the Order limits, the asset is located outside areas of known impact, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of the asset ( <b>8240</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset is <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8243	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8243</b>) is a ditch or canal path, located east-north-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8243</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8260	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8260</b>) is parish boundaries between Brentwood Road and the northern bank of the Thames.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with temporary and permanent attenuation drainage works, and temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>the value of the asset (<b>8260</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
8261	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8261</b>) is parish boundaries, located north-west of East Tilbury.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons ZB14, YYJ123RA, and YYJ124T, a pulling location associated with pylon YYJ124T, underground cable works, a temporary construction compound, permanent attenuation drainage works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As almost all of the asset falls within the</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>the value of the asset (<b>8261</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. The implementation of mitigation measures would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8262	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>8262</b>) is civil parish boundaries, located north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with a pulling location associated with pylon TB238, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a very small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>the value of the asset (<b>8262</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
8263	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8263</b>) is civil parish boundaries, located north of Langdon.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works along Doesgate Lane.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	<p>the value of the asset (<b>8263</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of mitigation measures would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8264	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8264</b>) is a section of historic hedgerow located west on Linford.</p> <p>Although within the Order Limits, the asset's location lies outside areas of known impact, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8264</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8266	HER-MEX1031025	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8266</b>) is the findspot of a Bronze Age torc and pins, located to the north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8266</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8268	HER-MEX1032293	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8268</b>) is a Roman coin findspot, located north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8268</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8275	HER-MEX6653	Negligible	The asset ( <b>8275</b> ) is an Iron Age pottery findspot, located west of Standford-le-	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8275</b> ) is <b>negligible</b> , and the

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Hope.</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset is <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p>
8276	HER-MEX1031028	High	<p>The asset (<b>8276</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of a Neolithic causewayed enclosure forming an integral part of the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylon ZB17T, ZB17 and ZB17R, pulling locations associated with pylons ZB17T, ZB17 and YYJ121, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. The HER monument (<b>8276</b>) extends 410 m to the south of the scheduled monument and into the Order Limits. As the small proportion of the HER asset located</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8276</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>within the Order Limits' impact area is over 400 m south of the excavated remains of the scheduled monument, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: The archaeological remains have been removed through excavation. The setting of the asset is, therefore, <b>not considered</b>.</p>		
8280	HER-MEX18075	High	<p>The asset (<b>8280</b>) is multiperiod cropmark site, which overlays the site of the scheduled monument 'Crop Mark Complex, Orsett' (<b>1002134</b>), north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons YYJ115 and ZB23. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ115-YYJ116 and ZB23-ZB22, pulling locations associated with pylons YYJ116</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>8280</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8280</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and ZB22, two SuDS basin, bellmouth works, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the semi-rural setting of the asset. Pylons YYJ115 and ZB23 are located within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8281	HER-MEX18096	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8281</b>) is geological/periglacial pit features identified during the construction of the A13, located within the scheduled monument 'Crop Mark Complex, Orsett' (<b>1002134</b>), north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylons YYJ115 and ZB23. As a very small portion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8281</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>
8284	HER-MEX39827	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8284</b>) is a cropmark complex north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8284</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of pylon YYJ115. As a very small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect, therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b> .
8286	HER-MEX17990	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8286</b>) is a cropmark complex, located east of Little Thurrock.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons YYJ116 and ZB22 and their pulling positions, the temporary haul road, one SuDS basin location, and bellmouths works.</p> <p>As a large proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>8286</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8287	HER-MEX18071	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8287</b>) is a cropmark complex, located east of Little Thurrock.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by</p>	For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase.	The value of asset ( <b>8287</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>groundworks associated with pylon YYJ116 and its pulling location, the pulling location associated with pylon ZB22, a SuDS basin location, bellmouth works, and the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a large portion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>medium</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8290	HER-MEX18160	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8290</b>) is cropmarks of a possible Saxon settlement north-east of Little Thurrock and north-west of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with pylons YYJ118 and ZB20, bellmouth works, two SuDS basins, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>8290</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8292	HER-MEX6539	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8292</b>) is the cropmarks of a possible Neolithic enclosure on the northern edge of Chadwell St Mary. This asset is associated with lithics scatter (<b>8297</b>).</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>8292</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons YYJ120 and ZB18, a SuDS basin, overhead line crossing protection works, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8290</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>minor adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8293	HER-MEX17957	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8293</b>) is a Mesolithic flint blade findspot north of Chadwell St Mary</p> <p>As the find has been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	<p>The value of asset (<b>8293</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8294	HER-MEX18051	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8294</b>) is a cropmarks complex including a large rectilinear enclosure with subdivisions, located north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the construction of a pylons ZB19, ZB18, YYJ119 and YYJ120, overhead line crossing protection works, a SuDS basin, and the temporary haul road. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>For the setting: the construction phase would alter the wider setting of the asset through the construction of pylons ZB19, ZB18, YYJ119 and YYJ120, overhead line crossing protection works, a SuDS basin, and the temporary haul road. These works would introduce plant noise and movement into the rural setting of the asset. These works fall within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of</p>	<p>For below ground remains: archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>For the setting: standard construction mitigation would be adopted as detailed in the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2). Changes to the setting would be temporary and would be removed once the construction phase is completed. No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the construction phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b>.</p> <p>For below ground remains: The value of asset (<b>8294</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the mitigation measures reduces the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8156</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. The implementation of standard construction mitigation would benefit the historic environment but would not reduce the change in setting enough to reduce the impact. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, temporary <b>moderate adverse</b> significance effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
8297	HER-MEX6544	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8297</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of Neolithic flintwork, located north of Chadwell St Mary. The asset is associated with a possible Neolithic cropmark complex (<b>8292</b>).</p> <p>As the finds have been removed from the Order Limits, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	None.	The value of asset ( <b>8297</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation is not applicable. The significance of effect on the asset would be <b>neutral (not significant)</b> .
8299	Project Mapped LiDAR feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8299</b>) is a cropmark complex outside of the Order Limits east of Lower Dunton Road.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with the temporary haul road.</p> <p>As a small proportion of the asset is located within the Order Limits' impact area, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of the asset ( <b>8299</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible</b> adverse significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
8400	Thurrock Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8400</b>) is Hoford Road, a Protected Lane west of Linford.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the temporary loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.	The value of asset ( <b>8400</b> ) is low, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Construction Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## 11.3 Operation (and Maintenance) Effects

### Designated Heritage Assets

#### Section A

Table A11.2.18 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section A

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains ( <b>1021463</b> )	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-3 over 1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument (Figure 7.12.F1_VP1.01 Viewpoint 1.01: Venta Icenorum Roman Town, near Caistor St Edmund; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the scheduled monument is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect the monument ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of All Saints (1178820)	Grade I Listed Building	High	considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Church of All Saints is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of All Saints (1049992)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Church of All Saints' (1049992) is located within Tibenham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG50-53 up to 1.1 km and further to the north-west through to the south-west of the asset. There may be distant, glimpsed views</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Church of All Saints is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the pylons, particularly to the north-west, from the church and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		the monument ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1180035)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Church of St Mary' (1180035) within Winfarthing conservation area (CA20).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the church's setting through the introduction of pylons RG63-66 c. 690 m and beyond to the east. The tops of the pylons would be likely visible from the asset due to the gaps in the vegetation surrounding the churchyard and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Church of Saint Mary is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect the monument ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Remigius (1050237)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Remigius' (1050237) is located on the south-western edge of Roydon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Church of Saint Remigius is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG84-87 into the church's view south-west through to south-east across the Waveney Valley. The pylons would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F207_HE2(i) Viewpoint HE2i: Church of St Remigius, Roydon - Angles Way, Figure 7.12.F208_HE2(ii) Viewpoint HE2ii: Church of St Remigius, Roydon, Figure 7.12.F15_VP1.15 Viewpoint 1.15: A1066 High Road, west of Roydon and Figure 7.12.F21_VP1.21 Viewpoint 1.21: PRow near Roydon Fen; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Flordon Hall ( <b>1050698</b> )	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Flordon Hall' (<b>1050698</b>) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG20-22. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would</p>	<p>The value of Flordon Hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F206_HE1 Viewpoint HE1: Flordon Hall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).
Kenningham Hall (1373056)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Kenningham Hall' (1373056) is located east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG10-14. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F215_HE9 Viewpoint HE9: Kenningham Hall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Kenningham Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Glebe Farmhouse (1050442)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe Farmhouse' (1050442) is located on the western edge of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Glebe Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore,

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG6-8. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Piggery 60 Yards South of Flordon Hall (1172231)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Piggery 60 Yards South of Flordon Hall' (1172231) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG20-22. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Flordon Hall piggery is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn to Flordon Hall (1373055)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to Flordon Hall' (1373055) is located north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Flordon Hall barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			introduction of pylons RG20-22. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Limetree Farm Cottages (1050372)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Limetree Farm Cottages' (1050372) is located north-north-west of Flordon. The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Limetree Farm Cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Limetree Farmhouse (1152683)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Limetree Farmhouse' (1152683) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Limetree Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn East of Limetree Farmhouse ( <b>1050373</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn East of Limetree Farmhouse' (<b>1050373</b>) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be low adverse.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Limetree Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent minor adverse significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Stables Immediately South-East of Limetree Farmhouse ( <b>1152690</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stables Immediately South-East of Limetree Farmhouse' (<b>1152690</b>) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Limetree Farmhouse stables is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Cart Shed and Hay Loft South-East of Limetree Farmhouse (1373217)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Cart Shed and Hay Loft South-East of Limetree Farmhouse' (1373217) is located at the eastern limit of Forncett End. The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Limetree Farmhouse cart shed and hay loft is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Corner Farmhouse (1050374)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Corner Farmhouse' (1050374) is located on the eastern edge of Forncett End.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Corner Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Barn Adjoining Corner Farmhouse on South-West (1152699)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Adjoining Corner Farmhouse on South-West' (1152699) is located on the eastern edge of Forncett End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG37-40. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Corner Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
St Mary's Cottage (1178686)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'St Mary's Cottage' (1178686) is located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG35-37. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of St Mary's Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Mary's Farmhouse (1050076)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mary's Farmhouse' (1050076) is located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG35-37. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Mary's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn Immediately North-West of Mary's Farmhouse (1302309)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Immediately North-West of Mary's Farmhouse' (1302309) is located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG35-37. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Mary's Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Granville Farmhouse (1373243)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granville Farmhouse' (1373243) is located at the southern limit of Forncett End.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Granville Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Ebenezer Cottage (1171167)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ebenezer Cottage' (1171167) is located in the dispersed settlement of Bunwell.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG41-43. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Ebenezer Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Banyard's Hall (1373609)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Banyard's Hall' (1373609) is located at Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG44-46. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Banyard's Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Wood Farmhouse (1049644)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wood Farmhouse' (1049644) is located at Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG46-47. The tops of the pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Wood Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Quaker's Farmhouse (1373606)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Quaker's Farmhouse' (1373606) is	No operation phase mitigation is proposed	The value of Quaker's Farmhouse is

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located south-east of Bunwell.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG44-47. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p><b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Manor House (1179387)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Manor House' (1179387) is located west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG50-52. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Manor House is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Manor Farmhouse (1049998)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Manor Farmhouse (1049998) is located west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Manor Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG51-52. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Low Farmhouse (1373399)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Low Farmhouse' (1373399) is located west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG50-52. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Low Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Old Hall (1373400)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Old Hall' (1373400) is located north-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG49-50. The pylons would be visible</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Old Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Tibenham Farmhouse (1179474)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed building 'Tibenham Farmhouse' (1179474) is located north-east of Winfarthing.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG56-57. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Tibenham Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
White House (1050786)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'White House' (1050786) is located north-north-east of Winfarthing.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG59-61. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of White House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Park Farmhouse (1050829)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Park Farmhouse' (1050829) is located east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG61-64. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value Park Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Heywood Manor (1049736)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Heywood Manor' (1049736) is located east of Goose Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG59-61. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F216_HE10 Viewpoint</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Heywood Manor is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			HE10: Heywood Manor; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Willow Bough Farmhouse (1386527)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed building 'Willow Bough Farmhouse' (1386527) is located east of Winfarthing.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG63-65. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Willow Bough Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Willows Farmhouse (1049737)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Willows Farmhouse' (1049737) is located south-east of Winfarthing.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG65-67. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Willows Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hawthorn Cottage (1373552)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hawthorn Cottage' (1373552) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG67-68. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Hawthorn Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Vine Farmhouse (1049738)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vine Farmhouse' (1049738) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG67-68. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Vine Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Barn Immediately South of Vine Farmhouse (1343675)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Immediately South of Vine Farmhouse' (1343675) is located south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG67-68. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Vine Farmhouse barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Bluepump Farmhouse (1050238)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bluepump Farmhouse' (1050238) is located in the east of Bressingham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG83-84. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Bluepump Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
The Gables (1373310)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Gables' (1373310) is located north-north-west of Roydon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG81-82. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of The Gables is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Limetree Farmhouse (1373273)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Limetree Farmhouse' (1373273) is located north of Snow Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG81-82. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Limetree Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Hermitage (1050271)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'The Hermitage' (1050271) is located on the southern flank of Snow Street. The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG81-83. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of The Hermitage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Thatch End The Haven (1154298)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Thatch End The Haven' (1154298) is located south of Snow Street.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG81-83. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Thatch End The Haven is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grove Farmhouse (1050236)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1050236) is located south of Roydon.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the	The value of Grove Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG86-87. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F21_VP1.21 Viewpoint 1.21: PRoW near Roydon Fen; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>application in this location.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Elm Farmhouse (1373558)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Elm Farmhouse' (1373558) is located south of Toprow, along the B1113.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG27 to RG29 and three SuDS basin locations, The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Elm Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Tacolneston conservation area (CA19)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Tacolneston conservation area (CA19) is focused on the B1113 Norwich Road between its junctions with Hall Road and Hurn Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction pylons RG32-34. The pylons may be glimpsed from within asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F230_HE22 Viewpoint HE22: Tacolneston; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Tacolneston conservation area is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Winfarthing conservation area (CA20)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Winfarthing conservation area (CA20) is focused on the B1077 between its junctions with Hall Road and Church Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction pylons RG63-65. The pylons may be glimpsed from within asset, and they</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Winfarthing conservation area is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent negligible adverse significance of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F231_HE23 Viewpoint HE23: Winfarthing; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

11.3.1 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens included in assessment in the ES within Project Section A.

## Section B

Table A11.2.19 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section B

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Offton Castle (1006049)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Offton Castle' (1006049) is located south of Offton and associated with 'Barn 50 Metres East Of Castle Farmhouse' (1251594).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG190-199. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F40_VP2.19 Viewpoint 2.19: PRow near Castle Farm, Offton (Offton 27); document reference 7.12). However, the existing 132 kV overhead line located closer to the asset would have been removed during construction. The magnitude of impact is therefore considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Offton Castle is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary the Virgin (1181735)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary the Virgin' (1181735) is located within the Mellis conservation area on the north-western flank of the settlement.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Church of St Mary the Virgin is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG102-106. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. Pylons RG102-106 would replace PKF19-24, which are a factor of the existing setting to the Church. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>is likely to be a <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1033123)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1033123) is located in the north-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG14-RG21.</p> <p>There may be long range views of the tops of the pylons from the asset over the tops of the village roofs, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Spring Farmhouse (1180592)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Spring Farmhouse' (1180592) is located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Spring Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG118. The pylon would be partially visible from the asset through its mature grounds, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Rookery Farmhouse (1352279)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rookery Farmhouse' (1352279) is associated with the Grade II listed 'Barn, 40 m South West Of Rookery Farm' (1180903) and located in isolated grounds north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG143-144. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Rookery Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Barn, 40 m South West Of Rookery Farm (1180903)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn, 40 m South West Of Rookery Farm' (1180903) is associated with 'Rookery Farmhouse' (1352279) and located in isolated grounds north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Barn at Rookery Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			through the introduction of pylons RG143-144. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Spalls Farmhouse (1033192)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Spalls Farmhouse' (1033192) is located on the western edge of Forward Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon RG152. The pylon would be partially visible from the mature grounds of the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Spalls Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn About 35m West of Spring Farm House (1284658)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn About 35m West of Spring Farm House' (1284658) is located south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the dismantling of towers PKF32-34. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Highways (1241179)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Highways' (1241179) is located within the small hamlet of Candle Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Peter (1352072)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Peter' (1352072) is located south-south-east of the centre of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG160 and RG161. The pylons would be partially visible from the graveyard of the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the Church of St Peter is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Mary (1231082)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1231082) is located towards the south-western end of the Badley conservation area (CA45).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>RG167 and RG168. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F37_VP2.16 Viewpoint 2.16: PRow near Badley Hall Farm (Badley 21); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>The Dower House (1231088)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Dower House' (1231088) is located at the north-western extent of Needham Market adjacent to 'Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doves Hill Farmhouse' (1231089).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG168-167. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset between and over the top of tree cover and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Dower House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse (1231089)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse' (1231089) is located at the north-western extent of Needham Market adjacent to 'Dower House' (1231088).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG168-167. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset between and over the top of tree cover and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Doveshill Farm Barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1231756)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1231756) is located north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would reduce the impact of the power distribution network on the asset's setting through the dismantling of towers PK12-14.</p> <p>The tower corridor of the Project including pylons RG171-177 lies over 1.2 km to the west of the asset in the adjacent parish and so beyond the setting of the asset. The dismantling of towers PK12-14 would remove them</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> .		
Lower Farmhouse (1231364)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lower Farmhouse' (1231364) is located north-west of Barking Tye to the north-east of 'Top Farmhouse' (1231094).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG178-181. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Lower Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Top Farmhouse (1231094)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Top Farmhouse' (1231094) is located north-west of Barking Tye to the south-west of 'Lower Farmhouse' (1231364).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG178-181. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Top Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1033018)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1033018) is located in Battisford.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG172-175. It is possible the tops of the pylons would be visible from the asset over the top of intervening tree cover, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Long Meadow (1251602)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Long Meadow' (1251602) is located to the north of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Long Meadow is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn 50 Metres East of Castle Farmhouse (1251594)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn 50 Metres East of Castle Farmhouse' (1251594) is located south of Offton and associated with scheduled monument 'Offton Castle' (1006049).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Castle Farmhouse Barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>RG190-199. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F40_VP2.19 Viewpoint 2.19: PRow near Castle Farm, Offton (Offton 27); document reference 7.12). However, the existing 132 kV overhead line located closer to the asset would have been removed during construction. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p><b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of St Mary (1263030)</p>	<p>Grade I Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1263030) is located north-east of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG186-192. The pylons are unlikely to be visible from the asset due to screening by the buildings and tree cover of Offton. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Mount Pleasant Farmhouse (1251598)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mount Pleasant Farmhouse' (1251598) is located in Offton to the south of the Church of St Mary (1263030).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral significance</b> of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Valley Farmhouse (1250948)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Valley Farmhouse' (1250948) is located west of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG188-190. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Court Farmhouse (1251595)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Court Farmhouse' (1251595) is located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG191-193. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
The Rookery (1182737)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'The Rookery' (1182737) is located east of Wortham.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of the Rookery is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG97-98. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Park House (1032787)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Park House' (1032787) is located east-north-east of Wortham and associated with the 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House' (1032788) and the 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30m East of Park House' (1182135).</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Park House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG94-96. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F43_VP2.22 Viewpoint 2.22: Lion Road near St John's House / Goodrich Park; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Valley Farm House (1032791)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Valley Farm House' (1032791) is located south-south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House (1032788)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House' (1032788) is located east-north-east of Wortham and associated with the 'Park House' (1032787) and the 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30m East of Park House' (1182135).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG94-96. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F43_VP2.22 Viewpoint 2.22: Lion Road near St John's House / Goodrich Park; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the former stable block is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30m East of Park House (1182135)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30m East of Park House' (1182135) is located north-north-east of Wortham and associated with 'Park House' (1032787) and the 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15m North of Park House' (1032788).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG94-96. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F43_VP2.22 Viewpoint 2.22: Lion Road near St John's House / Goodrich Park; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the former coach/ groom's house is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Gibsons Farm House (1181694)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gibsons Farm House' (1181694) is located on the southern edge of Little Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG106-108. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Hall Farm House (1181726)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hall Farm House' (1181726) is located on the north-western flank of the Mellis conservation area and associated with 'Barn About 30 m West of Hall Farm House' (1032806).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F26_VP2.05 Viewpoint 2.05: Mellis Road, Mellis Green; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn About 30 m West of Hall Farm House (1032806)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn About 30 m West of Hall Farm House' (1032806) is located on the north-western flank of the Mellis conservation area and associated with Hall Farm House (1181726).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F26_VP2.05 Viewpoint 2.05: Mellis Road, Mellis Green; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Hall Farm barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Pountney Hall (1352239)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pountney Hall' (<b>1352239</b>) is located at the south-western extent of Mellis conservation area (<b>CA47</b>) and associated with 'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall' (<b>1032805</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall ( <b>1032805</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall' (<b>1032805</b>) is located at the south-western extent of Mellis conservation area (<b>CA47</b>) and associated with 'Pountney Hall' (<b>1352239</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Pountney Hall barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Starhouse Farmhouse ( <b>1352111</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Starhouse Farmhouse' (<b>1352111</b>) is located south-west of Thornham Magna.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG120-122. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Abbey Farmhouse (1182599)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Abbey Farmhouse' (1182599) is located west-south-west of Wickham Skeith.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG125-128. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset through the buildings of the farming complex, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hempnalls Hall (1180451)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hempnalls Hall' (1180451) is located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG128-130. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through and above its heavily wooded grounds, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Willow Farmhouse (1352480)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Willow Farmhouse' (1352480) is located east-north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG130-132. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the outbuildings and mature trees of the farmstead, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Boundary Farmhouse (1032244)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Boundary Farmhouse' (1032244) is located south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG132-133. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the outbuildings and mature trees of the farmstead, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Lodge Cottage (1352504)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lodge Cottage' (1352504) is located west-north-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG133-134. There would be long range views of the pylons from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1097030)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church of St Mary' (1097030) is located in the south-west of Rickingshall.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the church is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Mendlesham Hall (1180527)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mendlesham Hall' (1180527) is located west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG134-137. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Grange Farmhouse (1032727)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>outbuildings and mature trees of the hall's grounds, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Grange Farmhouse' (1032727) is located north-north-east of the centre of Gipping and associated with Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 m North of Grange Farmhouse (1180790).</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 m North of Grange Farmhouse (1180790)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG138-141. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature trees within the asset's grounds, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 m North of Grange Farmhouse' (1180790) is located north-north-east of the centre of Gipping and associated with Grange Farmhouse (1032727).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the Grange Farm barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the introduction of pylons RG138-141. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature trees within the asset's grounds, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Hill Farmhouse (1032730)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1032730) is located between Gipping to the west and Mendlesham Green to the east.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG141-143.</p> <p>The pylons would be clearly visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Marsh Farm House (1032768)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Marsh Farm House' (1032768) is located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the dismantling of towers</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			PKF31-33. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> .		<b>beneficial</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Poplar Farmhouse (1182121)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Poplar Farmhouse' (1182121) is located north-west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG144-147. The pylons would be visible from the asset in between and above the outbuildings of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Doles Farmhouse (1352325)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Doles Farmhouse' (1352325) is located west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG146-148. The pylons would be visible from the asset between and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
Star Orchard (1032663)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Star Orchard' (1032663) is located west-south-west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG147-149. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Firtree Farmhouse (1032662)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Firtree Farmhouse' (1032662) is located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG148-149. The pylons would be visible through and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Upland House (1182102)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Upland House' (1182102) is located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG148-149. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grange Farmhouse (1032661)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grange Farmhouse' (1032661) is located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG150-151. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset in between and over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Stowupland Hall (1182013)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stowupland Hall' (1182013) is located north of Stowupland.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG152-154. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset in-between and above the mature trees of the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse (1033189)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse' (1033189) is located south of Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG157-159. The pylons would be occasionally visible in between and above the mature trees in the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Roydon Hall (1033215)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) and Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall (1352074).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG156-158. The pylons would be occasionally visible through and above the tree cover within the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F35_VP2.14 Viewpoint 2.14: Creeting Lane, Creeting St Peter; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall (1284584)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the barn is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall (1352074)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (1352074) is located north-east of Creeting St Peters and associated with 'Roydon Hall' (1033215) and 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (1284584).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG156-158. The pylons would be occasionally visible through and above the tree cover within the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F35_VP2.14 Viewpoint 2.14: Creeting Lane, Creeting St Peter; document reference 7.12). As the focus of the assets setting id the Roydon Hall complex,</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the wall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hill Farmhouse (1182339)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1182339) is located south-east of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG161-162. The pylons would be occasionally visible in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Creting Hall (1352073)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Creting Hall' (1352073) is located south of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG162-164. The pylons would be occasionally visible in between and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F244_HE35 Viewpoint HE35: Creting Hall, Mid Suffolk; document</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Cherry Tree Farmhouse (1231090)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cherry Tree Farmhouse' (1231090) is located in Badley Hill.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset as the tower corridor lies outside the limits of the setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grove Farmhouse (1033214)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1033214) is located south-south-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would slightly impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG162-164. The tops of the pylons may be occasionally visible above Poundfield Concrete Works from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Newton Cottages (1277414)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newton Cottages' (1277414) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG170-172. The tops of the pylon may be visible from the asset in between and above outbuildings and mature trees in the asset's grounds and mature vegetation in the intervening landscape and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
College Grove Farmhouse (1231093)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'College Grove Farmhouse' (1231093) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon RG173, whilst towers PK11-13 would be removed. There would be a long-range view of the pylon and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Bungeons Farmhouse (1231581)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bungeons Farmhouse' (1231581) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG173-174, whilst towers PK11-13 would be removed. There would be a long-range view of the pylons and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Home Farmhouse (1231443)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Home Farmhouse' (1231443) is located north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would reduce the impact of the power distribution network on the asset's setting through the removal of towers PK11-13. The tower corridor of the Project including pylons RG174-178 lies over 800 m to the north-west of the asset in the adjacent parish and so beyond the setting of the asset. The dismantling of towers PK11-13 would remove them from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Fairfax House (1231522)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fairfax House' (1231522) is located in the north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ashburnham Farmhouse (1278102)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ashburnham Farmhouse' (1278102) is located north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Moat Farmhouse (1231305)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Moat Farmhouse' (1231305) is located north-west of Willisham Tye and associated with 'Former Stable Range 30m West of Moat Farmhouse' (1278293).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG181-183. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Former Stable Range 30 m West of Moat Farmhouse (1278293)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Former Stable Range 30 m West of Moat Farmhouse' (1278293) is located north-west of Willisham Tye and associated with Moat Farmhouse (1231305).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG181-183. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Hascot Hill Farmhouse (1352142)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hascot Hill Farmhouse' (1352142) is located north-west of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG174-175. The pylons would be occasionally visible in between and above the mature vegetation of the asset's large garden they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ringshall House (1032952)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ringshall House' (1032952) is located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG184-187. The pylons, particularly RG184-185, would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Tye Farmhouse (1251803)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Tye Farmhouse' (1251803) is located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG184-185. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Rose Cottage Farmhouse (1251587)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rose Cottage Farmhouse' (1251587) is located west of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG189-190. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
The Old Vicarage (1262688)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Vicarage' (1262688) is located north-east of Offton and associated with the Church of St Mary (1263030).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent neutral significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Prospect House (1262690)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Prospect House' (1262690) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		neutral significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Maltings House (1251596)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Maltings House' (1251596) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent neutral significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
The Mutton (1251597)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Mutton' (1251597) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent neutral significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Offton Place (1262691)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Offton Place' (1262691) is located south-east of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent neutral significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hill Farmhouse (1251696)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1251696) is located south of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG193-195. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grove Farmhouse (1262693)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1262693) is located west of Somersham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would reduce the impact of the power distribution network on the asset's setting through the dismantling of towers PI24-26. The tower corridor of the Project including pylons RG195-196 lies over 500 m to the south-west of the asset and so beyond its setting. The dismantling of towers PI24-26 would remove them from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Gunn's Farmhouse (1262659)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Gunn's Farmhouse' (1262659) is located south of Offton and associated with	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>'Gunn's Farmhouse' (<b>1262659</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG194-196. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature tree cover of its grounds, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse ( <b>1251600</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse' (<b>1251600</b>) is located south of Offton and associated with Gunn's Farmhouse (<b>1262659</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG194-196. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature tree cover of its grounds and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Bleak Hall ( <b>1251669</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Bleak Hall' ( <b>1251669</b> ) is located south-west of Somersham.	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG197-199. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Bullenhall Farmhouse (1033263)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bullenhall Farmhouse' (1033263) is located west of Bramford and north-east of Bramford Substation.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of the environmental area to the south-west. The environmental area would be visible from the asset, and it might alter the rural character of setting of the asset through planting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Thornbush Hall (1251603)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thornbush Hall' (1251603) is located south-west of Bramford.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would reduce the impact of the power distribution network on the asset's</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St Mary (1032797)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1032797) is located in the dispersed settlement of Burgate.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG101-RG107. Some of these pylons replace preexisting, smaller pylons and it is likely there would be long range views of the Project but these would be diminished by the heavy tree cover of churchyard and tree cover in the intervening landscape (Figure 7.12.F25_VP2.04 Viewpoint 2.04: Burgate Road, Burgate; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p><b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Yewtree Farmhouse (1182522)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Yewtree Farmhouse' (1182522) is located on the western outskirts of Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG54-RG58. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset at the extent of its setting, but they would be partially screened by mature trees and a large barn in the farmyard. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
The Elms Farmhouse (1033217)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Elms Farmhouse' (1033217) is located at the south-western edge of Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG156-RG159. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset at the extent of its setting, but they would be partially screened by mature trees and outbuildings in the farmhouse's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hicks Farmhouse (1182484)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hicks Farmhouse' (1182484) is located at the south-western edge of Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG156-RG159. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset at the extent of its setting, but they would be partially screened by mature trees and outbuildings in the farmhouse's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Glebe House (1182315)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe House' (1182315) is located south-south-east of Creeting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG161-RG159. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset at the extent of its setting, but they would be partially screened by mature trees and outbuildings in the farmhouse's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the house is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Vale Farmhouse (1033020)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed Vale Farmhouse (1033020) south-east of Battisford.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG173-RG177. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset at the extent of its setting, but they would be partially screened by mature tree cover in the farmhouse's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Howes Farm Cottage (1198456)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Howes Farm Cottage' (1198456) is located north of Ringshall Stocks.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG182-RG184. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset at the extent of its setting, but they would be partially screened by mature tree cover and outbuildings in the cottage's grounds. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of St Mary (1251233)</p>	<p>Grade I Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1251233) is located in the settlement of Flowton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of St Mary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>RG201-RG205. Some of these pylons replace preexisting, smaller pylons and it is likely there would be long range views of the Project, but these would be diminished by the local topography, the heavy tree cover of churchyard and tree cover in the intervening landscape. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Badley Church Green Conservation Area (<b>CA45</b>)</p>	<p>Conservation Area</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Badley Church Green Conservation Area (<b>CA45</b>) is located west of Badley Hill and contains the scheduled monument 'Chantry (site of)' (<b>1006030</b>), the Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (<b>1231082</b>), the Grade II* listed 'Barn 100 Metres South East of Badley Hall' (<b>1231085</b>), 'Badley Hall' (<b>1231083</b>) and 'Dovecote 60 metres east of Badley Hall' (<b>1231084</b>), and the Grade II listed 'Bakehouse 15 Metres South Of Badley Hall' (<b>1278291</b>).</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG167 and RG168. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F37_VP2.16 Viewpoint 2.16:</p>		

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>PRoW near Badley Hall Farm (Badley 21); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
Mellis Conservation Area ( <b>CA47</b> )	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Mellis Conservation Area (<b>CA47</b>) covers much of the settlement of Mellis and contains two Grade II* listed buildings and 29 Grade II listed buildings.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG102-108. The pylons would be occasionally and distantly visible from the asset through the tree cover which bounds the asset to the west and north-west and so they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F242_HE33 Viewpoint HE33: Mellis, Mid Suffolk and Figure 7.12.F26_VP2.05 Viewpoint 2.05: Mellis Road, Mellis Green; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

11.3.2 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens included in assessment in the ES within Project Section B.

## Section C

Table A11.2.20 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section C

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Crop Mark Site S of Ardleigh (1002146)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Crop mark site S of Ardleigh' (1002146) is located south-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB1 to TB8, pulling locations associated with pylons TB4 to TB7, four SuDS basin locations, and the East Anglia connection node Substation. These elements of the Project would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Henge, round barrow cemeteries and enclosure cropmarks 510m south-west of St Mary's Church (1489898)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument 'Henge, round barrow cemeteries and enclosure cropmarks 510m south-west of St Mary's Church' (1489898) is located north-north-east of Great Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Wenham Castle (Little Wenham Hall) (1003759)/Little Wenham Castle (1033405)	Scheduled Monument/Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The scheduled monument and Grade I listed building of Wenham Castle/Little Wenham Castle is located in the small settlement of Little Wenham, north-west of Capel St Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC27 to JC33 and seven SuDS basins. The pylons may be visible in the distance from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Wenham Grange (1033409)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed building 'Wenham Grange' (1033409) is located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC29 and JC30 and a SuDS basin. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Wenham Grange is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Birch House Farmhouse (1036898)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Birch House Farmhouse' (1036898) is located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC25 to JC28. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1036948)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1036898) is located in Burstall.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC1 to JC12, and nine SuDS basin locations. There may be occasional broken views of the pylons from the asset interrupted by tree cover and development in the intervening landscape, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Chattisham Place (1036951)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Chattisham Place' (1036951) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, and four SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F75_VP3.05 Viewpoint 3.05: The Street / NCR 1, Chattisham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church Farmhouse (1036952)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church Farmhouse' (1036952) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, and four SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F75_VP3.05 Viewpoint 3.05: The Street / NCR 1, Chattisham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Higham Hall (1036957)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Higham Hall' (1036957) is located on the southern edge of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
The Old Vicarage (1036958)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Vicarage' (1036958) is located on the southern edge of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Dewlands Farmhouse (1036963/1036986)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Dewlands Farmhouse' (1036963/1036986) is located north-east of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Lark Hall (1036983)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lark Hall' (1036983) is located south-west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Pintins (1036984)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pintins' (1036984) is located south-west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Tiffins (1036985)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Tiffins' (1036985) is located south-west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Lowe Hill House (1036991)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Lowe Hill House' (1036991) is located north of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the house is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Gig House Approximately 10 m North East of Newhouse Farmhouse (1111421)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gig House Approximately 10 m North East of Newhouse Farmhouse' (1111421) is located in Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of a permanent infiltration drainage pond. The Project might be occasionally visible from the asset, and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Jenning's Farmhouse (1111459)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Jenning's Farmhouse' (1111459) is located west of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1112060)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1112060) is located in Ardleigh.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB8 to TB12. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature vegetation and development of the intervening landscape, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Thatched Cottage (1112086)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Thatched Cottage' (1112086) is located in Ardleigh Heath.  The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Whaley Farmhouse (1112088)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Whaley Farmhouse' (1112088) is located west of Arleigh Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB13 to TB17, and five SuDS basins. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature vegetation and development of the intervening landscape, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Maltings Farmhouse (1112093)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Maltings Farmhouse' (1112093) is located in Arleigh Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Blue Barns Farmhouse (1112094)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Blue Barns Farmhouse' (1112094) is located west of Ardleigh Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB19 to TB21. The pylons may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Beaumaris Witheys (1147592)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Beaumaris Witheys' (1147592) is located in Ardleigh Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Gods House Farmhouse (1147645)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gods House Farmhouse' (1147645) is located west of Ardleigh Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Bounds Farmhouse (1147743)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bounds Farmhouse' (1147743) is located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB1 to TB4, a permanent bund, and the East Anglia Connection Node Substation. These elements of the Project would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn Approximately 40 Metres North West of Lodge Farmhouse (1147771)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40 Metres North West of Lodge Farmhouse' (1147771) is located south-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon TB15. The pylon may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and the development of the intervening landscape, and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1194408)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1194408) is located north-west of Copdock.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC16 to JC20, and six SuDS basin locations. These elements of the Project may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the intervening landscape (Figure 7.12.F232_HE24 Viewpoint HE24: Church of St Marys Washbrook; document reference 7.12). The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
<p>Barn Approximately 20 Meters North West of Four Sisters Farmhouse (1198496)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 20 Meters North West of Four Sisters Farmhouse' (1198496) is located south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of St Mary (1223452)</p>	<p>Grade I Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1223452) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church Farmhouse (1223464)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church Farmhouse' (1223464) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Langford Hall (1223469)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Langford Hall' (1223469) is located in the north of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Pungford Cottages (1223498)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pungford Cottages' (1223498) is located in the north of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Glebe Farmhouse (1223526)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe Farmhouse' (1223526) is located north-north-east of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Glebe House (1223527)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Glebe House' (1223527) is located north of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the house is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn to East of Glebe Farmhouse (1223548)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to East of Glebe Farmhouse' (1223548) is located north of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Fountain Farmhouse (1253912)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fountain Farmhouse' (1253912) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC15 to JC17 c. 170 m to the north, and three SuDS basins. The pylons would be visible from the asset through mature tree cover around the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Wick Farmhouse (1253913)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wick Farmhouse' (1253913) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19 c. 280 m to the north, and three SuDS basin locations. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn Adjacent to Road at Wick Farm (1253914)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Adjacent to Road at Wick Farm' (1253914) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19 c. 260 m to the north, and three SuDS basin locations. The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature vegetation and development in the intervening landscape, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Bloomfields Farmhouse (1253915)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bloomfields Farmhouse' (1253915) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19 c. 230 m to the south, and three SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover of the farmyard and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Barn Approximately 40 m North East of Bloomfields Farmhouse (1261548)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40 m North East of Bloomfields Farmhouse' (1261548) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC17 to JC19 c. 290 m to the south, and three SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature tree cover of the farmyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Grove Cottage (1267140)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Cottage' (1267140) is located in the northern end of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ewens Farmhouse (1267273)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ewens Farmhouse' (1267273) is located north-north-east of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Broomhouse to North of The Hall (1267300)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Broomhouse to North of The Hall' (1267300) is located west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Mulberry House (1273800)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mulberry House' (1273800) is located south-south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Coles Green Farmhouse (1285727)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Coles Green Farmhouse' (1285727) is located west of Copdock.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC20 to JC22, and four SuDS basins. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature tree cover of the farmyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Thatched Cottage (1322652)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatched Cottage' (1322652) is located south-west of Dedham Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Newhouse Farmhouse (1337176)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newhouse Farmhouse' (1337176) is located in Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			through the introduction of a permanent infiltration drainage pond. The Project might be occasionally visible from the asset, and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn Approximately 20 m West of Newhouse Farmhouse (1337177)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newhouse Farmhouse' (1337176) is located in Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of a permanent infiltration drainage pond. The Project might be occasionally visible from the asset, and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1351596)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1351596) is located in Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
					the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Four Sisters Farmhouse (1351597)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Four Sisters Farmhouse' (1351597) is located south-east of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Fenn Farmhouse (1351617)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fenn Farmhouse' (1351617) is located east of Hintlesham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC14 to JC16 330 m to the east. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset through the tree cover of the farmyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of All Saints (1351620)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of All Saints' (1351620) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, and four SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset through mature tree cover, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F75_VP3.05 Viewpoint 3.05: The Street / NCR 1, Chattisham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the church is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Cartshed at Chattisham Place (1351621)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cartshed at Chattisham Place' (1351621) is located in Chattisham.</p> <p>The construction phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons JC21 to JC24, and four SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F75_VP3.05 Viewpoint 3.05: The Street / NCR 1, Chattisham; document reference</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of carhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Church of St Mary (1351625)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (1351625) is located on the southern edge of higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Vauxhall (1351957)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vauxhall' (1351957) is located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC29 to JC33. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and outbuildings of the farmyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Grove Farmhouse (1337174)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grove Farmhouse' (1337174) is located south-south-east of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of a permanent attenuation pond. The pond is unlikely to be visible from the asset, but it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1337175)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church of St Mary' (1337175) is located south-south-west of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of a permanent attenuation pond. The pond is unlikely to be visible from the asset, but it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F82_VP3.13 Viewpoint 3.13: PRow, Little Bromley (Little Bromley 16; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the church is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Braham Hall (1337155)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Braham Hall' (1337155) is located south-east of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of a permanent attenuation pond. The pond is unlikely to be visible from the asset, but it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Holton Place (1036982)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Holton Place' (1036982) is located in Bacon's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Pair of Cottages Approximately 10 m South of the Fox and Hounds Public House (1111418)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pair of Cottages Approximately 10 m South of the Fox and Hounds Public House' (1111418) is located south-south-east of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			through the introduction of a permanent attenuation pond. The pond is unlikely to be visible from the asset, but it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Milestone on East Verge Approximately 240 m South of Harts Lane (1147792)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Milestone on East Verge Approximately 240 m South of Harts Lane' (1147792) is located south of Langham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon TB21. The pylon would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the roadside setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Lodge Farmhouse (1322614)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lodge Farmhouse' (1322614) is located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon TB15. The pylon may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and the development of the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			intervening landscape, and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
The Hall (1223465)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'The Hall' (1223465) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn North West Of The Hall (1267301)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade I listed 'Barn North West Of The Hall' (1267301) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn West Of The Hall (1267268)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade I listed 'Barn West Of The Hall' (1267268) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Langham Hall Farmhouse (1223466)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Langham Hall Farmhouse' (1223466) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Redhouse (1223467)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Redhouse' (1223467) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Pond Villa (1267302)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pond Villa' (1267302) is located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Little Bromley War Memorial (1493299) is located on the southern edge of the settlement of Little Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Stratford St Mary (CA41)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>The Stratford St Mary (CA41) is focussed on The Street, Lower Street, and Upper Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ardleigh (CA26)	Conservation Area	Medium	<p>Ardleigh (CA26) is focussed on The Street, Harwich Road and Station Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB8 to TB12, and three SuDS basin location. The pylon may be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and development of the asset and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F233_HE25 Viewpoint HE25: Ardleigh; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

11.3.3 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens included in assessment in the ES within Project Section C.

## Section D

Table A11.2.21 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section D

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Church of St James (1224521)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St James' (1224521) is located north of Little Tey, at the end of Church Lane.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the addition of pylons TB63 to TB68. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 420 m (pylon TB65) to the north. The asset is surrounded by high trees limiting the views; however, the well-established vegetation is deciduous, and it is likely that the Project would be visible through the winter months, altering the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F217_HE11 Viewpoint HE11: Church of St James, Marks Tey; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Church of St James is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Old Bouchiers Hall (1110894)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Old Bouchiers Hall' (1110894) is located along New Road, 350 m south-west of Fordstreet.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Old Bouchiers Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>by the addition of pylons TB51-53. The closest pylon to the asset would be 530 m (pylon TB53) to the south-west. The asset is surrounded by well-established vegetations which might be deciduous; therefore, the Project could be visible through the winter months, altering the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>Green Farmhouse (1170055)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Green Farmhouse' (1170055) is located south of Halstead Road in Aldham.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the addition of pylons TB52-54. The closest pylon to the asset would be 370 m (pylon TB53) to the west. The asset is bordered by well-established vegetation on all sides except the south, making it likely that the Project would be visible from this direction, potentially impacting the rural character of the asset. Additionally, as the vegetation may be deciduous, visibility could increase during the winter months.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Green Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Numbers 1, 2 And 3 Brick Cottages (1169966)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Numbers 1, 2 And 3 Brick Cottages' (1169966) is located along Brook Road, south of Aldham.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the introduction of pylons TB56 to TB58. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 100 m (pylon TB57) to the south-east. Despite the established vegetation in the garden of the asset, the Project would be visible from north- east to south-west, impacting the rural character of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Numbers 1, 2 And 3 Brick Cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Church House Farmhouse (1170085)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church House Farmhouse' (1170085) is located along Rectory Road, west of Aldham.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Church House Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Fordham Place (1222602)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Fordham Place' (1222602) is located along Fossetts Lane, north-east of Fordham.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Fordham Place is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the introduction of pylons TB41 to TB43. The Project is likely to be visible from asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Wisteria (1222665)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wisteria' (1222665) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Wisteria is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Messrs Peatling And Cawdron (1222667)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Messrs Peatling And Cawdron ' (1222667) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Messrs Peatling And Cawdron is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
House Adjoining And To The North Of Peatling And Cawdron (1222668)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'House Adjoining And To The North Of Peatling And Cawdron' (1222668) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of House Adjoining and To The North Of Peatling And Cawdron is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Knowles Farmhouse (1222772)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Knowles Farmhouse' (1222772) is located west of London Road, c. 1 km north-west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Knowles Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Oak Cottage (1222861)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Oak Cottage' (1222861) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Oak Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
Church Of England School (1222862)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Church Of England School' (1222862) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Church Of England School is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
New Barn House (1222863)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'New Barn House' (1222863) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of New Barn House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
School House (1222923)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'School House' (1222923) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of School House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Barn To South Of New Barn House (1222924)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn To South Of New Barn House' (1222924) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.  The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To South Of New Barn House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Breewood Hall (1222977)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Breewood Hall' (1222977) is located north west of Great Horkesley, north of School Lane, at the end of a private track.  The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Breewood Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Cart Lodge With Granary Over To South Of Breewood Hall (1222979)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cart Lodge With Granary Over To South Of Breewood Hall ' (1222979) is located north-west of Great Horkesley, north of School Lane, at the end of a private track.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Cart Lodge With Granary Over To South Of Breewood Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Highlands (1222981)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Highlands' (1222981) is located along school Lane, within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Highlands is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Yew Tree Cottage (1222982)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Yew Tree Cottage' (1222982) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Yew Tree Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
Teybrook Farmhouse (1223156)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Teybrook Farmhouse' (1223156) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.  The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Granary North West Of Teybrook Farmhouse (1223157)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Granary North West Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (1223157) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.  The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Granary North West Of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Barn To East Of Teybrook House (1223158)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn To East Of Teybrook House' (122315) is located east of Brook Road, setting back from this road. This asset is south of the Roman River, c. 800 m south of the	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To East Of Teybrook House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm (1223159)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm' (1223159) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Upp Hall (1223380)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Upp Hall' (1223380) is located east of Salmon's Lane, c. 430 m north of the A120, north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB66 and TB68. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 300 m (pylon TB68) to the west. The pylons would be likely be partially visible from the asset through the extensive tree cover in the asset's grounds and they</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Upp Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Roundhouse (1223386)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Roundhouse' (1223386) is located along East Gores Road, c. 1.2 km north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB68 to TB69. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 300 m (pylon TB69) to the south. The pylons would be likely visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. As, however, the pylon corridor is at the very limit of the asset's setting, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Roundhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
East Gores Farmhouse (1223384)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'East Gores Farmhouse' (1223384) is located along East Gores Road, c. 1.2 km north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB67 to TB70. The closest pylon to</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of East Gores Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the asset would be c. 390 m (pylon TB69) to the south. As, however, the pylon corridor is at the very limit of the asset's setting The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Barn To North East Of East Gores Farmhouse (1223385)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To North East Of East Gores Farmhouse' (1223385) is located along East Gores Road, c. 1.2 km north-west of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB67 to TB70. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 350 m (pylon TB68) to the south-south-east. As, however, the pylon corridor is at the very limit of the asset's setting The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To North East Of East Gores Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
The Old Rectory (1224447)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Rectory' (1224447) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB64 and TB65. The closest pylon to</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of The Old Rectory is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the asset would be c. 230 m (pylon TB64) to the north-east. The pylons would likely be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Coney Byes (1225071)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Coney Byes' (1225071) is located at the end of Coney Byes Lane, c. 1.2 km north-west of Bergholt.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon TB40 c. 350 m to the north-west. The pylon would be visible from the asset and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F210_HE4 Viewpoint HE4: Coney Byes; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Coney Byes is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Highfields Farmhouse (1225094)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Highfields Farmhouse' (1225094) is located south of Colchester Road, along a track, c. 1.9 km north-east of West Bergholt.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Highfields Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>through the introduction of pylons TB38 to TB40. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 270 m (pylon TB39) to the east. The mature and well-established vegetation from south to north-east of the asset largely screen the Project, but it is possible the tops of the pylons would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
The Grove (1225475)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Grove' (1225475) is located on Colchester Road, set back to the north of this road, east of the Grove farm complex.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB35 to TB37, the Great Horkesley CSE compound (Tilbury side), and a permanent attenuation drainage pond. The compound and associated pylons are located c. 520 m to the south-east and are likely to be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the asset's grounds. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of The Grove is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse significance</b> of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Priory House (1238755)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Priory House' (1238755) is located at the junction of Straight Road and Old House Lane, to the south-west of Boxted.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Priory House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
King's Farmhouse (1266530)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'King's Farmhouse' (1266530) is located in the extension of Fossetts Lane, c.1.7 km north-east of Fordham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB39 and TB41, the closest being c. 200 m south-east of the asset. Despite the well-established vegetation around the asset, there would likely be occasional views of the pylons. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of King's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Mott's Farm House (1266736)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mott's Farm House' (1266736) is located at the end of Mott's Lane, north of the A120, c. 280 m north of Mark Tey.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Mott's Farm House is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
The Barn (1224584)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Barn' (1224584) is located at the end of Mott's Lane, north of the A120, north of Mark Tey.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of The Barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Knaves Farmhouse (1266773)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Knaves Farmhouse' (1266773) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB64 and TB65. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 300 m (pylon TB64) to the north-east. The pylons would likely be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Knaves Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Range South Of Barn To South Of Knaves	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Range South Of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse' (1266775) is located along Great Tey	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase	The value of Range South Of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse is

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Farmhouse (1266775)			<p>Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB64 and TB65. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 300 m (pylon TB64) to the north-east. The pylons would likely be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p><b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse (1266822)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse' (1266822) is located along Great Tey Road, east side, c. 500 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB64 and TB65. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 300 m (pylon TB64) to the north-east. The pylons would likely be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn To South Of Knaves Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Little Tey House (1266823)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Tey House' (1266823) is located on Brook Road, east side, c. 870 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB64 and TB65. The closest pylon (TB64) to the asset would be c. 230 m to the south-south-east. The pylons would likely be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F235_HE27 Viewpoint HE27: Little Tey House and Barn to South West of Little Tey House; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Little Tey House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Barn To South West Of Little Tey House (1266779)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Barn To South West Of Little Tey House' (1266779) is located on Brook Road, east side, c. 870 m north of Little Tey.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB64 and TB65. The closest pylon (TB64) to the asset would be c. 190 m to the south-east. The pylons would</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of Barn To South West Of Little Tey House is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			likely be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F235_HE27 Viewpoint HE27: Little Tey House and Barn to South West of Little Tey House; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
Cart Lodge To West Of Upp Hall (1267339)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Cart Lodge To West Of Upp Hall' (1267339) is located east of Salmon's Lane, c. 430 m north of the A120, north-west of Little Tey.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB66 and TB68. The closest pylon to the asset would be c. 300 m (pylon TB68) to the west. The pylons would be likely be partially visible from the asset through the extensive tree cover in the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Cart Lodge To West Of Upp Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Bragg (1267411)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Bragg' (1267411) is located on Bracks Lane, north of the A120.	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase	The value of Bragg is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB69 and TB70. The pylons would be likely visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Gull Cottage (1267412)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Gull Cottage' (1267412) is located south of A120, Colchester Road, in Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB70 to TB72. The pylons would be visible from the asset and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Gull Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Broadgreen Farmhouse (1223206)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>the Gade II listed 'Broadgreen Farmhouse' (1223206) is located south of A120, Colchester Road, in Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB70 to TB72. The pylons would be</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Broadgreen Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			visible from the asset and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm (1267422)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm ' (1267422) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.  The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be no change ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse (1267423)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (1267423) is located along Brook Road, within a farm complex, west of the Roman River, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.  The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse (1267424)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (1267424) located on Brook Road, east of the Teybrook Farnhouse	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>complex, c. 740 m south of the Great Tey conservation area.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b>.</p>
Barn To North Of Knowles Farmhouse (1267632)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To North Of Knowles Farmhouse' (1267632) is located west of London Road, c. 1 km north-west of Great Horkelesley.</p> <p>The Project pylons lay beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To North Of Knowles Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Village Hall (1267662)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Village Hall' (1267662) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkelesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Village Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Danbury Cottage (1267675)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Danbury Cottage' (1267675) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Danbury Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b>.</p>
Idols Cottage (1267711)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Idols Cottage' (1267711) is located at the end of a track south of Rams Farms Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB43 to TB45. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Idols Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Woodlands (1267713)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Woodlands' (1267713) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Woodlands is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b>.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn To North West Of Woodlands (1267714)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn To North West Of Woodlands' (1267714) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn To North West Of Woodlands is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Fiddlers Farmhouse (1273588)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fiddlers Farmhouse' (1273588) is located along Fiddler's Hill, south-east of Fiddler's Wood and north-west of Fordham Heath.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB49 and TB51. Fiddler's Wood would screen the asset and there is unlikely to be intervisibility between the asset and the Project. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Fiddlers Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Chippetts Farmhouse (1273626)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Chippetts Farmhouse' (1273626) is located on Chippetts Lane, c. 1 km south of Aldham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Chippetts Farmhouse is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB54 and TB57. The closest pylon would be c. 500 m west of the asset which is likely to be heavily screened by the presence of Aldhamhall wood. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Cartlodge With Granary Over East Of Priory House (1274028)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cartlodge With Granary Over East Of Priory House' (1274028) is located at the junction between Straight Road and Old House Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the pylon corridor is located beyond its extent. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Cartlodge With Granary Over East Of Priory House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Rose Cottage (1274029)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rose Cottage' (1274029) is located approximately 200 m north of the Order Limits, south of Queen's Head Road, and south-west of Boxted.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB31 to TB34. The operation phase of the Project would not impact the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Rose Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset's setting as the pylon corridor is located beyond its extent. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
Langmoor House (1274047)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Langmoor House' (1274047) is located on Langham Lane, north of A12.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB25 and TB27. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Langmoor House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Mott's Cottage (1337414)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Mott's Cottage' (1337414) is located on Rectory Road, c. 750 m south-west of Aldham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB58 and TB60. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Mott's Cottage is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Grapes (1306225)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grapes' (1306225) is located on Rectory Road, c. 420 m south-west of Adham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB56 and TB58. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The vegetation would provide some screening. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Grapes is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Aldham Hall (1306270)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Aldham Hall' (1306270) is located on Brook Road/ North Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB57 and TB58. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F118_VP4.21 Viewpoint 4.21: Brook Road, north of Marks Tey; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<p>The value of Aldham Hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Wagon Lodge To North Of Aldham Hall ( <b>1337391</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wagon Lodge To North Of Aldham Hall' (<b>1337391</b>) is located on Brook Road/ North Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB57 and TB58. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Wagon Lodge To North Of Aldham Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Bentalls Cottages ( <b>1337412</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bentalls Cottages' (<b>1337412</b>) is situated within the settlement of Aldham, at the intersection of Green Lane and Church Grove.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB53 to TB55. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Bentalls Cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Church Of St Margaret And St Catherine ( <b>1170063</b> )	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Church Of St Margaret And St Catherine' ( <b>1170063</b> ) is situated within the settlement of Aldham, at the intersection of Green Lane and Church Grove.	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the	The value of Church Of St Margaret And St Catherine is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB53 and TB54. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Chapel Cottage (1222607)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Chapel Cottage' (1222607) is located along the Causeway (A134), within the settlement of Great Horkesley.  The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the works would be contained underground. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Chapel Cottage is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b> .
Fordstreet (CA9)	Conservation Area	Medium	The Fordstreet conservation area (CA9) contains the core of Fordstreet village, adjacent to the A123 highway, and bordered by the River Stour to the south.  During the operational phase of the Project, the setting of the asset would be affected by the installation of pylons TB48 to TB52. The pylons may be visible from within the asset,	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of the Fordstreet conservation area is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			particularly from the east and north-east c. 250 m away. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. While the south side would be shielded by thick vegetation, there could be a partial view of pylon TB51 from the A1124, approximately 170 m away from the CA (Figure 7.12.F234_HE26 Viewpoint HE26: Fordstreet; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is therefore, considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		

11.3.4 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens or Scheduled Monuments included in assessment in the ES within Project Section D.

## Section E

Table A11.2.22 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section E

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Coggeshall Abbey (1018865)	Scheduled Monument	High	The scheduled monument 'Coggeshall Abbey' (1018865) is located west of Feering Road and east of Abbey Lane within Coggeshall conservation area.  The operation phase of the Project	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB74-TB77. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5.14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggleshall; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Cressing Temple (1002122)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The scheduled monument listed 'Cressing Temple' (1002122) is located north of an Order Limits access route, north-east of the Order Limits, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB96-TB100. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the scheduled monument is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Church Of St Mary and All Saints (1169594)	Grade I Listed Building	High	The Grade I listed building 'Church Of St Mary and All Saints' (1169594) is located north of Rivenhall and south-east of Silver End, on the eastern flank of Church Road.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB92-TB95. There would be distant views of the tops of pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F220_HE13 Viewpoint HE13: Church of St Mary and All Saints, Rivenhall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>The Abbot's Lodging and Corridor of Coggeshall Abbey (<b>1123191</b>)</p>	<p>Grade I Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade I listed building 'The Abbot's Lodging and Corridor of Coggeshall Abbey' (<b>1123191</b>) is located west of Feering Road and east of Abbey Lane within Coggeshall conservation area (<b>CA3</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB74-TB77. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5 .14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggeshall; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Guest House of Coggleshall Abbey ( <b>1307071</b> )	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Guest House of Coggleshall Abbey' (<b>1307071</b>) is located west of Feering Road and east of Abbey Lane within Coggleshall conservation area (<b>CA3</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB74-TB77. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F149_VP5.14 Viewpoint 5.14: Essex Way south of Coggleshall; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Church of St Nicolas, Coggleshall Abbey ( <b>1337925</b> )	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed building 'Church of St Nicolas, Coggleshall Abbey' (<b>1337925</b>) is located west of Feering Road and north of Abbey Lane within Coggleshall conservation area (<b>CA3</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB74-TB77. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Houchin's Farmhouse (1123187)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Houchin's Farmhouse' (1123187) is located 1.5 km east of Coggeshall, and immediately east of Houchin's Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB69-TB72. There may be views of the tops of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F236_HE28 Viewpoint HE28: Houchin's Farmhouse; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.
Feeringbury Manor (1306710)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed building 'Feeringbury Manor' (1306710) is located north of Feering and south-west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB76-TB78. There would be views of the tops of the pylons from the asset, so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Ancillary Building 6 m South East of Feeringbury Manor (1123828)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed building 'Ancillary Building 6 m South East of Feeringbury Manor' (1123828) is located north of Feering and south-	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Waterwheel and Mounting Approximately 23 m South West of Feeringbury Manor (1337602)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB76-TB78. There would be views of the tops of the pylons from the asset, however the setting of this asset is more focussed on its association with its surrounding farmstead complex rather than that of the wider landscape, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p> <p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
<p>Barn of Feeringbury Farm, 60 m South East of Feeringbury Manor (1123829)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn of Feeringbury Farm, 60 m South East of Feeringbury Manor' (1123829) is located north of Feering and south-west of Coggeshall Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB76-TB78. The pylons would be visible within the wider setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F246_HE37 Viewpoint HE37: Feeringbury Manor Barn, Baintree; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>The Stores (1146812)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Stores' (1146812) is located within Fuller Street, and west of Braintree Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the pylon corridor of the Project is located beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The towers PBS39-PBS40 would be removed from the setting of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Herons (1337781)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Herons' (1337781) is located within Fuller Street, and east of Fairstead Lodge Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting as the pylon corridor of the Project is located beyond the extent of the asset's setting. The towers PBS39-PBS40 would be removed from the setting of the asset. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Rivenhall Hall (1122613)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rivenhall Hall' (1122613) is located north of Rivenhall and south-east of Silver End, on the eastern flank of Church Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB92-TB95. There may be distant views of the tops of pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
1 and 2 Rivenhall Farm Cottages (1306464)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed '1 and 2 Rivenhall Farm Cottages' (1306464) is located north of Rivenhall and south-east of	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Silver End, on the eastern flank of Church Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB92-TB95. There may be distant views of the tops of pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Cressing Temple Farmhouse (1168891)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cressing Temple Farmhouse' (1168891) is located south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB96-TB100. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
The Barley Barn, 40 Metres North West of Cressing Temple Farmhouse (1123865)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Barley Barn, 40 Metres North West of Cressing Temple Farmhouse' (1123865) is located south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB96-TB100. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>The Wheat Barn, 35 Metres North East of Crossing Temple Farmhouse (1123866)</p>	<p>Grade I Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Barley Barn, 40 Metres North West of Crossing Temple Farmhouse' (1123865) is located within Crossing Temple scheduled monument (1002122), south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB96-TB100. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>Granary/ Stables Block 70 Metres South of Crossing Temple</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary/ Stables Block 70 Metres South of Crossing Temple Farmhouse' (1123867) is located within Crossing Temple scheduled monument (1002122),</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Farmhouse (1123867)			<p>south-west of Silver End, east of White Notley, and immediately east of the B1018.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB96-TB100. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Ford Farmhouse and Ford Farmhouse Barn (1122614)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ford Farmhouse and Ford Farmhouse Barn' (1122614) is located north of Rivenhall and east of Church Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB92-TB95. There would be views of the tops of pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Porter's Farmhouse (1171011)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Porter's Farmhouse' (1171011) is located east of Silver End, west of Kelvedon and south of Park Gate Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			through the introduction of pylons TB89-TB91. There would be views of the pylons from the asset, however these would be restricted due to established trees, buildings and walls and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Newneys Farmhouse (1123450)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newneys Farmhouse' (1123450) is located south of Rank's Green, east of Fairstead Lodge Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB117-TB121. There would be views of the pylons from the asset, however these would be restricted due to established trees, buildings and walls (Figure 7.12.F237_HE29 Viewpoint HE29: Newneys Farmhouse; document reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Barn Approximately 10m South-East of Newneys Farmhouse (1337819)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 10m South-East of Newneys Farmhouse' (1337819) is located south of Rank's Green, east of Fairstead Lodge Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB117-TB121. There would be views of the pylons from the asset however these would be restricted due to established trees, buildings and walls and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Dines Hall (1123448)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Dines Hall' (1123448) is located south-east of Rank's Green, and south of Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB117-TB119. There would be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Oak Farnhouse [sic] (1123422)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Oak Farnhouse [sic]' (1123422) is located north-west of Faulkbourne, and immediately north of Church Hill (road).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB101-TB104. There may be views of the tops of the pylons from the asset however these would be restricted</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Oak Cottages (1123421)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>due to established trees, buildings and walls and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Oak Cottages' (1123421) is located north-west of Faulkbourne, and immediately south of Church Hill (road).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB101-TB104. There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Westocks [sic] Farmhouse (1168106)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Westocks [sic] Farmhouse' (1168106) is located north of Fairstead, and immediately east of Fairstead Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There <b>would</b> be views of the pylons from the asset, however the prior existence of pylons within the area already form part of this assets' setting (Figure 7.12.F247 _HE38</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Farm Outbuilding Approximately 10m East of Westcocks Farmhouse (1122745)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	Viewpoint HE38: Westcocks Farmhouse and Great Warley Hall, Baintree; document reference 7.12), and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Granary Approximately 20m South of Westcocks Farmhouse	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Farm Outbuilding Approximately 10m East of Westcocks Farmhouse' (1122745) is located north of Fairstead, and immediately east of Fairstead Road.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There may be views of the pylons from the asset, however the prior existence of pylons within the area already form part of this assets' setting and the setting of this asset is associated with its surrounding buildings rather than that of the wider landscape, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
(1168121)			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There may be views of the pylons from the asset, however the prior existence of pylons within the area already form part of this assets' setting and the setting of this asset is associated with its surrounding buildings rather than that of the wider landscape, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Great Warley Hall (1123449)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Great Warley Hall' (1123449) is located east of Rank's Green and north-west of the junction between Fairstead Road and Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There would be views of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F247_HE38 Viewpoint HE38: Westocks Farmhouse and Great Warley Hall, Baintree; document</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn Approximately 40m West-South-West of Great Warley Hall (1248834)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>reference 7.12) and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40m West-South-West of Great Warley Hall' (<b>1248834</b>) is located east of Rank's Green and north-west of the junction between Fairstead Road and Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Barn Approximately 40m South-West of Great Warley Hall (1337818)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 40m South-West of Great Warley Hall' (<b>1337818</b>) is located east of Rank's Green and north-west of the junction between Fairstead Road and Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Troys Hall (1123457)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II* listed 'Troys Hall' (1123457) is located north of Troys Chase and east of Fairstead.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB105-TB109 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds. There may be distant views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Cockerell's Farmhouse and Bakehouse (1169484)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cockerell's Farmhouse and Bakehouse' (1169484) is located south-west of Old Road and east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB73-TB75. There is likely to be views of the pylons from the asset</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse (1306737)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Barn 20 Metres North West of Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse (1123869)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Coggeshall Hall Farmhouse' (1306737) is located east of Coggeshall Road and south-east of Coggeshall.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB78-TB82. There is likely to be views of the tops of pylons from the asset, however any intervisibility would be restricted by surrounding buildings and established trees, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
The Old Cottage (1123839)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'The Old Cottage' (1123839) is located south-west of Old Road and east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB74-TB77. There is likely to be views of the pylons from the asset however the asset would remain understandable within its setting, which has already been affected by an existing pylon line to its south, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Monk's Farm Cottages (1171147)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Monk's Farm Cottages' (1171147) is located is located north of Pantlings Lane, north-west of Kelvedon and south of Coggeshal Hamlet.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB83-TB85. There is likely to be views of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F245_HE36 Viewpoint HE36: Monk's Farm Cottages, Baintree; document reference 7.12),</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hungry Hall Farmhouse (1123868)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>however the current noise and traffic levels and the fact its original setting has already been affected indicate that the magnitude of impact would be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Hungry Hall Farmhouse' (1123868) is located east of Witham Road (B1018) and east of White Notley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB98-TB100. There is likely to be views of the pylons from the asset, however the existing nearby train line and industrial estate already affect the operational setting of this asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Pound Farmhouse (1123812)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pound Farmhouse' (1123812) is located north of Coggeshall Road, north-west of Kelvedon and south of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB81-TB83. There is likely to be views of the pylons from the asset</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Felix Hall (1123797)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
The Clock House (1305802)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Felix Hall' (1123797) is located north-east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB85-TB87. There would be views of the pylons from the asset, however, the setting of this asset is broadly confined to its immediate parkland boundaries (Figure 7.12.F218_HE12(i) Viewpoint HE12i: Felix Hall, Driveway Approach and Figure 7.12.F219_HE12(ii) Viewpoint HE12(ii): Felix Hall; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of this asset is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
The Orangery (1337627)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Orangery' (1337627) is located north-east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB85-TB87. There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Rook Hall (1170991)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rook Hall' (1170991) is located north-east of Hollow Road and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB86-TB90. There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Rivenhall Place (1122598)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Rivenhall Place' (1122598) is located west of Park Road, south of Western Road,	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of this asset is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB92-TB95. There would be views of the pylons from the asset (Figure 7.12.F211_HE5 Viewpoint HE5: Rivenhall Place; document reference 7.12).The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Homecot (1146765)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Homecot' (1146765) is located south of Fairstead Road and north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB108-TB116 and permanent attenuation ponds. There may be views of the pylons from the asset, although an existing overhead line already forms part of the setting of this asset, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Pond Cottage (1123455)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Pond Cottage' (1123455) is located west of Fairstead Road and north of Fairstead.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB108-TB116 and permanent attenuation ponds. There may be distant views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Hill Farmhouse (1123461)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hill Farmhouse' (1123461) is located west of Church Hill, and north-west of Witham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB101-TB103. There may be distant views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Forge Cottage (1338147)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Forge Cottage' (1338147) is located on the north-eastern flank of Church Hill, and south of White Notley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB100-TB106. There would likely be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hole Farmhouse (1146854)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hole Farmhouse' (1146854) is located south-west of Crossing Road, and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB99-TB101. There would likely be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Post House (1123423)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Post House' (1123423) is located north of Church Hill, and north-west of Witham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB101-TB103. There may be distant views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Blixes Farmhouse (1123460)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Blixes Farmhouse' (1123460) is located south of Rank's Green Road and east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB117-TB119 and permanent attenuation drainage channels. There are likely to be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>Barn Approximately 30 Metres South West of Blixes Farmhouse (1146827)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn Approximately 30 Metres South West of Blixes Farmhouse' (1146827) is located south of Rank's Green Road and east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB117-TB119 and permanent attenuation drainage channels. There are likely to be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
<p>Fairstead Lodge (1146798)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Fairstead Lodge' (1146798) is located east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB119-TB123. There are likely to be views of the pylons from the asset</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Waggon Lodge Approximately 90 South West of Fairstead Lodge (1146804)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Waggon Lodge Approximately 90 South West of Fairstead Lodge' (1146804) is located east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB119-TB123. There are likely to be views of the pylons from the asset however existing overhead line lines already affect the setting of this asset and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>
Leylands Farmhouse (1337825)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Leylands Farmhouse (1337825) is located west of Terling Hall Road and south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would have no impact to the asset's setting. There are likely to be no views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn and Stable Range Approximately 15 Metres North of Leylands Farmhouse (1308474)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Leylands Farmhouse (1308474) is located west of Terling Hall Road and south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would have no impact to the asset's setting. There are likely to be no views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

11.3.5 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens included in assessment in the ES within Project Section E.

## Section F

Table A11.2.23 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section F

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Lyons Hall (1122128)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lyons Hall' (1122128) is located south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB124-129. There may be some visibility between the asset and the pylons between the screening vegetation, and they would alter the wider rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Lyons Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Goodmans Farmhouse (1122135)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Goodmans Farmhouse' (1122135) is located south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB126-129. The pylon would be visible from the asset, 240 m to the west, and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset as the pylon would be places in the agricultural field adjacent to the farm altering the farmland setting also. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Goodmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Barn to the East of Goodmans Farmhouse (1171336)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to the East of Goodmans Farmhouse ' (1171336) is located south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB126-129. The pylon would be visible from the asset, 275 m to the west, and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset as the pylon would be places in the agricultural field adjacent to the farm altering the farmland setting also. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn to the East of Goodmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary the Virgin (1338425)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary the Virgin' (1338425) is located on the western edge of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB149 and TB150. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Church of St Mary the Virgin is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Balls Farmhouse (1305428)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Balls Farmhouse' (1305428) is located south of Great Waltham and west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB140-144. The pylons would be clearly visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Balls Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).
Chatham Hall (1338512)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Chatham Hall' (1338512) is located east of Great Waltham and north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB136-139, with the closest pylon TB138 285 m to south-east ( Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of Little Waltham; document reference 7.12).</p> <p>The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Chatham Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Walden Cottage (1151967)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Walden Cottage' (1151967) is located on the western edge of Little Waltham.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Walden Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB140 270 m to the west of the asset. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Millstone Cottage (1151939)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Millstone Cottage' (1151939) is located on the western edge of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB140 and TB141 300 m to the north-west of the asset at their closest. The pylon TB140 would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Millstone Cottage is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Former Church of St James (1122199)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Former Church of St James' (1122199) is located in Chignall St James.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Former Church of St James is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>TB156-158. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Moor Hall (1237175)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Moor Hall' (1237175) is located west of Writtle.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB166-168. The tops of the pylons would likely be visible from the asset, due to distance and intervening vegetation, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F249_HE40 Viewpoint HE40: Moor Hall, Chelmsford; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Moor Hall is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Bearman's Farmhouse (1237406)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Bearman's Farmhouse' (1237406) is located north of Margaretting.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB179-181. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Bearman's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Langleys (1305533)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Langleys' (1305533) is located on the north-eastern edge of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB137-142. The pylons may be partially visible from across the River Chelmer valley, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F213_HE7 Viewpoint HE7: Langleys, Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of Little Waltham and Figure 7.12.F174_VP6.18 Viewpoint 6.18: Langleys Park, north of Great Waltham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Langleys is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hole Farmhouse (1338437)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hole Farmhouse' (1338437) is located south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Hole Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			TB126-129. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset through mature vegetation near the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn to the South of Hole Farmhouse (1171364)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to the South of Hole Farmhouse' (1171364) is located south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB130-133. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset through mature vegetation near the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn to the South of Hole Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Longs Farmhouse (1171557)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Longs Farmhouse' (1171557) is located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB130-133. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Longs Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Lowleys Farmhouse (1305642)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lowleys Farmhouse' (1305642) is located south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB127-129. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Lowleys Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Stonage Farmhouse (1172474)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Stonage Farmhouse' (1172474) is located south Chatham Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB132-134.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Stonage Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn to the North-West of	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn to the North-West of Stonage Farmhouse'	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Barn to the North-West of Stonage

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Stonage Farmhouse (1122042)			<p>(1122042) is located south of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB132-134. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Evergreens and Kozi Cot (1172487)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Evergreens and Kozi Cot' (1172487) is located south of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB150-152. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Evergreens and Kozi Cot is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn at Scravels House (1122189)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn at Scravels House' (1122189) is located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB148 and TB149. The pylons would</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn at Scravels House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Staceys Farmhouse (1169962)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Staceys Farmhouse' (1169962) is located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB149-TB151. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F225_HE17 Viewpoint HE17: Staceys Farmhouse; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Staceys Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn at Staceys Farmhouse (1122196)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn at Staceys Farmhouse' (1122196) is located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB149-151. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn at Staceys Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Vault to the West of Partridge Green Farm (1306289)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Vault to the West of Partridge Green Farm' (1306289) is located south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB145-147. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset, however, the relationship the asset has with the farm would still remain. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Vault to the West of Partridge Green Farm is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Priors (1338427)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Priors' (1338427) is located north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB150-153. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Priors is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Stevens Farmhouse (1263975)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Stevens Farmhouse' (1263975) is located north of Chignall St James.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Stevens Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB155-159. The pylons may be visible in glimpse views from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	part of the application in this location.	impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Range of Farm Buildings to the North of Stevens Farmhouse (1247730)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Range of Farm Buildings to the North of Stevens Farmhouse' (1247730) is located north of Chignall St James.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB155-159. The pylons may be visible in glimpse views from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. Although they would not alter the understanding of the asset in its setting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Range of Farm Buildings to the North of Stevens Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Grays Farmhouse (1306273)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Grays Farmhouse' (1306273) is located north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Grays Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB150-155. The pylons would be visible in the distance from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Brook House (1171652)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Brook House' (1171652) is located in Minnow End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB139-143. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset, however the setting of the asset would still be determinable. The magnitude of impact is therefore considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Brook House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Rose and Crown Inn (1122116)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Rose and Crown Inn' (1122116) is located in Minnow End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB139-143. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Rose and Crown Inn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset, however the setting of the asset would still be determinable. The magnitude of impact is therefore considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Lace Cottages (1122117)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lace Cottages' (1122117) is located in Minnow End.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB139-143. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset, however the setting of the asset would still be determinable. The magnitude of impact is therefore considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Lace Cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
White Hart Inn (1122020)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'White Hart Inn' (1122020) is located in Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB137-139. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of White Hart Inn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Knights (1122019)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Knights' (1122019) is located within Little Waltham.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Knights is <b>medium</b> , and the

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ivy Terrace (1304923)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ivy Terrace' (1304923) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Ivy Terrace is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
108 The Street (1122028)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '108 The Street' (1122028) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of 108 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Westeria (1152069)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Westeria' (1152069) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Westeria is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
104 The Street (1338503)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '104 The Street' (1338503) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of 104 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Numbers 100 and 102 The Street Including 'The Alcove', Brook Hill (1304952)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Numbers 100 and 102 The Street Including 'The Alcove', Brook Hill' (1304952) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Numbers 100 and 102 The Street Including 'The Alcove', Brook Hill is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Campen's Cottage (1122043)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Campen's Cottage' (1122043) is located Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Campen's Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hillcrest (1122018)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Hillcrest' (1122018) is located within Little Waltham.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Hillcrest is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Post Office [and] Shop (1122017)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Post Office [and] Shop' (1122017) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Post Office [and] Shop is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Yew Trees (1338501)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Yew Trees' (1338501) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Yew Trees is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
88 - 94 The Street (1122027)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '88 - 94 The Street' (1122027) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of 88 - 94 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Little Waltham United Reformed Church (1152043)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Waltham United Reformed Church' (1152043) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-140. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Little Waltham United Reformed Church is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
84 and 86 The Street (1338502)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '84 and 86 The Street' (1338502) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-143.</p> <p>The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of 84 and 86 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Numbers 66, 68 (Romary's) and 70 (Lindens) (1122026)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Numbers 66, 68 (Romary's) and 70 (Lindens)' (1122026) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-143. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Numbers 66, 68 (Romary's) and 70 (Lindens) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
42 The Street (1152026)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed '42 The Street' (1152026) is located within Little	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of 42 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>36 - 40 The Street (1122025)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed '36 - 40 The Street' (1122025) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of 36 - 40 The Street is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>The Bell Public House (1122024)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Bell Public House' (1122024) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of The Bell Public House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
30 The Street (1122023)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '30 The Street' (1122023) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of 30 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
The Limes (1122022)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Limes' (1122022) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of The Limes is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
Oxford House (1122055)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Oxford House' (1122055) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Oxford House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Two Gates (1151949)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Two Gates' (1151949) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Two Gates is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
37 The Street (1122054)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed '37 The Street' (1122054) is located within Little	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of 37 The Street is <b>medium</b> , and the

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Ash Tree Cottage (1122021)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ash Tree Cottage' (1122021) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Ash Tree Cottage is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Foxtons (1338476)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Foxtons' (1338476) is located within Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Foxtons is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			TB138-144. The upper portion of the pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		<b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Little Waltham Lodge (1338514)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Waltham Lodge' (<b>1338514</b>) is located on the western edge of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB140-144. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The setting of this asset also includes an active dual carriageway and therefore the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Little Waltham Lodge is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Cottages Immediately to the west of St James's Churchyard (1122200)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Cottages Immediately to the west of St James's Churchyard' (<b>1122200</b>) is located Chignall St James.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB156-158. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Cottages Immediately to the West of St James's Churchyard is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Thatcher's Farmhouse (1235835)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>alter the character of the rural setting of the asset, however surrounding trees and buildings would mask much of any intervisibility. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatcher's Farmhouse' (1235835) is located east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB161-165. The pylons would be visible from the asset from afar and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is however considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		The value of Thatcher's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Hoestreet Farmhouse (1235763)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Hoestreet Farmhouse' (1235763) is located south-east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB162-165. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Hoestreet Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
Granary Cottage, to South-East of Hoe Street Farm House (1247675)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary Cottage, to South-East of Hoe Street Farm House' (1247675) is located south-east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB162-165. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Granary Cottage, to South-East of Hoe Street Farm House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Little Thatchers (1235775)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Thatchers' (1235775) is located south-east of Roxwell.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB162-165. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Little Thatchers is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Newney Hall (1237228)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Newney Hall' (1237228) is located north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB163-168. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Newney Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Sturgeons House (1237071)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Sturgeons House' (1237071) is located north-west of Great Oxney Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB164-166. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Sturgeons House is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Southwoods (1237174)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Southwoods' (1237174) is located south of Writtle.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB174-178. The pylons would be</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Southwoods is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		<b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Granary and Cart Lodge at Southwoods Farm (1237420)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary and Cart Lodge at Southwoods Farm' (1237420) is located south of Writtle.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB174-178. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Granary and Cart Lodge at Southwoods Farm is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).
Barn at Southwoods Farm (1237421)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn at Southwoods Farm' (1237421) is located south of Writtle.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB173-178. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Barn at Southwoods Farm is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).
Barn Immediately	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'Barn Immediately North-West of Coptfoldhall	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Barn Immediately North-West of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
North-West of Coptfoldhall Farmhouse (1247784)			<p>Farmhouse' (1247784) is located north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB176-181. The pylons would be visible from thasset,et and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset to the west. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	Coptfoldhall Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn to East Of Bearmans Farmhouse (1264135)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to East Of Bearmans Farmhouse' (1264135) is located north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB178-182. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Barn to East Of Bearmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Outbuildings at Bearmans Farmhouse (1237409)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Outbuildings at Bearmans Farmhouse' (1237409) is located north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Outbuildings at Bearmans Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			TB178-182. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Settlement Site at Ash Tree Corner (1002140)	Scheduled monument	High	<p>The Scheduled Monument 'Settlement Site at Ash Tree Corner' (1002140) is located west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB139-144. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Settlement Site at Ash Tree Corner is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Great Waltham (CA55)	Conservation area	Medium	<p>The Great Waltham conservation area (CA55) is located between Phleshey Road, following Main Road to Chelmsford Road before the junction of Chelmsford Road with the B1008. CA55 includes the Grade II RPG Langleys (1000241). The western extent of the CA55 follows South Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB135-146. The pylons would be</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Great Waltham is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>visible from the asset and they would alter elements of the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F222_HE15 Viewpoint HE15: Great Waltham, Langleys Park, Figure 7.12.F223_HE16(i) Viewpoint HE16(i): Great Waltham, Figure 7.12.F224_HE16(ii) Viewpoint HE16ii: Great Waltham and Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of Little Waltham; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Little Waltham (CA56)	Conservation area	Medium	<p>The Little Waltham conservation area (CA56) is located between B1008 to the north and west and the meeting of Brook Hill and Wheeler's Hill to the west, with The Street running through the western main part of the conservation area.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons 136-144. The pylons would be visible above the tree line in glimpse views from the asset and would partially alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. Although the rural nature of the asset has been affected by the presence of relatively busy roads</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Little Waltham is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>truncating views out (Figure 7.12.F239_HE31 Viewpoint HE31: Little Waltham Conservation Area; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Langleys (1000241)	Grade II registered park and garden	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed Registered Park and Gardens 'Langleys' (1000241) is located on the north-eastern side of the settlement of Great Waltham and is incorporated into the conservation area of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB135-146. The pylons would be visible from the asset (Figure 7.12.F172_VP6.16 Viewpoint 6.16: Chatham Hall Lane, north of Little Waltham; document reference 7.12) and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F214_HE8 Viewpoint HE8: Langleys Park and Figure 7.12.F222_HE15 Viewpoint HE15: Great Waltham, Langleys Park; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Langleys is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Little Hyde Farm Cottages (1207659)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Hyde Farm Cottages' (1207659) is located to the north-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB183-TB186. The pylons are likely to be visible from within the grounds and upper floors of the asset in all seasons due to the proximity of the Project and the openness of the rural landscape surrounding the asset. The pylons and access road would permanently alter this rural setting to the north-east, east, and south-east (Figure 7.12.F250_HE41 Viewpoint HE41: Little Hyde Cottages and Farmhouse, Brentwood; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Little Hyde Cottages is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Little Hyde Farmhouse (1197304)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Little Hyde Farmhouse' (1197304) is located to the north-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB183-TB186. The pylons are likely to be visible from within the grounds and upper floors of the asset in all seasons due to the proximity of the Project and</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Little Hyde Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be low adverse. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent minor adverse significance

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the openness of the rural landscape surrounding the asset. The pylons and access road would permanently alter this rural setting to the north-east, east, and south-east (Figure 7.12.F250_HE41 Viewpoint HE41: Little Hyde Cottages and Farmhouse, Brentwood; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section G

Table A11.2.24 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section G

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn at Ingatestone Hall (1002174)/ Granary 130 meters north west of Ingatestone Hall (1298752)	SM/ Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The scheduled 'Barn at Ingatestone Hall' (1002174) and the Granary 130 Metres North West of Ingatestone Hall (1298752) are located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the assets as pylons TB191-195 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east of the asset (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the Ingatestone Hall complex, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Barn and granary at Ingatestone Hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the Barn and granary at Ingatestone Hall ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Moated site immediately east of Heron Hall (1016861)	SM	High	The 'Moated site immediately east of Heron Hall' (1016861) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Moated site immediately east of Heron Hall is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>During the operation phase, the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB212-TB222. The asset is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project pylons are almost 1.4 km distant and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the moated site at Heron Hall (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Church of St Giles (1208238)	Grade I Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade I listed 'Church of St Giles' (1208238) is located immediately west of Old Church Lane west of Billericay.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset as pylons TB200-TB203 are introduced c. 750 m to the east of the Church of St Giles. The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the churchyard due to its high, mature enclosing hedgerow. The hedgerow is, however, largely deciduous and it is possible glimpsed long-range views of the Project may be visible in the winter months. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the Church of St Giles is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the Church of St Giles (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Ingatstone Hall	Grade I Listed Building	High	The Grade I 'Ingatstone Hall' (1187315) is located within the wider	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of Ingatstone Hall is <b>high</b> , and the

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
(1187315)			<p>complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the assets as pylons TB191-195 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east the asset. The Project is likely to be visible in some locations in between intervening vegetation and tree lines from ground level within the Ingatestone Hall complex (Figure 7.12.F240_HE32(i) Viewpoint HE32(i): Ingatestone Hall, Brentwood and Figure 7.12.F241_HE32(ii) Viewpoint HE32(ii): Ingatestone Hall, Brentwood; document reference 7.12) and (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12), and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on Ingatestone Hall (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Gatehouse and courtyard ranges 30 metres west of Ingatestone Hall (1197286)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	The Grade II* listed 'Gatehouse and courtyard ranges 30 metres west of Ingatestone Hall' (1197286) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the gatehouse and courtyard ranges is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the assets as pylons TB191-195 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east the asset (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the Ingatestone Hall complex, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Church of All Saints (1297263)</p>	<p>Grade II* Listed Building</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of All Saints' (1297263) is located within Hutton village conservation area (CA17). The Church is located c. 450 m to the south of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>During the operation phase, the rural setting of the asset would be altered by pylons TB207-212 located c. 850 m to the east and further. The pylons would be likely visible from the asset due to the gaps in the vegetation surrounding the churchyard and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset to the east and</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the Church of All Saints is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Granary 5 metres south east of Heron Hall ( <b>1280702</b> )	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>south-east (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The 'Granary 5 metres south east of Heron Hall' (<b>1280702</b>) is situated within a complex of Grade II listed buildings c.1 km north-east of Herongate settlement.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB212-TB222. The asset is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project pylons are almost 1.4 km distant and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the Granary is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Lodge Cottages 170 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall ( <b>1187393</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>Lodge cottages 170 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (<b>1187393</b>) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of Lodge Cottages is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Barn (now workshop) 100 metres west of Ingatestone Hall ( <b>1187269</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Barn (now workshop) 100 metres west of Ingatestone Hall' (<b>1187269</b>) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset as pylons TB189-196 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east the asset. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset in all seasons due to the proximity of the Project and the openness of the landscape. The rural landscape to the north, north-east, east, and south-east would be permanently changed (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRoW near Ingatestone Hall</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>significant of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p> <p>The value of the Barn is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>) on the asset.</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Shelter shed and attached byre 100 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall (1197288)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>(Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>The 'Shelter shed and attached byre 100 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (1197288) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the assets as pylons TB191-195 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east the asset (Figure 7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12). The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the Ingatestone Hall complex, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Shelter shed is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Byre 80 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall ( <b>1197287</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Byre 80 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (<b>1197287</b>) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the assets as pylons TB191-195 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east the asset. The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the Ingatestone Hall complex, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Byre is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significant of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn 110 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall ( <b>1297189</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Barn 110 metres north west of Ingatestone Hall' (<b>1297189</b>) is located within the wider complex of Ingatestone Hall listed and non-designated buildings east of Hall Road and south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the assets as pylons TB191-195 are introduced c. 1.3 km to the east and north-east the asset. The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the Ingatestone Hall complex (Figure</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Barn is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			7.12.F191_VP7.09 Viewpoint 7.09: PRow near Ingatestone Hall (Ingatestone and Fryerning 39); document reference 7.12), and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
Wardropers Farmhouse (1293259)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Wardropers Farmhouse' (1293259) is located on the eastern side of Mountnessing Road, north-west of Billericay.</p> <p>The operational phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the addition of pylons TB198-202. The pylons would be clearly visible from the asset (Figure 7.12.F226_HE18 Viewpoint HE18: Wardropers Farmhouse; document reference 7.12).The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Wardropers Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, it is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Mountnessing Hall and Attached Walls, Railings, and Gates (1197325)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>Mountnessing Hall and Attached Walls, Railings, and Gates' (1197325) is situated off Old Church Lane west of Billericay.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset as pylons TB200-TB203 are introduced c. 850 m to the east of the Church of St Giles. The Project is, however, unlikely to be visible from</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Mountnessing Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effects ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>ground level within the churchyard due to its high, mature enclosing hedgerow. The hedgerow is, however, largely deciduous and it is possible glimpsed long-range views of the Project may be visible in the winter months. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Ellices (1297247)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>Ellices' (1297247) is located to the north the A129 Rayleigh Road east of Hutton Conservation Area.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB205-209. The Project, however, would be largely screened from the asset by the mature vegetation within its grounds, but it is possible the Project would be seen from the upper floors of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Ellices (1297247) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> prior to mitigation. Therefore, there is likely to be permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ) on the asset.
Stable and coach house at Hutton House (1197242)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>Stable and coach house at Hutton House' (1197242) is located at the north-eastern end of Hutton Village conservation Area (CA17), c. 600 m east of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the stable and coach house is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Hutton House (1279616)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>Hutton House' (1279616) is located at the north-eastern end of Hutton Village Conservation Area (CA17), c. 600 m east of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>During the operation phase the rural setting of the asset would be altered by pylons TB207-TB209 to the north-east, east and south-east. The closet pylon to the asset is TB208, c. 350 m to the east. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7 .03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>significance effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of Hutton House is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude prior to mitigation of impact considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Sudbury's Farmhouse (1170946)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Sudbury's Farmhouse' (1170946) is located on the southern side of Sudburys Farm Road, to the north-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB211-216 to the north-west, west and south-west. The closest pylon (TB214) to the asset is c. 290 m to the west. The Project would be visible from ground level of the asset (Figure 7.12.F227_HE19 Viewpoint HE19: Sudburys Farmhouse; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Sudbury's Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b> adverse. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Botney Hill Farmhouse (1322862)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Botney Hill Farmhouse' (1322862) is located on the northern side of Botney Hill Road to the south-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset through the addition of pylons TB215-220 to the north-east, east and south-east. The closest pylon to the asset is c. 160 m (pylon TB217) to the east. The Project is likely to be visible at ground level from the asset.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Botney Hill Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium</b> adverse. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on Botney Hill Farmhouse ( <b>significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Heron Hall (1197182)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Heron Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Stable range 20 metres south west of Heron Hall (1197183)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The 'Stable range 20 metres south west of Heron Hall' (1197183) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.  During the operation phase, the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB212-TB222. The asset is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project pylons are almost 1.4 km distant and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Stable Range is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Granary/Court Hall 90 metres south east of Heron Hall (1205471)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Granary/Court Hall is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Wayletts (1121459)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The 'Granary/Court Hall 90 metres south east of Heron Hall' (1205471) is located within the wider Heron Hall complex at the northern end of a private road to the north-east of Herongate.  During the operation phase, the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the permanent addition of pylons TB212-TB222. The asset is, however, well screened by tree cover in the Heron Hall complex and the Project pylons are almost 1.4 km distant and beyond. The magnitude of impact, therefore, is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Wayletts is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Dunton Hills (1208245)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>possible the tops of the pylons would be visible. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p> <p>'Dunton Hills' (1208245) is located c. 260 m to the north of Dunton Hills Golf Course to the east of West Horndon.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset as pylons TB224-TB227 are introduced in to the landscape (Figure 7.12.F190_VP7. 08a Viewpoint 7.08: Dunton Hills Farm (Dunton Garden Village; document reference 7.12). The pylons are, however, unlikely to be visible from ground level within the asset due to intervening well-established vegetation, and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	the value of Dunton Hills is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1122253)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The 'Church of St Mary' (1122253) is located north of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the addition of pylons TB228-TB231 c. 200 m to the north-west, west and south-west (Figure 7.12.F228_HE20 Viewpoint HE20:</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	the value of the Church of St Mary is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Dunton Hall, Church of St Mary; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is, therefore, considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Dunton Hall (1338380)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>'Dunton Hall' (<b>1338380</b>) is located west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the addition of pylons TB228-TB231 c. 200 m to the north-west, west and south-west (Figure 7.12.F228_HE20 Viewpoint HE20: Dunton Hall, Church of St Mary; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact would be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Dunton Hall is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
Hutton Village conservation area (CA17)	Conservation area	Medium	<p>Hutton Village conservation area (<b>CA17</b>) is located immediately to the south-east of the modern settlement of Hutton.</p> <p>During the operation phases the semi-rural setting of the asset would be altered by pylons TB207-TB211 c. 300 m to the east, north-east and south-east. The pylons are likely to be visible from the asset due to the gaps in the vegetation surrounding the asset along roadsides, field boundaries and</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the Hutton Village conservation area is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the landscaped parkland of the asset (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7. 03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Little Burstead conservation area ( <b>CA14</b> )	Conservation area	Medium	<p>The linear Little Burstead conservation area (<b>CA14</b>) is focused on Clock Hose Road, Rectory Road and Laindon Common Road in Little Burstead.</p> <p>During the operation phase, the rural setting of the asset would be altered by the addition of pylons TB214-219 to the north-west, west and south-west. There would be long-range views of the works from the south-western edge of the conservation area. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>the value of the Little Burstead conservation area is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Margaretting Hall ( <b>1152104</b> )	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Margaretting Hall' (<b>1152104</b>) is located south-west of Margaretting and north-east of Ingatstone</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB184-188. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	<p>The value of Margaretting Hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Ingatestone Over the River Wid (That Part in Brentwood District) (1207790)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The Grade II listed 'Ingatestone Over the River Wid (That Part in Brentwood District)' (1207790) is located along Ingatestone Road and Stock Lane and south-east of Ingatestone, with the River Wid crossing the Order Limits approximately 5 m north of pylon TB190.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB189-193. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset, however only a small proportion of the asset is likely to be impacted. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Ingatestone Over the River Wid (That Part in Brentwood District) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
White's Tyrrells Farmhouse (1236733)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'White's Tyrrells Farmhouse' (1236733) is located east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB191-196. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of White's Tyrrells Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).
Milestone and Cover Plate 45 Metres South West of Ivy Cottage (1247731)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Milestone and Cover Plate 45 Metres South West of Ivy Cottage' (1247731) is located east of the B1002 and south-west of Margaretting, and within the Order Limits.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the asset's setting. Therefore, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Milestone and Cover Plate 45 Metres South West of Ivy Cottage' (1247731) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>neutral</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Church of St Mary (1264434)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Mary' (1264434) is located east of Ingatestone</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB190-196. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.	The value of Church of St Mary (1264434) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>significant</b> ).
Church of St Margaret (1338504)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St Margaret' (1338504) is located northeast of Ingatestone</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Church of St Margaret is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB184-188. The pylons might be visible from the asset but there is mature vegetation surrounding the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

11.3.6 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens included in assessment in the ES within Project Section G.

## Section H

Table A11.2.25 Operational (and maintenance) effects to designated heritage assets - Section H

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south west of Doesgate Farm ( <b>1020998</b> )	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The Scheduled 'Bulphan world War II bombing decoy, 850 m and 890 m southwest of Doesgate Farm,' (<b>1020998</b>) is located east of Bulpham.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB234-TB239 and six SuDS basin locations. These Project elements would run parallel and adjacent to an existing pylon route which is located in between the asset and the Order Limits, thereby reducing the Project's impact. The Project would be visible, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The Project would run parallel and adjacent to an existing pylon route which is located in between the asset and the Order Limits, thereby reducing the Project's impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significant of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Crop mark complex, Orsett ( <b>1002134</b> )	Scheduled Monument	High	the scheduled monument 'Crop mark complex, Orsett' ( <b>1002134</b> ) is located north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operational phase would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the introduction of the pylons YYJ115-YYJ116 and ZB23-ZB22. The pylons would be visible, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p><b>adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place (1009286)</p>	<p>Scheduled Monument</p>	<p>High</p>	<p>The scheduled Monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (1009286) is located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a slight change in the rural setting of the asset with the realignment of existing pylon ZB17R and YYJ122R. The change in infrastructure is so slight it would cause no change. The Project includes an ecological mitigation area c. 20 m to c. 250 m to the south of the asset that would partially screen the Project from the asset. The introduction of tree planting in this location would be a change to the current open arable landscape. The principal contribution of the setting to the value of the asset</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>is its topographical location on the side of a dry valley and this would not be affected by planting. Consequently, the change on setting is considered to result in a magnitude of impact of <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
Church of St James (1111541)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II* listed 'Church of St James' (1111541) is located south of Church Road in West Tilbury.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8 and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the churchyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Saffron Garden (1111557)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Saffron Garden' (1111557) is located north of the Stanford le Hope Bypass.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the rural setting of the landscape through the introduction of pylons TB250-TB254 and four SuDS basins. The pylons would be visible, and they would alter the character of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
<p>Walls at Saffron Garden (1111558)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Walls at Saffron Garden' (1111558) is located north of the Stanford le Hope Bypass.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the rural setting of the landscape through the introduction of pylons TB250-TB254 and four SuDS basins. The pylons would be visible, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<p>Lower Dunton Hall (1111583)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lower Dunton Hall' (1111583) is located off Lower Dunton Road and east-north-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the introduction of the pylons TB234-TB235. The pylons would be visible, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
West Tilbury Hall (1111625)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'West Tilbury Hall' (1111625) is situated south of Church Road in West Tilbury.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8 and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the churchyard and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Lorkins Farmhouse (1111626)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Lorkins Farmhouse' (1111626) is situated on the north side of Conway's Road north of Orsett.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The pylon corridor lies c. 930 m to the east of the asset and beyond the extent its setting.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Barn to north of Wyfields Farmhouse (1111646)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	The Grade II listed 'The Barn to north of Wyfields Farmhouse' (1111646) is located north-west of Horndon on the Hill.	No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB243-TB247 and two SuDS basin locations. The Project would be visible, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F252_HE43 Viewpoint HE43: Wyfields Farmhouse and Barn to North of Wyfields Farmhouse, Thurrock; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
<p>Timber Framed Barn at Ongar Hall (<b>1111650</b>)</p>	<p>Grade II Listed Building</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Timber Frames Barn at Ongar Hall' (<b>1111650</b>) is located on the eastern side of Brentwood Road to the north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB243 - TB247 and two SuDS basin locations. It possible the upper portions of the pylons may be glimpsed through the buildings of the industrial park to the east of asset, slightly altering the character of the rural setting of the asset. The</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
Weatherboarded Barn at Lorkins Farm (1146631)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'The Weatherboarded Barn at Lorkins Farm' (1146631) is situated on the north flank of Conway's road north of Orsett.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The pylon corridor lies c. 930 m to the east of the asset and beyond the extent its setting.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Doesgate Farmhouse (1146666)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Doesgate Farmhouse' (1146666) is located off Doesgate Lane, east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB235 - TB237 and three SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible between and above residential properties to the west, slightly altering the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Granary to North East of Manor Farmhouse (1146758)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Granary to North East of Manor Farmhouse' (1146758) is located on the eastern side of West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be visible between from the asset and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is (1146758) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>minor adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
Barn to north of West Tilbury Hall (1308889)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Barn to north of West Tilbury Hall' (1308889) is located south of Church Road in West Tilbury.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8 and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the churchyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Wyfields Farmhouse (1337057)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Wyfields Farmhouse' (1337057) is located on the northern side of Black Bush Lane and north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB243 - TB247 and two SuDS basin locations. The Project would be visible, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset (Figure 7.12.F252_HE43 Viewpoint HE43: Wyfields Farmhouse and Barn to North of Wyfields Farmhouse, Thurrock; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No additional mitigation measures are proposed during the operation phase as any measures designed to lessen the visual impact of the Project would be of a scale that would visually adversely alter the setting of the asset.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
Marshall's Cottages (1337058)	Grade II* Listed Building	High	<p>The Grade II listed 'Marshall's Cottages' (1337058) is located on the eastern side of West Tilbury Conservation Area (CA28).</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ128 - YYJ130 and ZB9 - ZB10, and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible between and above the mature vegetation and development to the</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			east. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
Ongar Hall (1337060)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Ongar Hall' (1337060) is located on the eastern side of Brentwood Road to the north-west of Horndon on the Hill.</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB243 - TB247 and two SuDS basin locations. It possible the upper portions of the pylons may be glimpsed through the buildings of the industrial park to the east of asset, slightly altering the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Weatherboarded Cartlodge at Lorkins Farm (1337085)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Weatherboarded Cartlodge at Lorkins Farm' (1337085) is located north of Conway's road and north of Orsett.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>. The pylon corridor lies c. 930 m to the east of the asset and beyond the extent its setting.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the hall is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
Manor Farmhouse (1337089)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Manor Farmhouse' (1337089) is located on the eastern side of West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8, and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be visible between from the asset and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Earthworks near church, West Tilbury (1002199)	Scheduled Monument	High	<p>The Scheduled Monument 'Earthworks near Church, West Tilbury' (1002199) is located along Church Road, within the West Tilbury conservation area (CA28).</p> <p>The operational phase would see a change in the semi-rural landscape of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ129 - YYJ130 and ZB8 and two SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the settlement and the Church of Saint James' churchyard. The pylons would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the scheduled monument is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significant of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
West Tilbury (CA28)	Conservation Area	High	<p>The West Tilbury conservation area (CA28) is focused on Rectory Road and Church Road within West Tilbury.</p> <p>The operation phase would see a change in the rural landscape setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ126 - YYJ130 and ZB8 - ZB12, and seven SuDS basin locations. The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation of the conservation area and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significant of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
Thatched Cottage (1111644)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed 'Thatched Cottage' (1111644) is located along Baker Street in Thurrock.</p> <p>The operational phase of the Project would see a change in the semi-rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ116 - YYJ118 and ZB20 - ZB22. The tops of the pylons would be visible however, due to the A1089 and mature tree cover in the surroundings of the assets the views would be</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

Name and List Entry No.	Designation	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1 and 2 Grays Corner Cottages (1337056)	Grade II Listed Building	Medium	<p>The Grade II listed '1 and 2 Grays Corner Cottages' (1337056) is located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The operational phase of the Project would see a change in the semi-rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons YYJ116 - YYJ118 and ZB20 - ZB22. The tops of the pylons would be visible however, due to the A1089 and mature tree cover in the surroundings of the assets the views would be limited. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b> adverse. Therefore, there is likely to be a permanent <b>minor</b> adverse significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

11.3.7 There are no Registered Parks and Gardens included in assessment in the ES within Project Section H

# Non-Designated Heritage Assets

## Section A

Table A11.2.26 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION A ORDER LIMITS</b>					
<b>1004</b>	South Norfolk Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1004</b>) is Heywood Lane, a Protected Lane, south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1004</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1004</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1005</b>	HER-MNF10033	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1005</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking surface finds south-east of Little Green.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1005</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1009</b>	South Norfolk Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1009</b>) is Darrow Road, a Protected Lane to the east of Bressingham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1009</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1009</b>).</p>		
<b>1010</b>	HER-MNF10106	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1010</b>) is the site of the deserted medieval village of Kenningham located east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1010</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1010</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG12 and RG13 are located within the bounds of the asset and pylon RG14 just outside to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1010</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1013	HER-MNF10900	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1013</b>) is the site of a former moated medieval house (Bush Hall) Waveney Valley located south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>1013</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1013</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG85-87 are located immediately adjacent to the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1020	HER-MNF10927	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1020</b>) is the site of a concentration of pot boilers north-east of Winfarthing and interpreted and possible evidence of a burnt mound.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1020</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1020).		
1024	HER-MNF10974	Medium	<p>The asset (1024) is the site of a medieval moat east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1024) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1024).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG69 is located within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1024) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1025	HER-MNF68005	Low	<p>The asset (1025) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Snow Street.</p> <p>As the asset (1025) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1029	HER-MNF9984	Low	The asset (1029) is five partially surviving medieval strip fields east of	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Fundenhall.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1029</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1029</b> ).		
<b>1035</b>	HER-MNF9962	Low	The asset ( <b>1035</b> ) is the site of flint tool finds in a gravel quarry west-north-west of Flordon.  As the asset ( <b>1035</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>1037</b>	HER-MNF13628	Low	The asset ( <b>1037</b> ) is the site of a former WWI military airfield north-north-east of Winfarthing.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1037</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1037</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>1049</b>	HER-MNF17388	Low	The asset ( <b>1049</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			fieldwalking finds west of Cargate Common.  As the asset ( <b>1049</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1055</b>	HER-MNF74157	Low	The asset ( <b>1055</b> ) are linear and discrete geophys anomalies possibly brick kilns on land east of Mulbarton.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1055</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1055</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>1059</b>	HER-MNF17828	Low	The asset ( <b>1059</b> ) is the site of metal detector finds west of Cargate Common.  As the asset ( <b>1059</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>1062</b>	HER-MNF17869	Low	The asset ( <b>1062</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Cargate Common.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1062</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1064</b>	HER-MNF17882	Low	The asset ( <b>1064</b> ) is the former Winfarthing Great Park east of Winfarthing.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>1064</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1064</b> ).		
<b>1065</b>	HER-MNF17956	Low	The asset ( <b>1065</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds west of Cargate Common.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>1065</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1070</b>	HER-MNF19509	Low	The asset ( <b>1070</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds east of Bunwell Hill.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>1070</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1072	HER-MNF19723	Low	<p>The asset (1072) is the cropmarks of a strip field system north-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1072) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1072).</p>	N/A	N/A
1087	HER-MNF23091	Low	<p>The asset (1087) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds north-east of Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>As the asset (1087) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1088	HER-MNF22875	Low	<p>The asset (1088) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>As the asset (1088) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1091	HER-MNF23103	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1091</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1091</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1092	HER-MNF23272	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1092</b>) is the site of prehistoric fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1092</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1093	HER-MNF23844	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1093</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1093</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1095	HER-MNF67730	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1095</b>) is the site of a metal detector find north of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1095</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1100	HER-MNF23802	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1100</b>) is the site of a metal detector find south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1100</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1103	HER-MNF24358	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1103</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-south-east of Fornsett End.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1103</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1104	South Norfolk Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1104</b>) is Heywood Lane (2), a Protected Lane, east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1104</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1104</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1105	HER-MNF23849	Low	<p>The asset (1105) is the site of metal detector finds located south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (1105) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1111	HER-MNF25181	Low	<p>The asset (1111) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds north of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the asset (1111) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1119	HER-MNF28669	Low	<p>The asset (1119) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1119) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1120	HER-MNF25179	Low	<p>The asset (1120) is a scatter of burnt flint south-east of Bunwell and interpreted as a possible burnt mound.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1120</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1120</b>).</p>		
1121	HER-MNF25180	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1121</b>) is the site of a metal detector find north of Cargate Common</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1121</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1122	HER-MNF9750	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1122</b>) is the site of the Humbleyard Hundred Moot south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1122</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1122</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG3-5. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1122</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1130	HER-MNF30205	Medium	<p>pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>1130</b>) is a site of a possible Romano-British settlement and Anglo-Saxon cemetery south-west of Flordon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1130</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1130</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG29 is located within the bounds of the asset with pylons RG28 and RG30 immediately to the north and south respectively. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1130</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1133	HER-MNF31447	Low	<p>The asset (1133) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the asset (1133) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1137	HER-MNF31943	Low	<p>The asset (1137) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the asset (1137) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1138	HER-MNF31308	Low	<p>The asset (1138) is the site of metal detector finds south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the asset (1138) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1139	HER-MNF31949	Medium	<p>The asset (1139) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-west of Forncett Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (1139) has been removed from the Order Limits there</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1156	HER-MNF33192	Low	As the asset (1156) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1158	HER-MNF39241	Low	The asset (1158) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.  As the asset (1158) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1159	HER-MNF39286	Low	The asset (1159) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Diss.  As the asset (1159) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1160	HER-MNF9751	Low	The asset (1160) is an undated cropmark east of Swardeston.  All the impacts on asset (1160) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1160).		
1161	HER-MNF39826	Low	The asset (1161) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.  As the asset (1161) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1166	HER-MNF39099	Low	The asset (1166) is the site of a metal detector find south-east of Fundenhall.  As the asset (1166) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1167	HER-MNF39999	Low	The asset (1167) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds on the western flank of Forncett Saint Mary.  As the asset (1167) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1168	HER-MNF40010	Medium	The asset (1168) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset (1168) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1168</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1168</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG32 is located within the bounds of the asset with pylons RG31 and RG33 immediately to the north and south respectively. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	as part of the application in this location.	of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
1175	HER-MNF41015	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1175</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1175</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1176	HER-MNF39840	Low	The asset ( <b>1176</b> ) is the site of a metal detector find north-east of Tacolneston.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1176</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1179</b>	HER-MNF41236	Low	The asset ( <b>1179</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-east of Bressingham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>1179</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1184</b>	HER-MNF42103	Low	The asset ( <b>1184</b> ) is a scatter of Bronze Age flints and excavated archaeological features east of Swardeston.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>1184</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1184</b> ).		
<b>1185</b>	HER-MNF42104	Low	The asset ( <b>1185</b> ) is a scatter of prehistoric finds and excavated archaeological features east of Swardeston.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (1185) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1185).</p>		
1189	HER-MNF42480	Low	<p>The asset (1189) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1189) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1195	HER-MNF44227	Low	<p>The asset (1195) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (1195) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1217	HER-MNF66315	Low	<p>The asset (1217) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (1217) has been</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1218	HER-MNF60099	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1218</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1218</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1222	HER-MNF60186	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1222</b>) is the cropmarks of likely post medieval drainage features north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1222</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1222</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1223	HER-MNF60305	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1223</b>) is the cropmarks of post medieval field boundaries south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1223</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1223).		
1225	HER-MNF66314	Low	<p>The asset (1225) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (1225) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1227	HER-MNF74161	Medium	<p>The asset (1227) is the results of a geophysical survey interpreted as medieval occupation to the east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1227) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1227).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG13 is located within the bounds of the asset. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1227) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
1228	HER-MNF57726	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1228</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1228</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1229	HER-MNF61840	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1229</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1229</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1230	HER-MNF61841	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1230</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1230</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1232	HER-MNF58527	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1232</b>) is the deserted medieval village of Gowthorpe west of Swardeston.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1232</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1232</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG3-4 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1232</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1233	HER-MNF61842	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1233</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1233</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1234	HER-MNF61861	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1234</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south-south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1234</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1237	HER-MNF58532	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1237</b>) is fragmentary linear cropmarks east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1237</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1237</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1238	HER-MNF61931	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1238</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1238</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1239	HER-MNF61933	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1239</b>) is the site of post medieval fieldwalking finds east of Mulbarton.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1239</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1240	HER-MNF58671	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1240</b>) is the site of a former WWII searchlight battery, pillbox and associated structures east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1240</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1240</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1246	HER-MNF66170	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1246</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>For below ground remains: as the asset (<b>1246</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG68-69 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1246</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
1252	HER-MNF63256	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1252</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1252</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1254	HER-MNF60187	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1254</b>) is the cropmarks of rectilinear enclosures north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1254</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1254</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1255	HER-MNF60188	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1255</b>) is cropmarks of possible Romano-British dates north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1255</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1255).		
1263	HER-MNF63452	Low	<p>The asset (1263) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1263) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1264	HER-MNF60306	Low	<p>The asset (1264) is cropmarks of probable post medieval date south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1264) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1264).</p>	N/A	N/A
1265	HER-MNF63549	Low	<p>The asset (1265) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (1265) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1266	HER-MNF63550	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1266</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1266</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1267	HER-MNF61409	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1267</b>) is the site of a Roman metal detector find south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1267</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1268	HER-MNF63551	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1268</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1268</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1270	HER-MNF73450	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1270</b>) is excavated Bronze Age remains south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1270</b>) would take</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1270</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1270).</p> <p>For the setting: the setting of the asset makes only a minor contribution to its value. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1271	HER-MNF63783	Low	<p>The asset (1271) is excavated multi-period archaeological features and finds assemblage east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1271) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1271).</p>	N/A	N/A
1278	HER-MNF64828	Low	<p>The asset (1278) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south-south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (1278) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1279	HER-MNF28732	Medium	<p>All the impacts on asset (1279) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1279).</p> <p>For the setting: for the operation stage the setting of the asset (1279) does not extend to the Order Limits. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1270) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change (not significant)</b>.</p>
1280	HER-MNF65036	Low	<p>The asset (1280) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (1280) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1281	HER-MNF65388	Low	<p>The asset (1281) is the site of a post medieval metal detector find south-east of Bunwell.</p> <p>As the asset (1281) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1286	HER-MNF66317	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1286</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1286</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1287	HER-MNF74159	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1287</b>) is a complex of geophysical anomalies east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1287</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1287</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1290	HER-MNF73128	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1290</b>) is the site of a Roman metal detector find east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1290</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1291	HER-MNF67757	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1291</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Shelfanger.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1291</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1293</b>	HER-MNF68768	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1293</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1293</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1300</b>	HER-MNF65035	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1300</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1300</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1302</b>	HER-MNF65037	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1302</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1302</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1312	HER-MNF9770	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1312</b>) is the former Henstead Union Workhouse and Vale Hospital west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1312</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1312</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1328	HER-MNF40603	Low	<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1328</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1328</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG23 is located within the bounds of the asset and pylon RG24 is introduced to the south-west. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1328</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1332	HER-MNF74736	Low	<p>The asset (1332) is the cropmark of the ring ditch of a possible barrow within the possible Bronze Age barrow cemetery (1328) north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1332) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1332).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG23 is located partially within the bounds of the asset and pylon RG24 is introduced to the south-west. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1332) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1333	HER-MNF74967	Low	<p>The asset (1333) is excavated Romano-British archaeological remains east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1333) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1333).		
1346	HER-MNF30393	Low	<p>The asset (1346) is the site of a multi-period metal detector finds, located east of Wreningham.</p> <p>As the asset (1346) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1353	HER-MNF57116	Low	<p>The asset (1353) is the site of geophysical survey anomalies, located almost entirely within the Order Limits adjacent to the Norwich Main Substation.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1353) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1353).</p>	N/A	N/A
1364	HER-MNF75258	Medium	The asset (1364) is the site of Romano-British archaeological settlement remains located partially within the Order Limits c. 950 m south-east of Swardeston.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1364) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1364</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1364</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG4 a RG5 are located within the bounds of the asset and pylons RG3 and RG6 are introduced to the north and south respectively. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1366	HER-MNF77380	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1366</b>) is the site of geophysical anomalies and excavated archaeological features, located south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1366</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1366).		
1382	HER-MNF28442	Low	<p>The asset (1382) is the site of a multi-period metal detector finds, located east of Wreningham.</p> <p>As the asset (1382) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1384	HER-MNF13580	Low	<p>The asset (1384) is the remnant of the former route of the Wymondham to Forncett Railway Line.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1384) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1384).</p>	N/A	N/A
1500	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1500) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-east of Forncett End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1500) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1500).		
1502	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1502) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1502) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1502).</p>	N/A	N/A
1503	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1503) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1503) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1503).</p>	N/A	N/A
1504	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1504) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1504) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1504).		
1505	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1505) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1505) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1505).</p>	N/A	N/A
1506	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1506) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1506) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1506).</p>	N/A	N/A
1507	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1507) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1507) would take place during the construction</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1507).		
1508	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1508) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Flordon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1508) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1508).</p>	N/A	N/A
1508	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1509) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Newton Flotman.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1509) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1509).</p>	N/A	N/A
1511	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (1511) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-west of Flordon.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1511</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1511</b>).</p>		
1512	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1512</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1512</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1512</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1513	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1513</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks, located east of Bressingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1513</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1513</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1516	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1516</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks south of Forncett End.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1516</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1516</b> ).	N/A	N/A
1517	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1517</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1517</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1517</b> ).	N/A	N/A
1518	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>1518</b> ) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1518</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1518</b> ).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1519	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1519</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Cartgate Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1519</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1519</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1521	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1521</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1521</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1521</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1522	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1522</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1522</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1522).		
1524	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (1524) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Snow Street.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (1524) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1524).		
1525	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (1525) is post medieval linear cropmarks north-east of Winfarthing.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (1525) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1525).		
1526	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (1526) is post medieval linear cropmarks east of Winfarthing.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (1526) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1526).		
1528	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1528) is post medieval linear cropmarks east-north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1528) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1528).</p>	N/A	N/A
1532	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1532) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-south-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1532) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1532).</p>	N/A	N/A
1535	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (1535) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Snow Street.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1540	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1535</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1535</b>).</p> <p>The asset (<b>1540</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1540</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1540</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1541	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1541</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks south-west of Swainthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1541</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1541</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1542	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1542</b>) is medieval/post medieval linear cropmarks and an undated sub-circular enclosure south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1542</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1542</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1545	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1545</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1545</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1545</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1546	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1546</b>) is post medieval linear cropmarks west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1546</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1546).		
1800	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1800) is post medieval cropmark complex west of Dunston .</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1800) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1800).</p>	N/A	N/A
1801	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1801) is post medieval linear cropmarks north of Marsh Lane.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1801) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1801).</p>	N/A	N/A
1805	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1805) is post medieval linear cropmarks of field boundaries north of Millway Lane.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1805) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1805).		
1551	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1551) is the site of a former pond south-west of Dunston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1551) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1551).</p>	N/A	N/A
1552	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1552) is the site of a former pond south-west of Dunston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1552) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1552).</p>	N/A	N/A
1555	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1555) is the parish boundary between Swardeston and Stoke Holy Cross.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1555) would take place during the construction</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1555).		
1557	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1557) is an area of woodland and ponds south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1557) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1557).</p>	N/A	N/A
1558	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1558) is the site of a former pond south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1558) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1558).</p>	N/A	N/A
1559	Project Mapped Historic	Negligible	The asset (1559) is the site of a former pond south-east of Swardeston.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Map Feature		All the impacts on asset ( <b>1559</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1559</b> ).		
<b>1560</b>	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1560</b>) is a section of the parish boundary between Stoke Holy Cross and Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1560</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1560</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1561</b>	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1561</b>) is the site of a former gravel pit east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1561</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1561</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1562	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1562</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1562</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1562</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1563	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1563</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1563</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1563</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1564	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1564</b>) is the site of a former pond east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1564</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1564</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1566	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1566</b>) is the site of a former pond north-north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1566</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1566</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1569	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1569</b>) is the site of a former pond north-north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1569</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1569</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1581	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1581</b>) is the site of a former pond south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1581</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1581).		
1582	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1582) is the site of a former pond south-east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1582) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1582).</p>	N/A	N/A
1583	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1583) is the site of a former plantation.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1583) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1583).</p>	N/A	N/A
1593	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1593) is the grounds of the Grade II listed 'Kenningham Hall' (1373056) east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1593) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1593).		
1595	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (1595) is a undefined boundary south of Toprow.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1595) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1595).</p>	N/A	N/A
1596	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1596) is the site of a former plantation south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1596) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1596).</p>	N/A	N/A
1597	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1597) is a former plantation south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1597) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1597).		
1599	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1599) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1599) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1599).</p>	N/A	N/A
1600	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1600) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1600) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1600).</p>	N/A	N/A
1607	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1601) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1601) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1601).		
1607	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1607) is the site of a former pond north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1607) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1607).</p>	N/A	N/A
1613	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1613) is the site of a former sand and gravel pit west of Flordon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1613) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1613).</p>	N/A	N/A
1616	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1616) is a field boundary west of pylon RG026 and south of Toplow.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1616) would take place during the construction</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1616).		
1617	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1617) is the former Wymondham and Forncett Railway south of Toprow.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1617) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1617).</p>	N/A	N/A
1619	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1619) is the site of a former pond south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1619) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1619).</p>	N/A	N/A
1625	Project Mapped Historic	Negligible	The asset (1625) is the site of a former pond, located east-south-east of Tacolneston.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Map Feature		All the impacts on asset ( <b>1625</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1625</b> ).		
<b>1626</b>	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1626</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east-south-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1626</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1626</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1628</b>	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1628</b>) is a parish boundary that crosses the Order Limits in two locations between pylons RG31 and RG37.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1628</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1628</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1630	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1630</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1626</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1626</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1632	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1632</b>) is the site of a former trigonometry point, located east-north-east of Forncett End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1632</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1632</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1644	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1644</b>) is a section of the parish boundary between Forcett and Bunwell south of Forncett End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1644</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1644).		
1645	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1645) is a section of the parish boundary between Carleton Rode and Bunwell and Carleton Rode and Tibenham west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1644) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1644).</p>	N/A	N/A
1646	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset (1646) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1646).	N/A	N/A
1647	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1647) is the site of a former pond south-east of Little Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1647) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1647).		
1650	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1650) is a field boundary south-east of Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1650) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1650).</p>	N/A	N/A
1653	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1653) is a possible important hedgerow north-west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1653) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1653).</p>	N/A	N/A
1659	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1659) is the site of a former pond west-north-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1659) would take place during the construction</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1659).		
1665	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (1665) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.  All the impacts on asset (1665) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1665).	N/A	N/A
1666	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset (1666) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1666).	N/A	N/A
1670	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset (1670) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1670).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1678	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset ( <b>1678</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1678</b> ).	N/A	N/A
1680	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset ( <b>1680</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1680</b> ).	N/A	N/A
1681	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1681</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1681</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1681</b> ).	N/A	N/A
1682	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>1682</b> ) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1682</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1682).		
1683	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1683) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1683) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1683).</p>	N/A	N/A
1684	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1684) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1684) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1684).</p>	N/A	N/A
1684	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1685) is the site of a former pond south-west of Tibenham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1685) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1685).		
1691	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1691) is a sinuous parish boundary which crosses the Order Limits in four locations between pylons RG57 and RG72.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1691) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1691).</p>	N/A	N/A
1692	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset (1692) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1692).	N/A	N/A
1694	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	All the impacts on asset (1694) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1694).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1696	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1696</b>) is the site of a former pond north-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1696</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1696</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1697	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1697</b>) is the limits of the estate associated with the Grade II listed Heywood Manor (<b>1049736</b>) north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1697</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1697</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1699	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1699</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1699</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1708	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1708) is the site of a now overgrown pond east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1708) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1708).</p>	N/A	N/A
1711	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1711) is the site of a former pond east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1711) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1711).</p>	N/A	N/A
1715	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1715) is the site of a extant but overgrown pond north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1715) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1715).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1722	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1722) is the site of a former pond east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1722) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1722).</p>	N/A	N/A
1723	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1723) is a copse, located east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1723) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1723).</p>	N/A	N/A
1733	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1733) is the site of a former building and associated yard south-south-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1733) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1733).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1737	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1737) is the site of a former pond east-north-east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1737) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1737).</p>	N/A	N/A
1743	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1743) is the parish boundary between Heywood and Roydon that crosses the Order Limits east of pylon RG078 and east-north-east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1743) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1743).</p>	N/A	N/A
1745	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1745) is the extant Darrow Farm and its grounds north-east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1745) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1745).		
1753	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1753) is an extant copse east of Bressingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1753) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1753).</p>	N/A	N/A
1755	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1755) is a possible important hedgerow east of Bressingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1755) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1755).</p>	N/A	N/A
1764	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1764) is the site of a former pond south of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1764) would take place during the construction</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1764).		
1771	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1771) is a possibly important hedgerow north-north-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1771) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1771).</p>	N/A	N/A
1772	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1772) is a possibly important hedgerow south-east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1772) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1772).</p>	N/A	N/A
1773	Project Mapped Historic	Low	The asset (1773) is a possibly important hedgerow south-east of Toprow.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Map Feature		All the impacts on asset (1773) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1773).		
1774	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1774) is a possibly important hedgerow west of Baynard's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1774) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1774).</p>	N/A	N/A
1775	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1775) is a possibly important hedgerow north-east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1775) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1775).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1776	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1776) is a possibly important hedgerow east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1776) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1776).</p>	N/A	N/A
1777	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1777) is a possibly important hedgerow south-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1777) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1777).</p>	N/A	N/A
1778	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1778) is a possibly important hedgerow east Shelfanger.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1778) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1778).		
1779	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1779) is a possibly important hedgerow north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1779) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1779).</p>	N/A	N/A
1780	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1780) is a possibly important hedgerow east of Bressingham Common.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1780) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1780).</p>	N/A	N/A
1871	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1871) is a parish boundary that crosses the Order Limits in four locations between pylons RG17 and RG27.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1871) would</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1781).		
1082	HER-MNF21585	Low	<p>The asset (1082) comprises Late Bronze Age to Roman findspots east of Winfarthing</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1082) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1082).</p>	N/A	N/A
1089	HER-MNF23391	Medium	<p>The asset (1089) is a multi-period findspot, house and deserted settlement site south of Forncett End</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1089) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1089).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1089) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>setting of the asset as pylons RG40-42 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
1164	HER-MNF39828	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1164</b>) comprises a multi-period findspots site north-east of Tacolneston</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1164</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1164</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1543	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1543</b>) comprises a cropmark of a possible penannular ditch south-east of Toprow</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1543</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1543</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1543</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the introduction of pylon RG24 to the east and pylon RG25 to the south-west. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
1580	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1580</b>) 'Page's Plantation', a woodland plantation is located south-east of Mulbarton</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1580</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1580</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1608	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1608</b>) comprises the estate grounds of 'Flordon Hall' north of Flordon</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1608</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1608</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1615	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1615</b>) is an important hedgerow present on a map from 1882 south-east of Toprow</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1615</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1615</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1654	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1654</b>) is an area of enclosed field systems and important hedgerows north-west of Cargate Common</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1654</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1654</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1704	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>1704</b>) is a former pond north-east of Winfarthing</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1704</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1704).		
1734	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1734) is a former pond north of Diss</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1734) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1734).</p>	N/A	N/A
1747	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (1747) is a former pond east of Bressingham Common</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1747) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1747).</p>	N/A	N/A
1754	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (1754) is an important hedgerow south-west of Baynard's Green</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1754) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1754).		
1005	HER-MNF10033	Low	<p>The asset (1005) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking surface finds south-east of Little Green.</p> <p>As the asset (1005) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1010	HER-MNF10106	Medium	<p>The asset (1010) is the site of the deserted medieval village of Kenningham located east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1010) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1010).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG12 and RG13 are located within the bounds of the asset and pylon RG14 just outside to the south. The magnitude of impact on the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1010) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
1013	HER-MNF10900	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1013</b>) is the site of a former moated medieval house (Bush Hall) Waveney Valley located south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>1013</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1013</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG85-87 are located immediately adjacent to the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1020	HER-MNF10927	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1020</b>) is the site of a concentration of pot boilers north-east of Winfarthing and interpreted and possible evidence of a burnt mound.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1020</b>) would take place during the construction</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1020).		
1024	HER-MNF10974	Medium	<p>The asset (1024) is the site of a medieval moat east of Shelfanger.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1024) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1024).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG69 is located within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1024) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1025	HER-MNF68005	Low	<p>The asset (1025) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Snow Street.</p> <p>As the asset (1025) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1029	HER-MNF9984	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1029</b>) is five partially surviving medieval strip fields east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1029</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1029</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1035	HER-MNF9962	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1035</b>) is the site of flint tool finds in a gravel quarry west-north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1035</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1037	HER-MNF13628	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1037</b>) is the site of a former WWI military airfield north-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1037</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1037</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1049	HER-MNF17388	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1049</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1049</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1055	HER-MNF74157	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1055</b>) are linear and discrete geophys anomalies possibly brick kilns on land east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1055</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1055</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1059	HER-MNF17828	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1059</b>) is the site of metal detector finds west of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1059</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1062	HER-MNF17869	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1062</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			fieldwalking finds west of Cargate Common.  As the asset ( <b>1062</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1064</b>	HER-MNF17882	Low	The asset ( <b>1064</b> ) is the former Winfarthing Great Park east of Winfarthing.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1064</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1064</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>1065</b>	HER-MNF17956	Low	The asset ( <b>1065</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds west of Cargate Common.  As the asset ( <b>1065</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>1070</b>	HER-MNF19509	Low	The asset ( <b>1070</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds east of Bunwell Hill.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1070</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1678	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1072</b>) is the cropmarks of a strip field system north-north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1072</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1072</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1087	HER-MNF23091	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1087</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds north-east of Bunwell Hill.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1087</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1088	HER-MNF22875	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1088</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Winfarthing.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1088</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1091	HER-MNF23103	Low	The asset ( <b>1091</b> ) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.  As the asset ( <b>1091</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1092	HER-MNF23272	Low	The asset ( <b>1092</b> ) is the site of prehistoric fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.  As the asset ( <b>1092</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1093	HER-MNF23844	Low	The asset ( <b>1093</b> ) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south of Forncett End.  As the asset ( <b>1093</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1095	HER-MNF67730	Low	The asset ( <b>1095</b> ) is the site of a metal detector find north of Flordon.  As the asset ( <b>1095</b> ) has been	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1100	HER-MNF23802	Low	<p>The asset (1100) is the site of a metal detector find south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (1100) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1103	HER-MNF24358	Low	<p>The asset (1103) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-south-east of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the asset (1103) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1105	HER-MNF23849	Low	<p>The asset (1105) is the site of metal detector finds located south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (1105) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1111	HER-MNF25181	Low	<p>The asset (1111) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds north of Cargate Common.</p> <p>As the asset (1111) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1119	HER-MNF28669	Low	<p>The asset (1119) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1119) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1120	HER-MNF25179	Low	<p>The asset (1120) is a scatter of burnt flint south-east of Bunwell and interpreted as a possible burnt mound.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1120) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1120).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1121	HER-MNF25180	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1121</b>) is the site of a metal detector find north of Cargate Common</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1121</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1122	HER-MNF9750	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1122</b>) is the site of the Humbleyard Hundred Moot south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>1122</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1122</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG3-5. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>1122</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1130	HER-MNF30205	Medium	The asset ( <b>1130</b> ) is a site of a possible Romano-British settlement	No operation phase mitigation is proposed	For the below ground remains: N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and Anglo-Saxon cemetery south-west of Flordon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1130) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1130).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG29 is located within the bounds of the asset with pylons RG28 and RG30 immediately to the north and south respectively. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (1130) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1133	HER-MNF31447	Low	<p>The asset (1133) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the asset (1133) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1137	HER-MNF31943	Low	<p>The asset (1137) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Forncett End.</p> <p>As the asset (1137) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1138	HER-MNF31308	Low	<p>The asset (1138) is the site of metal detector finds south-east of Fundenhall.</p> <p>As the asset (1138) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1139	HER-MNF31949	Medium	<p>The asset (1139) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-west of Forncett Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (1139) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1156	HER-MNF33192	Low	<p>As the asset (1156) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1158	HER-MNF39241	Low	<p>The asset (1158) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1158) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1159	HER-MNF39286	Low	<p>The asset (1159) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Diss.</p> <p>As the asset (1159) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1160	HER-MNF9751	Low	<p>The asset (1160) is an undated cropmark east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (1160) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1160).</p>	N/A	N/A
1161	HER-MNF39826	Low	<p>The asset (1161) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1161</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
1166	HER-MNF39099	Low	The asset ( <b>1166</b> ) is the site of a metal detector find south-east of Fundenhall.  As the asset ( <b>1166</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1167	HER-MNF39999	Low	The asset ( <b>1167</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds on the western flank of Forncett Saint Mary.  As the asset ( <b>1167</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
1168	HER-MNF40010	Medium	The asset ( <b>1168</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-east of Tacolneston.  For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset ( <b>1168</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset ( <b>1168</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>no further impacts on asset (1168).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG32 is located within the bounds of the asset with pylons RG31 and RG33 immediately to the north and south respectively. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
1175	HER-MNF41015	Low	<p>The asset (1175) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1175) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1176	HER-MNF39840	Low	<p>The asset (1176) is the site of a metal detector find north-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1176) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1179	HER-MNF41236	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1179</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-east of Bressingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1179</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1184	HER-MNF42103	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1184</b>) is a scatter of Bronze Age flints and excavated archaeological features east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1184</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1184</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
1185	HER-MNF42104	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1185</b>) is a scatter of prehistoric finds and excavated archaeological features east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1185</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			so no further impacts on asset (1185).		
1189	HER-MNF42480	Low	<p>The asset (1189) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-east of Tacolneston.</p> <p>As the asset (1189) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1195	HER-MNF44227	Low	<p>The asset (1195) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (1195) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1217	HER-MNF66315	Low	<p>The asset (1217) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north-west of Flordon.</p> <p>As the asset (1217) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
1218	HER-MNF60099	Low	<p>The asset (1218) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south-east of Swardeston.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1218</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1222</b>	HER-MNF60186	Medium	The asset ( <b>1222</b> ) is the cropmarks of likely post medieval drainage features north-west of Swainsthorpe.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1222</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1222</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>1223</b>	HER-MNF60305	Low	The asset ( <b>1223</b> ) is the cropmarks of post medieval field boundaries south-west of Swainsthorpe.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>1223</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>1223</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>1225</b>	HER-MNF66314	Low	The asset ( <b>1225</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds north of Flordon.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>As the asset (1225) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		
1227	HER-MNF74161	Medium	<p>The asset (1227) is the results of a geophysical survey interpreted as medieval occupation to the east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (1227) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (1227).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG13 is located within the bounds of the asset. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (1227) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
1228	HER-MNF57726	Low	<p>The asset (1228) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds south of Bressingham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>1228</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>1229</b>	HER-MNF61840	Low	The asset ( <b>1229</b> ) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds west of Swainsthorpe.  As the asset ( <b>1229</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>1230</b>	HER-MNF61841	Low	The asset ( <b>1230</b> ) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds west of Swainsthorpe.  As the asset ( <b>1230</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>1232</b>	HER-MNF58527	Medium	The asset ( <b>1232</b> ) is the deserted medieval village of Gowthorpe west of Swardeston.  For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset ( <b>1232</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset ( <b>1232</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1232</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG3-4 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
<b>1233</b>	HER-MNF61842	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1233</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector and fieldwalking finds south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1233</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>1234</b>	HER-MNF61861	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1234</b>) is the site of multi-period fieldwalking finds south-south-west of Swainsthorpe.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>1234</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1237	HER-MNF58532	Low	<p>The asset (<b>1237</b>) is fragmentary linear cropmarks east of Swardeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>1237</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>1237</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

#### HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION A ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT

1014	HER-MNF10906	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1014</b>) is a complex of two partially surviving medieval moats east of Bressingham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG81-RG82 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1014</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1019	HER-MNF10926	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1019</b>) is a finds scatter likely representing a Romano-British building east-north-east of Winfarthing.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1019</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG61-RG62 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1067	HER-MNF9765	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1067</b>) is the site of the former medieval church of Kenningham east of Mulbarton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG12-RG4 are introduced. The tops of the pylons may be visible from the asset's wooded grounds and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1067</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1181	HER-MNF9717	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>1181</b>) is the site of St James' Chapel within the Gowthorpe DMV (<b>1232</b>) south-west of Swardeston.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG3-</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1181</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>RG4 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
1211	HER-MNF58529	Medium	<p>The asset (1211) is the cropmark of a trackway south-west of Swardeston and likely associated with Gowthorpe DMV (1232).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG3-RG004 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (1211) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1212	HER-MNF58530	Medium	<p>The asset (1212) is the cropmarks of a possible sub-rectangular enclosure and associated ditches and boundaries within the bounds of Gowthorpe DMV (1232).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG3-RG4 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (1212) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
1231	HER-MNF58526	Medium	Asset ( <b>1231</b> ) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and enclosures likely associated with Gowthorpe DMV ( <b>1232</b> ).  The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG3-RG4 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1232</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1329	HER-MNF74733	Medium	Asset ( <b>1329</b> ) is the cropmark of a possible Bronze Age round barrow within barrow cemetery ( <b>1328</b> ) and east of Toprow.  The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG23-RG44 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1329</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
1330	HER-MNF74734	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1330</b>) is the cropmark of a possible Bronze Age round barrow within barrow cemetery (<b>1328</b>) and east of Toprow.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG23-RG24 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1330</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
1331	HER-MNF74735	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1331</b>) is the cropmark of a possible Bronze Age round barrow within barrow cemetery (<b>1328</b>) and east of Toprow.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG23-RG24 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>1331</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1337	HER-MNF29994	High	<p>Asset (1337) is the evidence of Romano-British occupation outside the walled town of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (1021463) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset (1337) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1338	HER-MNF56295	High	<p>Asset (1338) is casual finds of Romano-British artefacts in and around the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (1021463) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset (1338) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
1339	HER-MNF58951	High	<p>Asset (<b>1339</b>) is the cropmarks of enclosures and trackways outside the walled town of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1339</b> ) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1340	HER-MNF58956	High	<p>Asset (<b>1340</b>) is the earthworks and cropmarks of a section of the Roman Pye Road within the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1340</b> ) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
1341	HER-MNF59163	High	<p>Asset (1341) is the cropmarks of enclosures, buildings and trackways to the south and outside the walled town of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (1021463) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset (1341) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
1342	HER-MNF59980	High	<p>Asset (<b>1342</b>) is the cropmarks and earthworks of a Roman road between Venta Icenorum (<b>1021463</b>) and Crownthorpe (the site of a Roman temple), which extends from within the scheduled monument westwards.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1342</b> ) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1343	HER-MNF7947	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1343</b>) is the Pye Roman road associated to and to the south of the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1343</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
1344	HER-MNF60244	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1344</b>) is the cropmarks of Pye Roman road within the bounds of Dunston Park and associated with the scheduled monument 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric, Anglo-Saxon, and medieval remains' (<b>1021463</b>) is located south of Caistor St Edmunds.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG1-RG3 over 1.1 km to the south-west of the heart of the scheduled monument. There may be distant views of the tops of the pylons from the monument and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1344</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
1369	HER-MNF10901	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>1369</b>) is the alleged site of a former chantry chapel, located immediately south of Roydon and the Church of St Remigius (<b>1050237</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG84-RG87 into the church's view</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>1369</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			south-west through to south-east across the Waveney Valley. The pylons would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		

## Section B

Table A11.2.27 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section B

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION B ORDER LIMITS</b>					
2003	HER-MSF3942	High	<p>The asset (<b>2003</b>) is the site of possible Mesolithic occupation located east of Wortham and north and south of the A143 Old Bury Road.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>2003</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2003</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2003</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			rural setting of the asset as pylon RG96 is introduced. The pylon would be visible from the asset, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
2009	HER-MSF4506	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2009</b>) is the site of an oval cropmark possibly representing a Neolithic long barrow west of Bramford.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>2009</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2009</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as the environmental area to the south-west is introduced. The environmental area would be visible from the asset and it might alter the rural character of setting of the asset through planting. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2009</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2025	HER-MSF18514	Low	The asset ( <b>2025</b> ) is the findspot of a flint Bronze Age arrowhead located east-south-east of Ringshall.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>2025</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2027</b>	HER-MSF5584	Low	The asset ( <b>2027</b> ) is the findspot of a flint Bronze Age arrowhead located south-east of Burgate.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2027</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2046</b>	HER-MSF19916	Low	The asset ( <b>2046</b> ) is the findspot of a fragment of a Bronze Age copper alloy socketed axe recovered from farmland near Bramford.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2046</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2047</b>	HER-MSF21654	Low	The asset ( <b>2047</b> ) is the findspot of a flint scatter of largely Bronze Age date located near Barking.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2047</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2048</b>	HER-MSF27149	Low	The asset ( <b>2048</b> ) is the site of the former Sroughton/ Burstall Patrol Auxiliary Unit Operational Base which comprised two Nissan huts located south-west of	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Bramford village.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2048) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2048).</p>		
2065	Mid-Suffolk District Council	Low	<p>The asset (2065) is Millway Lane, a Protected Lane to the west of Palgrave.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2065) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2065).</p>	N/A	N/A
2066	HER-MSF23108	Low	<p>The asset (2066) is the site of the former Thrandeston Green, located in Thrandeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2066) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2066).</p>	N/A	N/A
2068	HER-MSF5585	Medium	<p>The asset (2068) is the site of possible Iron Age settlement activity north-west of Mellis.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	For the below ground remains: N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2068) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2068).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG102-103 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (2068) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2085	PAS-SF-ACE684	Low	<p>The asset (2085) is the findspot of a Roman brooch located near Needham Market.</p> <p>As the asset (2085) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2103	PAS-SF-083EE3	Low	<p>The asset (2103) is the findspot of a zoomorphic vessel mount of Late Iron Age to Roman date located near Ringshall.</p> <p>As the asset (2103) has been removed</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2110	HER-MSF11787	Low	The asset (2110) is the findspot of a small scatter of Roman artefacts located south-east of Great Bricett.  As the asset (2110) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2111	HER-MSF12173	Low	The asset (2111) is the findspot of a Roman coin hoard located near Needham Market.  As the asset (2111) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2113	HER-MSF12566	Medium	The asset (2113) is the route of the Roman road between Coddendam and north-east of Long Melford which crosses the Order Limits north-west of Willisham Tye.  For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2113) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2113).	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset (2113) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG180-182 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2116	HER-MSF13360	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2116</b>) is the findspot of a Romano-British puddingstone quern located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>As the asset (<b>2116</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		
2117	HER-MSF18513	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2117</b>) is the findspot of a Neolithic flint chisel located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>As the asset (<b>2117</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		
2118	MSF24970	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2118</b>) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint flake located south-east of Great Bricett.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>As the asset (<b>2118</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2119	HER-MSF24984	Low	<p>The asset (2119) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint flake located north-west of Flowton Road.</p> <p>As the asset (2119) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2120	HER-MSF24985	Low	<p>The asset (2120) is the findspot of a prehistoric flint flake located north-west of Flowton Road.</p> <p>As the asset (2120) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2134	HER-MSF24014	Medium	<p>The asset (2134) is the site of</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2134) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2134).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG180-181 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (2134) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2139	HER-MSF23070	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2139</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British pottery located east-south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2139</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2142	HER-MSF24969	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2142</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British pottery located south-east of Great Bricett.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2142</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2144	HER-MSF44886	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2144</b>) is the site of is the route of a Roman road running between Stoke Ash to the north and Morley, which crosses the Order Limits in two locations west of Bressingham Common and south-west of Roydon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>2144</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2144</b>).</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2144</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG81-86 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2157	HER-MSF8639	Low	<p>The asset (2157) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British pottery located west of Cotton.</p> <p>As the asset (2157) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2159	HER-MSF20263	Medium	<p>The asset (2159) is the site of a concentrated scatter of Romano-British finds likely representative of settlement activity north-east of Barking.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2159) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2159).</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as tower PK14 is dismantled and removed. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> .		
2161	HER-MSF21068	Low	The asset ( <b>2161</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British metal detector finds located near Offton.  As the asset ( <b>2161</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2163	Mid-Suffolk District Council	Low	The asset ( <b>2163</b> ) is Tye Lane, a Protected Lane located east of Flowton.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>2163</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2163</b> ).	N/A	N/A
2165	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset ( <b>2165</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of Anglo-Saxon metal detector finds located west of Needham Market.  As the asset ( <b>2165</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2166	HER findspot (multi)	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2166</b>) is the site of multi-period metal detector finds located west of Wickham Street that may represent Romano-British settlement activity.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>2166</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2166</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG123-126 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>2166</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2167	HER-MSF3936	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2167</b>) is the site of archaeological remains of Romano-British date, located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2167</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2167).		
2180	PAS-SF-6BBE23	Low	The asset (2180) is the findspot of a Roman coin located south-east of south-east of Creting St. Peter.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2180) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2187	PAS-SF-B44BBB	Low	The asset (2187) is the findspot of a small scatter of Romano-British, medieval and post medieval metal detector finds located west of Offton.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2187) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2194	HER-MSF5367	Low	The asset (2194) is the findspot of a small scatter of Anglo-Saxon bronze bowl fragments located north-west of Needham Market.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2194) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2198	HER-MSF36445	Low	Asset (2198) is the findspot of a small multi-period metal detector assemblage, located east of Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2198) has been removed		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2210	HER-MSF11788	Low	The asset (2210) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located south-east of Great Bricett.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2210) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2211	HER-MSF12473	Low	The asset (2211) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located within Badley Hill.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2211) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2224	HER-MSF15521	Low	The asset (2224) is the findspot of a scatter of medieval metal detector finds located within Offton.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2224) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2229	HER-MSF19660	Low	The asset (2229) is the findspot of a medieval coin located north-west of Barking.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2229) has been removed		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2235	HER-MSF24976	Low	The asset ( <b>2235</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval pottery located south-west of Somersham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2235</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2243	HER-MSF8353	Low	The asset ( <b>2243</b> ) is the site of a post medieval kiln, located west of Thrandeston.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>2243</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2243</b> ).		
2244	HER-MSF43384	Low	The asset ( <b>2244</b> ) is the site of the former medieval Bullen Green located immediately north of Bramford Substation.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2244</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2249	HER-MSF5372	Medium	The asset ( <b>2249</b> ) is the cropmark of a medieval circular moat located east of Badley Hill.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	For the below ground remains: N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2249) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2249).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG163-164 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and it/they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (2249) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2255	HER-MSF5565	Medium	<p>The asset (2255) is the site of possible medieval pottery production and the site of the former Swattisfield Hall (2256) moat located south of Mellis.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2255) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2255).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (2255) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon RG116. The pylon would be visible from the asset, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2260	HER-MSF8355	Medium	The asset ( <b>2260</b> ) is the site of a medieval L-shaped moat located east of Great Green.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2260</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2264	HER-MSF8618	Low	The asset ( <b>2264</b> ) is the site of a cropmark of a moat and a small finds assemblage, located south-west of	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Mendlesham.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>2264</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2264</b> ).		
<b>2268</b>	HER-MSF8624	Low	The asset ( <b>2268</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located south-east of Cotton.  As the asset ( <b>2268</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>2271</b>	HER-MSF8632	Low	The asset ( <b>2271</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located west of Mendlesham.  As the asset ( <b>2271</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>2272</b>	HER-MSF5379	Low	The asset ( <b>2272</b> ) is the findspot of a small scatter 13th and 14th century pottery, located north-west of Forward Green.  As the asset ( <b>2272</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2280	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2280</b>) is the archaeological remains of a medieval farmstead located west of Bramford and associated with the archaeological remains of two further medieval farmsteads (<b>2409, 2508</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>2280</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2280</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons JC6-7 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2280</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2286	HER-MSF21069	Unknown	<p>The asset (<b>2286</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval metal detector finds located near Willisham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2286</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2288	HER-MSF8673	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2288</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2288</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2289	HER-MSF8674	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2289</b>) is the findspot of a small scatter of medieval artefacts located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2289</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2307	PAS-SF-FB7504	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2307</b>) is the findspot of three medieval metal detector finds located near Gislingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2307</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2309	HER-MSF13286	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2309</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of medieval pottery, located south-west of Barking.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2309</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2313	HER-MSF44887	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2313</b>) is the Ipswich and Stowmarket Navigation which follows the Gipping river and crosses the Order Limits east of Badley Hill.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2313</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2314	PAS-SF-BDC540	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2314</b>) is the findspot of a silver voided short cross halfpenny of John, located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2314</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2316	HER-MSF44835	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2316</b>) is a HER OUTLINE RECORD for archaeological remains largely representing a late Anglo-Saxon into medieval landscape comprising farmsteads and field systems, located between Somersham to the north-east and Flowton to the south-west.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>2316</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2316</b>).</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2316</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG200-203 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		
2317	PAS-SF-7EE243	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2317</b>) is the findspot of a silver gilt mount located near Flowdon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2317</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2319	HER-MSF44836	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2319</b>) is a HER OUTLINE RECORD for archaeological remains largely representing a late Anglo-Saxon into medieval landscape comprising farmsteads and field systems, located north-east of Burstallhill.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>2319</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2319</b>).</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2319</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG205-208 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2320	MSF4510	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2320</b>) is the suspected route of the Roman road Pye Road, which locally follows the route of the B1113 between Sproughton and Great Blakenham and crosses the Order Limits west of Bramford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2320</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2320</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2322	MSF13361	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2322</b>) is the findspot of a scatter of Romano-British artefacts located south-east of Ringshall.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2322</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2325	MSF11160	Low	The asset ( <b>2325</b> ) is the findspot of a scatter of Anglo-Saxon (early medieval)	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			artefacts located west of Bramford.  As the asset ( <b>2325</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2326</b>	MSF11161	Low	The asset ( <b>2326</b> ) is the findspot of a lead papal seal, located west of Bramford.  As the asset ( <b>2326</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>2331</b>	MSF4482	Low	The asset ( <b>2331</b> ) is the site of undated rectilinear enclosure cropmarks, located north-east of Flowton.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>2331</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2331</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>2352</b>	MSF32667	Low	The asset ( <b>2352</b> ) is the findspot of some Roman coins, located south-west of Little Green.  As the asset ( <b>2352</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
<b>2353</b>	MSF33936	Low	The asset ( <b>2353</b> ) is the findspot of some Roman coins, located south-west of Little	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Green.  As the asset ( <b>2353</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2367</b>	MSF43515	Low	The asset ( <b>2367</b> ) is the site of Palgrave Common, located north of Palgrave.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>2367</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2367</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>2380</b>	MSF46880	Low	The asset ( <b>2380</b> ) is the former site of Wick Green, located west of Forward Green.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>2380</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2380</b> ).	N/A	N/A
<b>2388</b>	MSF12332	Low	The asset ( <b>2388</b> ) is the findspot of a Romano-British brooch, located north-west of Barking.  As the asset ( <b>2388</b> ) has been removed	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2389	MSF12967	Low	The asset ( <b>2388</b> ) is the findspot of a Romano-British brooch, located north-west of Barking.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2389</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2398	MSF24967	Low	The asset ( <b>2398</b> ) is the findspot of a Romano-British and medieval pottery, located south-west of Offton.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2398</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2402	HER-MSF13264	Medium	The asset ( <b>2402</b> ) is a small landscape park associated with Grade II listed buildings 'Park House' ( <b>1032787</b> ), 'Former Stable Block at St Johns Farm About 15 m North of Park House' ( <b>1032788</b> ), 'Former Groom's House and Coach House About 30 m East of Park House' ( <b>1182135</b> ) and 'Crinkle Crinkle Walls to North West of Park House' ( <b>1032788</b> ) and located east of Wortham.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset ( <b>2402</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset ( <b>2402</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2402).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG94-96. The tops pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		
2403	HER -MSF5386	Low	<p>The asset (2403) is the findspot of a medieval barrel lock, located north of Saxham Street</p> <p>As the asset (2403) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2409	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (2409) is the archaeological remains of a medieval farmstead located west of Bramford and associated with the archaeological remains of two further medieval farmsteads (2280, 2508).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2409) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (2409) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2409).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons JC6-7 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2412	HER-MSF20426	Low	<p>The asset (2412) is the findspot of a small scatter of post medieval metal detector finds located north-east of Ringshall Stocks.</p> <p>As the asset (2412) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2441	HER-MSF40720	Medium	<p>The asset (2441) is the site of Moat Farm (Barking Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Moat Farmhouse' (1231305) and 'Former Stable Range 30 Metres West of Moat Farmhouse' (1278293) and located north-west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (2441) would take place during the construction phase. From the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of asset (2441) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2441).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG181-183. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2457	HER - MSF32643	Low	<p>The asset (2457) is the findspot of a scatter of medieval pottery, located east of Dandy Corner.</p> <p>As the asset (2457) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2464	HER- MSF43272	Medium	<p>The asset (2464) is the site of Court Farm (Lost Farm) Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Court Farmhouse' (1251595) and located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2464) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (2464) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> effect on</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2464</b> ).		the asset on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
			For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG191-193. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
<b>2465</b>	HER-MSF43273	Negligible	The asset ( <b>2465</b> ) is the site of the former Gowing's Barn located south-west of Offton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>2465</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2465</b> ).		
<b>2468</b>	HER-MSF33301	Low	The asset ( <b>2468</b> ) is the findspot of a medieval seal matrix, located north of Offton.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>2468</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>2472</b>	HER-MSF43953	Medium	The asset ( <b>2472</b> ) is the site of the Hill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hill	For below ground remains: N/A	For below ground remains: N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Farmhouse' (<b>1251696</b>) and located south of Offton.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>2472</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2472</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG193-195. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For the setting: No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2472</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2474	HER-MSF43959	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2474</b>) is the site of Bleak Hall Farmstead associated with 'Bleak Hall' (<b>1251669</b>), located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2474</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2474</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2486	HER-MSF44621	Low	<p>The asset (2486) is the site of the Rookery Farmstead associated with 'The Rookery' (1182737), located east of Wortham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2486) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2486).</p>	N/A	N/A
2496	HER-MSF33395	Low	<p>The asset (2496) is the site of the excavated archaeological remains of post medieval boundary ditches.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (2496) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts asset (2496).</p>	N/A	N/A
2497	HER-MSF34993	Low	<p>The asset (2497) is the extant Ipswich to Bury St Edmunds railway line, which crosses the Order Limits north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (2497) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts asset (2497).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2498	HER-MSF34994	Low	<p>The asset (2498) is the extant Haughley to Norwich railway line, which crosses the Order Limits east of Gislingham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (2498) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts asset (2498).</p>	N/A	N/A
2499	HER-MSF35010	Low	<p>The asset (2499) is the former route of the Mid Suffolk Light Railway, which crosses the Order Limits west-south-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2499) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2499).</p>	N/A	N/A
2501	HER-MSF44866	Negligible	<p>The asset (2501) is the site of the former Proctors Barn, located north-west of Gislingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2501) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2501).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2500	HER-MSF44870	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2500</b>) is the site of a former outbarn, located south-west of Gislingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2500</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2500</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2504	HER-MSF44479	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2504</b>) is the former site of an unnamed farm, located north-west of Willisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2504</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2504</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2508	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2508</b>) is the archaeological remains of a medieval farmstead located west of Bramford and associated with the archaeological remains of two further medieval farmsteads (<b>2280, 2409</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>2508</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>2508</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset ( <b>2508</b> ).  For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons JC6-7 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2512	HER-MSF38550	Low	The asset ( <b>2512</b> ) is the site of Batt's Farm Farmstead, located north-west of Mendlesham.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>2512</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>2512</b> ).	N/A	N/A
2515	PAS-SF-D5A611	Low	The asset ( <b>2515</b> ) is the findspot of a post medieval coin, located near Barking.  As the asset ( <b>2515</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2519	HER-MSF38726	Low	The asset ( <b>2519</b> ) is site of the largely demolished Potter Farm Farmstead, located west of Mendlesham.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset (2519) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2519).		
2522	HER-MSF43954	Low	The asset (2522) is the site of Caley Green Farm, located west of Lower Somersham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2522) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2522).		
2532	HER-MSF40560	Low	The asset (2532) is the site of Bullen Farm, located west of Bramford.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (2532) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2532).		
2533	HER-MSF40008	Low	The asset (2533) is the site of Badleybridge Farm Farmstead, located north-west of Needham Market.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			(2533) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2533).		
2536	PAS-SF9182	Low	The asset (2536) is the findspot of a small earthenware vessel, located near Somersham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2536) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2543	PAS-SF-114684	Low	The asset (2543) is the findspot of a copper alloy nail, located near Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2543) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2546	PAS-SF-FB8EE0	Low	The asset (2546) is the findspot of a copper alloy sword belt fitting, located near Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2546) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2548	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2548) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Willisham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2548) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2548).		
2549	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2549) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Willisham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2549) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2549).		
2550	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2550) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Great Bricett.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2550) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2550).		
2551	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2551) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Great Bricett.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2551) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2551).		
2552	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2552) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Flowton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2552) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2552).		
2553	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2553) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-east of Cotton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2553) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2553).		
2554	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2554) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Flowton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2554) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2554).		
2555	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2555) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-south-east of Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impact on asset (2555) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2555).		
2556	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2556) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Great Bricett.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2556) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2556).		
2558	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset (2558) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located south-west of Palgrave.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (2558) has been removed		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2559	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset (2559) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located south-west of Palgrave.  As the asset (2559) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2560	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset (2560) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located east of Wortham.  As the asset (2560) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2563	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset (2563) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located west of Offton.  As the asset (2563) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2565	HER findspot (multi)	Low	The asset (2565) is the findspot of a scatter of PAS finds, located west of Offton.  As the asset (2565) has been removed	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
2582	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2582</b>) is the archaeological remains of a late Bronze Age pit, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2582</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2582</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2583	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2583</b>) is the archaeological remains of a late Bronze Age pit, located west of Bramford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2583</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2583</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2584	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2584</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible Romano-British enclosure and associated boundaries located east of Wortham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2584</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2584).		
2585	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (2585) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming an enclosure and associated features located at and adjacent to pylon RG117.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2585) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2585).</p>	N/A	N/A
2586	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (2586) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming small irregular enclosures located adjacent to pylon RG187.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2586) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2586).</p>	N/A	N/A
2601	HER-MSF8339	Medium	<p>The asset (2601) is the site of Neolithic settlement activity locate north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (2601) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A.</p> <p>For the setting: No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (2601) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2601</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon RG102 is introduced. The pylon would be visible from the asset, and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2603	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2603</b>) is the parish boundary between Wortham, Palgrave and Burgate parishes.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2603</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2603</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2606	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2606</b>) is the site of a former pond located east-north-east of Wortham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2606</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2606).		
2607	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2607) is the site of a former pond, located east of Wortham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (2607) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2607).</p>	N/A	N/A
2609	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2609) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Wortham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2609) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2609).</p>	N/A	N/A
2622	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2622) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2622) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2622).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2624	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2624</b>) is the site of former buildings with associated yard or garden, located north-north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2624</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2624</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2625	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2625</b>) is the site of former pond, located north-north-west of Mellis.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2625</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2624</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2631	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2631</b>) is the site of former pond, located east of Little Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2631</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2631</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2633	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2633</b>) is the site of former pond, located east of Little Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2633</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2633</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2636	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2636</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south of Burgate.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>2636</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>2636</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2642	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2642</b>) is the site of a former pond, located south-west of Little Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2642</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2642</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2666	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2666</b>) is the site of the extant Great Eastern Railway, which crosses the Order Limits east of Gislingham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			There would be no impacts on asset (2666) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2666).		
2661	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2661) is the site of a former pond, located east of Wortham.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (2661) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2661).		
2670	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2670) is the site of a former pond located south-east of Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2670) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2670).		
2674	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2674) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located south-east of Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2674) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2674).		
2677	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2677) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located south-east of Gislingham.  There would be no impacts on asset (2677) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2677).	N/A	N/A
2678	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2678) is the site of a parish boundary, now a trackway, between Gislingham and Wickham Skeith just to the south of pylon RG121.  All the impacts on asset (2678) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2678).	N/A	N/A
2680	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2680) is the site of a former pond, located south-south-east of Gislingham on the Gislingham/ Wickham Skeith parish boundary.  There would be no impacts on asset (2680) during the construction phase.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2680).		
2690	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2690) is the site of a former pond, located east of Finningham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2690) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2690).		
2691	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2691) is the site of a former pond, located Finningham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2691) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2691).		
2697	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2697) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located east of Dandy Corner.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (2697) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2697).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2698	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2698</b>) is the site of a possible important historic hedgerow, located south-east of Dandy Corner.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>2698</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>2698</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2701	HER-MSF16681	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2701</b>) is the site of a partially extant ancient woodland, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2701</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2701</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2703	HER-MSF16683	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2703</b>) is the site of an area of ancient woodland (Little Newton Wood), located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>2703</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>2703</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2707	HER-MSF19346	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2707</b>) is the site of an area of ancient woodland (Lower Wood), located</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			west of Barking.		
			There would be no impacts on asset (2707) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2707).		
2709	HER-MSF19393	Low	The asset (2709) is the site of an area of ancient woodland (Round Wood and Elms Grove), located south-west of Bramford.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2709) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2709).		
2710	HER-MSF19394	Low	The asset (2710) is the site of a partially extant ancient woodland (Bullen Wood), located immediately to the east of the National Grid Bramford Substation.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2710) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2710).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2715	HER-MSF24217	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2715</b>) is the site of two parcels of extant ancient woodland, located south of Bulling Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2715</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2715</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2716	HER-MSF27264	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2716</b>) is the site of the cropmarks of four or five curving interrupted ditch section, located south-west of Badley Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2716</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2716</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2717	HER-MSF38096	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2717</b>) is the cropmark of a rectangular enclosure, located west of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2717</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2717</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2719	HER-MSF5566	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2719</b>) is the cropmark of an irregular enclosure, located east-south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2719</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2719</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2721	HER-MSZ27174	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2721</b>) is the cropmark of a small sub-rectangular enclosure, located south-south-east of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2721</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2721</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2722	HER-MSZ27178	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2722</b>) is the cropmarks of a series of drainage ditches and field boundaries, located north-north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2722</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2722</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2724	HER-MSZ27185	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2724</b>) is the cropmark of a possible trackway, located north-north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2724</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2724</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2725	HER-MSZ27188	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2725</b>) is the cropmark of a series of field boundaries and ditches, located north-north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2725</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2725</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2726	HER-MSZ27297	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2726</b>) is the cropmarks of a trackway, a small rectangular enclosure, linear ditches and a possible pit, located south-west of Bramford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2726</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2726).		
2727	HER-MSZ27298	Low	The asset (2727) is the cropmarks of several ditches, located south-west of Bramford.  All the impacts on asset (2727) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2727).	N/A	N/A
2728	PAS-SF-115BC4	Low	The asset (2728) is the findspot of an undated, unidentified metal detector find, located near Gislingham.  As the asset (2728) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
2730	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2730) is the site of the former Procter's Barn, located south-west of Little Green.  All the impacts on asset (2730) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2730).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2732	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2732</b>) is the site of the former Rose House, located south-west of Bullen Sub-Station.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2732</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2732</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2733	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2733</b>) is the cropmarks of linear boundaries, located on the western outskirts of Palgrave.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2733</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2733</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2734	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2734</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries apparent of first edition OS mapping, located east-north-east of Wortham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2734</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2734</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2735	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2735) is the cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS maps, located east of Wortham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2735) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2735).</p>	N/A	N/A
2737	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2737) is the cropmarks of field boundaries mostly apparent on first edition OS maps, located north of Mellis.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2737) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2737).</p>	N/A	N/A
2738	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2738) is the cropmark of a possible ring ditch, located north of Mellis.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2738) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2738).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2739	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2739) is the cropmarks of ditches, enclosures, trackways and ponds or possible extraction pits, located north of Mellis.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2739) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2739).</p>	N/A	N/A
2740	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2740) is linear cropmarks including a parish boundary, located north of Gislingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2740) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2740).</p>	N/A	N/A
2741	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2741) is linear cropmarks including a parish boundary, located east of Finningham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2741) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2741).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2742	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2742) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south-east of Gislingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2742) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2742).</p>	N/A	N/A
2743	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2743) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located between Daisy Green and Dandy Corner.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2743) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2743).</p>	N/A	N/A
2744	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2744) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located east of Cotton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2744) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2744).		
2745	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2745) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2745) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2745).</p>	N/A	N/A
2746	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2746) is the site of linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Gipping.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2746) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2746).</p>	N/A	N/A
2747	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2747) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located east of Stowupland.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset (2747) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2747).		
2748	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2748) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries and a parish boundary some apparent on first edition OS mapping and other likely earlier, located north of Saxham Street.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2748) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2748).		
2749	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2749) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located north of Saxham Street.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2749) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2749).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2750	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2750) is linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south and west of Broad Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2750) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2750).</p>	N/A	N/A
2751	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2751) is the site of linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2751) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2751).</p>	N/A	N/A
2752	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2752) is the site of linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south-east of Flowton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2752) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2752).		
2753	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2753) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located, north-east of Flowton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2753) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2753).</p>	N/A	N/A
2757	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2757) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located around Offton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2757) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2757).</p>	N/A	N/A
2759	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2759) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2759) would</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2759).		
2760	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2760) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries including a parish boundary apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Needham Market.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2760) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2760).		
2761	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2761) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Battisford.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2761) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2761).		
2762	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2762) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>edition OS mapping, located north of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2762</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2762</b>).</p>		
2763	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2763</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2763</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2763</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2764	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2764</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries including a parish boundary apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2764</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2764</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2765	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2765) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries recorded on OS mapping, located east of Ringshall Stocks.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2765) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2765).</p>	N/A	N/A
2766	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2766) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping and some of likely earlier date, located south of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2766) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2766).</p>	N/A	N/A
2767	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2767) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located south of the Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2767) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2767).		
2768	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2768) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping and some unmapped features, located east-south-east of Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2768) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2768).</p>	N/A	N/A
2769	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2769) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located north-west of Creeting Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2769) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2769).</p>	N/A	N/A
2770	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (2770) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located immediately south of Badley Hill.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset (2770) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2770).		
2773	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2773) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located east of Creting Saint Peter.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2773) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2773).		
2774	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2774) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Creting Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2774) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2774).		
2775	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2775) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			edition OS mapping, located north-west of Needham Market.		
			All the impacts on asset (2775) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2775).		
2780	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2780) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located west of Palgrave.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2780) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2780).		
2781	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (2781) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping, located on the south-western outskirts of Mellis.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2781) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2781).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2782	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2782</b>) is the linear cropmarks of field boundaries apparent on first edition OS mapping and some likely earlier, located east of Stowupland.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2782</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2782</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2799	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2799</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2799</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2799</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2809	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2809</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2809</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2809</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2810	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2810) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2810) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2810).</p>	N/A	N/A
2820	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2820) is the site of former section of a parish boundary, located south-south-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2820) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2820).</p>	N/A	N/A
2822	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2822) is a section of a parish boundary, which follows the Gipping river east of Badley Hill.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2822) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2822).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2823	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2823</b>) is the site of a former pond, located south-south-west of Cay Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2823</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2823</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2824	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2824</b>) is the former site of an OS trigonometry point, located north-east of Saxham Street.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>2824</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
2830	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2830</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east of Saxham Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2830</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2830</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2831	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2831</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Wortham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2831) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2831).</p>		
2832	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2832) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Saxham Street.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2832) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (2832).</p>		
2836	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2836) is the site of a former pond, located south of Saxham Street.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (2836) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2836).</p>		
2847	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2847) is the former site of an OS trigonometry point, located west of Broad Green.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>As the asset (2847) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2850	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2850</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Broad Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2850</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2850</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2851	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2851</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Broad Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2851</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2851</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2859	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2859</b>) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Creting Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2859</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2859</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2860	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>2860</b>) is the extant Ipswich to Bury St Edmunds railway line, which crosses the Order Limits north-west of Needham Market.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>2860</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (<b>2860</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2866	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2866</b>) is the site of a former pond, located at Badley Hill.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>2866</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (<b>2866</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
2871	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>2871</b>) is the site of a former quarry, located south-west of Stowmarket.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>2871</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>2871</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2872	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2872) is the site of a former pond. located south-south-west of Badley Hill.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2872) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2872).</p>	N/A	N/A
2874	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2874) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2874) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2874).</p>	N/A	N/A
2875	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2875) is the site of 'Lodgefield Row' woodland copse, located west of Nedham Market.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2872) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2872).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2879	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2879) is the site of a former pond, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2879) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2879).</p>	N/A	N/A
2880	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2880) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Needham Market.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2880) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2880).</p>	N/A	N/A
2886	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2886) is the site of a former pond, located north-north-west of Barking.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2886) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2886).</p>	N/A	N/A
2891	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2891) is the site of a former pond, located west of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2891) would</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2891).		
2892	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2892) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2892) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2892).</p>	N/A	N/A
2893	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2893) is the site of former pond, located west-south-west of Barking.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2893) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2893).</p>	N/A	N/A
2895	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2895) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Barking.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2895) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2895).		
2898	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2898) is the site of former pond, located west-south-west of Barking.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no the impacts on asset (2898) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2898).		
2907	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2907) is the site of a parish boundary, located north-west and south-east and running through Offton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2907) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2907).		
2909	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (2909) is a long section of parish boundary that crosses the Order Limits in six places, located west of Somersham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2909) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2910	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2909).</p> <p>The asset (2910) is the site of a parish boundary, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2910) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2910).</p>	N/A	N/A
2911	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2911) is the site of a parish boundary, located to the east of Flowton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2911) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2911).</p>	N/A	N/A
2913	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2913) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located west of Offton.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2913) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2913).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2919	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2919) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2919) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2919).</p>	N/A	N/A
2920	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2920) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Offton.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2920) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2920).</p>	N/A	N/A
2925	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2925) is the site of a former pond, located west of Somersham.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2925) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2925).</p>	N/A	N/A
2926	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2926) are a group of historic buildings located west of Somersham.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>(2926) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2926).</p>		
2935	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2935) is the site of former buildings, located south-west of Somersham.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2935) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2935).</p>		
2936	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2936) is the site of a building and associated land plot located north-west of Flowton.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2936) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2936).</p>		
2942	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2942) is the site of a possible important hedgerow, located east-south-east of Flowton.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (2942) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2942).		
2943	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2943) is the site of a former pond, located south-east of Flowton.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2943) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2943).</p>	N/A	N/A
2945	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2945) is the site of a parish boundary, located north-west and south-east and running through Offton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2945) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2945).</p>	N/A	N/A
2946	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2946) is the site of a former quarry, located east of Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2946) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2946).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2947	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2947) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-north-east of Burstall.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2947) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2947).</p>	N/A	N/A
2948	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (2948) is the site of a former quarry, located south of Bullen Lane Substation.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2948) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2948).</p>	N/A	N/A
2949	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2949) is the site of a former pond, located north-east of Burstall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2949) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2949).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2950	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2950) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Burstall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2950) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2950).</p>	N/A	N/A
2961	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2961) is the site of a former pond, located north of Gislingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2961) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2961).</p>	N/A	N/A
2965	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2965) is the site of a former pond, located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2965) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2965).</p>	N/A	N/A
2970	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2970) is the site of a former pond, located east of Gislingham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2970) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2970).</p>		
2972	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2972) is the site of a former pond, located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (2972) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts asset (2972).</p>	N/A	N/A
2983	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2983) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Finningham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2983) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2983).</p>	N/A	N/A
2984	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (2984) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located west of Wickham Skeith.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (2984) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2984).		
2985	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2985) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Finningham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2985) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2985).		
2986	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2986) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-south-east of Gislingham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2986) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2986).		
2987	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2987) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Gipping.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2987) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2987).		
2988	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2988) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-west of Mendlesham Green.  All the impacts on asset (2988) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2988).	N/A	N/A
2989	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2989) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-west of Mendlesham Green.  All the impacts on asset (2989) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2989).	N/A	N/A
2990	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2990) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-east of Cotton.  All the impacts on asset (2990) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2990).		
2991	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2991) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-north-west of Barking.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2991) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2991).		
2992	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2992) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located west of Forward Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2992) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2992).		
2993	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2993) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Stowupland.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2993) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2993).		
2994	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2994) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located north-east of Creting St Peter.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2994) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2994).		
2995	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2995) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east-south-east of Creting St Peter.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2995) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2995).		
2996	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2996) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Creting St Peter.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2996) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2996).		
2997	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2997) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Flowton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2997) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2997).		
2998	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2998) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located west-south-west of Bramford.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2998) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2998).		
2999	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (2999) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located east of Flowton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (2999) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (2999).		
3537	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3537) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3537) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3537).</p>	N/A	N/A
3538	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3538) is a possible important hedgerow, located north of Burstall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3538) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3538).</p>	N/A	N/A
3539	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3539) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3539) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3539).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3543	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3543) is a possible important hedgerow, located east-north-east of Burstall Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3543) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3543).</p>	N/A	N/A
3547	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3547) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Flowton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3546) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3546).</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION B ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
2002	HER-MSF21996	Medium	<p>The asset (2002) is the site of a scatter of Upper Palaeolithic and Mesolithic flintwork found on land on the southern flank of the Waveney valley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG85-87. The pylons would be visible from the asset</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset (2002) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2008	HER-MSF3482	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2008</b>) is the cropmarks of a possible henge monument south-east of Finningham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG125-126. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2008</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2028	HER-MSZ27175	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2028</b>) is the cropmarks of two ring likely representative of Bronze Age round barrows south-east of Stowmarket.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon RG163. The pylon would be visible from the asset and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>minor adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2028</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2029	HER-MSZ27176	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2029</b>) is the cropmark of a large ring ditch likely representative of a Bronze Age round barrow south-east of Stowmarket.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG163-164. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2029</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2037	HER-MSF8356	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2037</b>) is the site of a low earthwork possibly representing the remains of a Bronze Age round barrow located south-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG99-100. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2037</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2038	HER-MSF8733	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2038</b>) is the site of a cropmark of concentric ring ditches likely representing a Bronze Age round barrow</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	The value of asset ( <b>2038</b> ) is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located north-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG132-133. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	part of the application in this location.	considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> effect on the significance of asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2039	HER-MSF8734	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2039</b>) is the site of a cropmark of a ring ditch likely representing a Bronze Age round barrow likely associated with asset (<b>2038</b>) and located north-west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG132-133. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2039</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2162	HER-MSF24513	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2162</b>) is the site of a large spread of Roman metal detector finds associated with Roman road (<b>2144</b>) and located south-south-east of Wortham.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2162</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG99-100. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2164	HER findspot (multi) MSF31139	High	<p>The asset (<b>2164</b>) is the site of a concentration Romano-British and Early Anglo-Saxon metal detector finds likely indicative of an inhumation/cremation cemetery located east-north-east of Wortham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG94-95. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2164</b> ) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2226	HER-MSF15878	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2226</b>) is the remains of a small moat located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG117-118. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2226</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2241	HER-MSF4218	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2241</b>) is the remains of a medieval moat associated with the Grade II* listed assets 'Roydon Hall' (<b>1033215</b>) and 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (<b>1284584</b>) and the Grade II listed 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (<b>1352074</b>), all located north-east of Creeting St Peters.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG156-158. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2241</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2247	HER-MSF5286	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2247</b>) is the site of the former Little Bricett Church located south-east of Bricett.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG186-187. The pylons would be visible from the asset</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2247</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.		on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
2248	HER-MSF5330	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2248</b>) is the remains of a medieval moat associated with the Grade II listed 'Moat Farmhouse' (<b>1231305</b>) and 'Former Stable Range 30 Metres West of Moat Farmhouse' (<b>1278293</b>) located north-west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG181-183. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2248</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2252	HER-MSF5497	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2252</b>) is the site of moat associated with Hempnalls Hall (<b>1180451</b>) and located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG128-130. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would slightly alter the character</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2252</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			of the rural setting of the asset.		
			The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
2274	HER-MSF8648	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2274</b>) is the site of a moat associated with Mendlesham Hall (<b>1180527</b>) located west of Mendlesham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG134-137.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2274</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2368	HER-MSF43958	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2368</b>) is the site Park Farm Farmstead comprising a 19th century farmhouse and converted outbuildings, located south-west of Somersham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG205-208.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible through the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2368</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2370	HER-MSF37333	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>2370</b>) is the site of Marsh Farm Farmstead associated with 'Marsh Farm House' (<b>1032768</b>), located north-west of Thrandeston.</p> <p>The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 700 m to the west of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting. Therefore, the magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2370</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2372	HER-MSF44752	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2372</b>) is the site of Valley Farm Farmstead associated with 'Valley Farm House' (<b>1032791</b>), located south-south-west of Palgrave.</p> <p>The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 900 m to the west of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting. Therefore, the magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2372</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2391	HER-MSF43708	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2391</b>) is Highways (Upper Rectory) Farmstead associated with 'Highways' (<b>1241179</b>), located at Candle Street.</p> <p>The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 2.8 km to the south-east of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting. Therefore, the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2391</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
2399	HER-MSF43709	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2399</b>) is Brick Kiln Farmstead associated with Kiln Farm House (<b>1260662</b>), located in Candle Street.</p> <p>The Project's pylon corridor lies c. 3 km to the south-east of the asset, beyond the bounds of its setting. Therefore, the magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2399</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2400	HER-MSF38268	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2400</b>) is the site of Squirrells Farm Farmstead associated with Ringshall House (<b>1032952</b>) and located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG184-187.</p> <p>The pylons, particularly RG184-185, would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2400</b> ) is medium, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2418	HER-MSF24644	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2418</b>) is the site of Castle Farm Farmstead, associated with 'Barn 50 Metres East of Castle Farmhouse' (<b>1251594</b>) and 'Offton Castle' (<b>1006049</b>) and located south of Offton.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2418</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG190-199. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. However, the existing 132 kV overhead line located closer to the asset would have been removed during construction. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2419	HER-MSF24668	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2419</b>) is the site of Plumtree Farm (also known as College Grove Farm) associated with 'College Grove Farmhouse' (<b>1231093</b>) and north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylon RG173, whilst towers PK11-13 would be removed.</p> <p>There would be a long-range view of the pylon, and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2419</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2422	HER-MSF25320	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2422</b>) is the site of Roydon Hall Farmstead associated with medieval moat (<b>2241</b>), the Grade II* listed assets</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2422</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>'Roydon Hall' (<b>1033215</b>) and 'Barn 30 Metres North West of Roydon Hall' (<b>1284584</b>) and the Grade II listed 'Garden Wall Enclosing Garden to South Side of Roydon Hall' (<b>1352074</b>) and located north-east of Creeting St Peters.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons RG156-158. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2423	HER-MSF25330	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2423</b>) is the Dower House/Doves Barn (Doveshill Farm) Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Dower House' (<b>1231088</b>) and 'Barn, 20 Metres South East of Doveshill Farmhouse' (<b>1231089</b>) and located at the north-western extent of Needham Market.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG168-167. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset between and over the top of tree cover and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2423</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
2424	HER-MSF25426	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2424</b>) is the site of Pountney Hall Farmstead associated with Pountney Hall' (<b>1352239</b>) and 'Barn About 40 m North East of Poutney [sic] Hall' (<b>1032805</b>) and located west of located at the south-western extent of Mellis conservation area.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2424</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2427	HER-MSF36341	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2427</b>) is the site of Ashburnham Farm or Church Farm Farmstead associated with 'Ashburnham Farmhouse' (<b>1278102</b>) and located north-east of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2427</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2428	HER-MSF37949	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2428</b>) is the site of Bungeon's Farm Farmstead associated with 'Bungeons Farmhouse' (<b>1231581</b>) and located north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2428</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG173-174, whilst towers PK11-13 would be removed.</p> <p>There would be a long range view of the pylons and it would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2429	HER-MSF38358	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2429</b>) is the site of Upper Lonsdales Farmstead associated with 'Upper Lonsdales [sic] Farmhouse' (<b>1033189</b>) and south of Broad Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG157-159.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally be visible in between and above the mature trees in the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2429</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2431	HER-MSF38725	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2431</b>) is the Boundary Farm Farmstead associated with Boundary Farmhouse (<b>1032244</b>) and located south-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2431</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>introduction of pylons RG132-133.</p> <p>The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through the outbuildings and mature trees of the farmstead and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2435	HER-MSF40327	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2435</b>) is the site of Highfields Farm or Hascothill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hascot Hill Farmhouse' (<b>1352142</b>) and south-east of Battisford.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG174-175.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible in between and above the mature vegetation of the asset's large garden they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2435</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2436	HER-MSF40564	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2436</b>) is the site of Bullenhall Farm Farmstead associated with 'Bullenhall Farmhouse' (<b>1033263</b>) and west of Bamford.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of the environmental area to</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2436</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>the south-west.</p> <p>The environmental area would be visible from the asset and it might alter the rural character of setting of the asset through planting. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2437	HER-MSF40698	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2437</b>) is the site of Home Farm Farmstead associated with 'Home Farmhouse' (<b>1231443</b>) and north of Barking.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would reduce the impact of the power distribution network on the asset's setting through the removal of towers PK11-13.</p> <p>The tower corridor of the Project including pylons RG174-178 lies over 800 m to the north-west of the asset in the adjacent parish and so beyond the setting of the asset. The dismantling of towers PK11-13 would remove them from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2437</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2439	HER-MSF40707	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2439</b>) is the site of Lower Farm Farmstead associated with 'Lower Farmhouse' (<b>1231364</b>) and located north-west of Barking Tye.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2439</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG178-181. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2440	HER-MSF40719	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2440</b>) is the Barking Tye (Unknown) Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Lower Farmhouse' (<b>1231364</b>) and located north-west of Barking Tye.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG178-181. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2440</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2445	HER-MSF40960	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2445</b>) is the site of Hempnalls Hall Farmstead associated with Hempnalls Hall' (<b>1180451</b>) and located north-east of Cotton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG128-130.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2445</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The pylons may be occasionally visible from the asset through and above its heavily wooded grounds and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2447	HER-MSF41038	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2447</b>) is the site of the Grove Farm Farmstead 'Grove Farmhouse' (<b>1033214</b>) and located south-south-east of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would slightly impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG162-164.</p> <p>The tops of the pylons may be occasionally visible above Poundfield Concrete Works from the asset and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2447</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2448	HER-MSF41069	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2448</b>) is the site of Creting Hall Farmstead associated with 'Creting Hall' (<b>1352073</b>) located south of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG162-164.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2448</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The pylons would be occasionally visible in between and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2449	HER-MSF41071	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2449</b>) is the site of the Hill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hill Farmhouse' (<b>1182339</b>) and located south-east of Creting St Peter.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG161-162.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2449</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
2451	HER-MSF42794	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2451</b>) is the site of the Grange Farm Farmstead associated with 'Grange Farmhouse' (<b>1032727</b>) and 'Barn And Attached Cartshed, 50 Metres North of Grange Farmhouse' (<b>1180790</b>) and located north-north-east of Gipping.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2451</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG138-141.		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature trees within the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2452	HER-MSF42795	Medium	The asset ( <b>2452</b> ) is the site of the Hill Farm Farmstead associated with 'Hill Farmhouse' ( <b>1032730</b> ), located between Gipping and Mendlesham Green.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2452</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
			The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG142-144.		
			The pylons would be occasionally visible in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
2453	HER-MSF42798	Medium	The asset ( <b>2453</b> ) is the site of the Rookery Farm Farmstead associated with 'Barn, 40 Metres South West Of Rookery Farm' ( <b>1180903</b> ) and the Grade II listed 'Rookery Farmhouse' ( <b>1352279</b> )	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2453</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and located north of Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG143-144.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2462	HER-MSF43270	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2462</b>) is the site of the Cottage Farm (Rose Cottage Farm) Farmstead associate with 'Rose Cottage Farmhouse' (<b>1251587</b>) and located west of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG189-190.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2462</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2463	HER-MSF43271	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2463</b>) is the site of the Valley Farm Farmstead associated with 'Valley Farmhouse' (<b>1250948</b>) and located west</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2463</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>of Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG188-190. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2467	HER-MSF43281	Medium	<p>The asset (2467) is the site of the Mount Pleasant Farm Farmstead associated with 'Mount Pleasant Farmhouse' (1251598) and located in Offton.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2467) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2473	HER-MSF43955	Medium	<p>The asset (2473) is the site of the Grove Farm Farmstead associated with 'Grove Farmhouse' (1262693) and located west of Somersham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would reduce the impact of the power distribution network on the asset's setting through the dismantling of towers PI24-26.</p> <p>The tower corridor of the Project</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (2473) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			including pylons RG195-196 lies over 500 m to the south-west of the asset and so beyond its setting. The dismantling of towers PI24-26 would remove them from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b> .		
2475	HER-MSF43850	Medium	The asset ( <b>2475</b> ) is the site of the Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse (Martin's Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Upper Lonsdales Farmhouse' ( <b>1033189</b> ) and located south of Broad Green.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2475</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
			The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG157-159.		
			The pylons would be occasionally be visible in between and above the mature trees in the asset's grounds and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
2476	HER-MSF43961	Medium	The asset ( <b>2476</b> ) is the site of the Gunn Farm (Caleygreen Farm) Farmstead associated with 'Gunn's Farmhouse' ( <b>1262659</b> ) and 'Barn 20 Metres South West Of Gunn's Farmhouse' ( <b>1251600</b> ) and located south of Offton.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2476</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>
			The operation phase of the Project would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG194-196.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset through the mature tree cover of its grounds and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2477	HER-MSF43962	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2477</b>) is the site of the Poplar Farm Farmstead associated with 'Poplar Farmhouse' (<b>1182121</b>) and located north-west of Middlewood Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG144-147.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the asset in between and above the outbuildings of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2477</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be low <b>adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2478	HER-MSF43965	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2478</b>) is the site of the Firtree Farmhouse Farmstead associated with 'Firtree Farmhouse' (<b>1032662</b>) located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2478</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>introduction of pylons RG148-149.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible through and above the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2479	HER-MSF43968	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2479</b>) is the site of the Upland Farm Farmstead associated with Upland House' (<b>1182102</b>) located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG148-149.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2479</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2480	HER-MSF43969	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2480</b>) is the site of the Grange Farm Farmstead associated with 'Grange Farmhouse' (<b>1032661</b>) and located in Saxham Street.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2480</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			introduction of pylons RG150-151. The pylons would be occasionally visible from the asset in between and over the tops of intervening buildings and mature tree cover and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2481	HER-MSF44177	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2481</b>) is the site of the Starhouse Farm Farmstead associated with Starhouse Farmhouse' (<b>1352111</b>) and located south-west of Thornham Magna.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG120-122. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2481</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
2485	HER-MSF44478	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2485</b>) is the Tye Farm Farmstead associated with the Grade II listed 'Tye Farmhouse' (<b>1251803</b>) and located west of Willisham Tye.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG184-185. The pylons would be occasionally visible from</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2485</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
2487	HER-MSF44857	Medium	<p>the asset in between the outbuildings and mature trees of the farming complex and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>2487</b>) is the site of the Spring Farm Farmstead associated with 'Spring Farmhouse' (<b>1180592</b>) and located east of Gislingham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG118. The pylon would be partially visible from the asset through its mature grounds and it would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>2487</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
2534	HER-MSZ27179	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2534</b>) is the tree lined avenue Badley Walk, located at Badley Hill, partially within the Badley Church Green Conservation Area (<b>CA45</b>) and associated with the scheduled monument 'Chantry (site of)' (<b>1006030</b>), the Grade I listed 'Church of St Mary' (<b>1231082</b>), the Grade II* listed 'Barn 100 Metres South East of Badley Hall' (<b>1231085</b>), 'Badley Hall' (<b>1231083</b>) and 'Dovecote 60 metres east of Badley Hall' (<b>1231084</b>), and the Grade II listed Bakehouse 15 Metres South Of Badley Hall' (<b>1278291</b>).</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG167 and RG168. The pylons would be partially visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
2755	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>2755</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex possibly associated with the former Little Bricett Church (<b>2247</b>) located south-east of Bricett.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons RG186-187. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would slightly alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>2755</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

## Section C

Table A11.2.28 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section C

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION C ORDER LIMITS</b>					
3001	HER-MSF11981	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3001</b>) is the cropmark of a sub-square or circular ring ditch traversed by double ditched enclosure, located south-west of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3001</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3001</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3006	HER-MSZ27351	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3006</b>) is the cropmarks of ring ditches, pits, trackways, and linear features, located to the west-south-west of Sproughton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3006</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3006</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3016	HER-MEX1036590	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3016</b>) is the site of Late Iron Age finds and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3016</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3016</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>	N/A	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>
3017	HER-MEX1036591	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3017</b>) is the site of Late Iron Age/Romano-British finds and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3017</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3017</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3023	HER-MEX9880	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3023</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located north-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3023</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3023).		
3024	Project identified archaeological features	Low	The asset (3024) is the archaeological remains of a small number of undated ditches and pits and some post medieval ditches, located east of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3024) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3024).		
3026	HER-MSF18242	Negligible	The asset (3026) is the site of a former milestone, located east of Hintlesham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (3026) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
3029	HER-MEX36377	Low	The asset (3029) is the findspot of a Sestertius of Trajan, located west of Stratford St Mary.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (3029) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3034	HER-MEX9887	Low	<p>The asset (3034) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located north of Arleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3034) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3034).</p>	N/A	N/A
3039	HER-MEX43488	Low	<p>The asset (3039) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and a possible Roman road, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3039) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3034).</p>	N/A	N/A
3044	PAS-SF-B24BFF	Low	<p>The asset (3044) is the findspot of a copper alloy strap end, located north-west of Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (3044) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3045	HER-MEX9899	Low	<p>The asset (3045) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3045</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3045</b> ).		
3049	HER-MEX9908	Low	The asset ( <b>3049</b> ) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3049</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3049</b> ).		
3050	HER-MSF45249	Low	The asset ( <b>3050</b> ) is the route of a Roman road, located between Long Melford and East Bergholt.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3050</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3050</b> ).		
3071	HER-MEX9906	Low	The asset ( <b>3071</b> ) is a cropmark complex, located north of Langham.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3071</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3071</b>).</p>		
<b>3081</b>	HER-MSF5051	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3081</b>) is the site findspots and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3081</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3081</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>3090</b>	HER-MEX1036593	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3090</b>) is the site findspots and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3090</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3090</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
<b>3092</b>	HER-MCC9122	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3092</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Langham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (3092) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3092).</p>		
3093	PAS-SF-B1D0BF	Low	<p>The asset (3093) is the findspot of a copper alloy stirrup, located west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>As the asset (3093) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3094	PAS-SUR-CF810A	Low	<p>The asset (3094) is the findspot of a fragment of a copper alloy purse frame found near Holton St Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (3094) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3097	HER-MEX1036592	Low	<p>The asset (3097) is the site findspots and archaeological remains, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3097) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3097).		
3098	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (3098) is the archaeological remains of the ditches of phased field systems including a Romano-British phase, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3098) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3098).</p>	N/A	N/A
3101	HER-MCC9168	Low	<p>The asset (3101) is the site of the former Dedham Heath, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>As the asset (3101) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3110	HER-MSF4544	Low	<p>The asset (3110) is a cropmark complex, located on the western outskirts of Ipswich.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3110) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3110).		
3113	HER-MSF38087	low	The asset (3113) is a cropmark complex, located north-north-west of East Bergholt.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3113) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3113).		
3125	HER-MSF42546	Low	The asset (3125) is the derelict Longlands Barn, located south-south-west of Chattisham.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (3125) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3125).		
3133	HER-MSF44940	Low	The asset (3133) is the site Pipers Vent Farmstead, located south-east of Raydon.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (3133) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3133).		
3144	HER-MSF46987	Low	The asset (3144) is the projected route of a Roman road that crosses the Order Limits in two places, firstly to the north-west and secondly to the south-east of Holton St Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3144) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3144).		
3145	HER-MSF15844	Low	The asset (3145) is Burstall Bridge over Flowton Brook, located south-east of Burstall.	N/A	N/A
			There would be the impacts on asset (3145) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3145).		
3162	HER-MSF42439	Low	The asset (3162) is Fen Farm farmstead which is associated with the Grade II listed Fenn Farmhouse (1351617) and located south-east of Burstall.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3162) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3162).		
3163	PAS-SF-B4A95E	Low	The asset (3163) is the findspot of a late Anglo-Saxon silver hooked tag, located south of Chattisham.  As the asset (3163) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
3165	HER-MSF28976	Low	The asset (3165) is the site the former Hadleigh Railway, located north of Little Wenham.  All the impacts on asset (3165) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3165).	N/A	N/A
3166	HER-MSF10676	High	The asset (3166) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located south-east of Higham.  For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (3166) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For below ground remains: N/A  The value of the asset (3166) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> .

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3166).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3168	HER-MSF5095	High	<p>The asset (3168) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (3168) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3168).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (3168) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3170	HER-MSF1822	Low	<p>The asset (3170) is the cropmark of a double ditched rectangular enclosure, located south-west of Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3170) during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3170).		
3173	HER-MSF8459	High	<p>The asset (3173) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no impacts on asset (3173) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3173).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (3173) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3176	HER-MSF8463	High	<p>The asset (3176) is the cropmark of a rectilinear enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no impacts on asset (3176) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3176).</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (3176) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		
3177	HER-MSF8464	High	<p>The asset (<b>3177</b>) is the cropmark of a sub-rectangular enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no impacts on asset (<b>3177</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>3177</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3177</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3178	HER-MSF8581	High	<p>The asset (<b>3178</b>) is the cropmark of a rectangular enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no impacts on asset (<b>3178</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3178</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			(3178).  For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3180	HER-MSF3721	Negligible	The asset (3180) is the cropmark of an irregular field boundary, located north-east of Raydon.  There would be no impacts on asset (3180) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3180).	N/A	N/A
3188	HER-MSF8462	Low	The asset (3188) is the cropmark of a semi-circular enclosure, located south-east of Higham.  There would be no impacts on asset (3188) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3188).	N/A	N/A
3189	HER-MSF27253	Low	The asset (3189) is the site of the former RAF Raydon, located north-east of Raydon.  All the impacts on asset (3189) would	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3189).		
3191	HER-MSZ27306	Low	The asset (3191) is the cropmarks of a trackway and field boundaries, located south of Sproughton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3191) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3191).		
3194	HER-MSF44821	Low	The asset (3194) is a navigable section of the Stour river, known as the Soar Navigation, located north-west of Stratford Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no the impacts on asset (3194) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3194).		
3206	HER-MEX9534	Low	The asset (3206) is the route of a Roman road, located east of Langham.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no the impacts on asset		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			(3206) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3206).		
3207	HER-MEX9020	Low	The asset (3207) is the route of a possible Roman road, located east of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3207) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3207).		
3208	HER-MEX1031545	Low	The asset (3208) is the cropmarks of a rectilinear enclosure, located north of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3208) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3208).		
3214	HER-MEX1031659	Low	The asset (3214) is the cropmarks of linear features, located north of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no the impacts on asset (3214) during the construction phase.		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3218	HER-MEX12738	High	From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3214).  The asset (3218) is the cropmark of a rectilinear enclosure, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.  For below ground remains: There would be no the impacts on asset (3218) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3218).  For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For below ground remains: N/A  The value of the asset (3218) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3219	HER-MEX23705	Low	The asset (3219) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located west of Ardleigh.  All the impacts on asset (3219) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3219).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3223	HER-MEX8241	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3223</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, enclosures and pits, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3223</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3223</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3227	HER-MEX8620	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3227</b>) is a cropmark complex that encompasses the northern portion of scheduled monument (<b>1489898</b>) between Little Bromley and Great Bromley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>3227</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3168</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of the northern primary access route. The route falls within the northern boundary of the asset and would be visible altering the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
3229	HER-MEX8700	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3229</b>) is the cropmarks of ring ditches, pits, trackways, and linear features, located on the south-eastern edge of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3229</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3229</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3232	HER-MEX9188	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3232</b>) is the cropmarks of a possible Roman road, field boundaries and enclosures, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3232</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3232</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3233	HER-MEX9352	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3233</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>3233</b>) would take</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3233).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB1 to TB8, four SuDS basin locations, and the East Anglia connection node Substation. These elements of the Project would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>low adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3236	HER-MEX9535	High	<p>The asset (3236) is the site of a cropmark complex, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (3236) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3236).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (3236) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3237	HER-MEX9580	High	<p>setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>3237</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>3237</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3237</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3237</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3238	HER-MEX9627	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3238</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-east of Langham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>3238</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3238</b>).</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3238</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
3239	HER-MEX9754	High	<p>The asset (<b>3239</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex, located west of Stratford St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: All the impacts on asset (<b>3239</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3239</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3237</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3245	HER-MSF44887	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3245</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>3245</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>3245</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3246	HER-MEX52134	Low	<p>The asset (3246) is the findspot of bronze padlock, located east of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset (3246) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3251	HER-MCC10280	Low	<p>The asset (3251) is the findspot of a late Iron Age coin, located north-west of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the asset (3251) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3254	HER-MCC10315	Low	<p>The asset (3254) is the findspot of a silver coin, located south-east of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the asset (3254) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3255	HER-MCC10321	Low	<p>The asset (3255) is the findspot of a silver coin, located south-east of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the asset (3255) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3256	HER-MCC10332	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3256</b>) is the findspot of a gold coin, located west of Parney Heath.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>3256</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3258	HER-MCC10383	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3258</b>) is the findspot of a silver pin, located west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>3258</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3263	HER-MEX9820	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3263</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Boxted Cross.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3263</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3263</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3264	HER-MEX9894	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3264</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Boxted Cross.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3264</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3264).		
3269	HER findspot (multi)	Unknown	The asset (3269) is the site of several PAS finds, located east of Boxted Cross.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset (3269) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
3278	Colchester City Council	Low	The asset (3278) is Water Lane, a Protected Lane, between Boxted in the west and Stratford St Mary in the east.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3278) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3278).		
3279	Colchester City Council	Low	The asset (3279) is Low Lift Cottage Road, a Protected Lane, between Boxted in the west and Stratford St Mary in the east.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3279) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3279).		
3280	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (3280) is the archaeological remains of two medieval ditches, possibly a trackway, located west of Bacon's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3280) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3280).</p>	N/A	N/A
3281	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (3281) is the archaeological remains of a Romano-British trackway and possible farmstead enclosure located east of Raydon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (3281) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3281).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (3281) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3282	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3282</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible Romano-British farmstead enclosure located east of Raydon.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>3282</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3282</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3282</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3283	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3283</b>) is the archaeological remains of a probable prehistoric pits and ditches located south of Raydon and possibly associated with the Iron Age to Romano-British enclosure (<b>3337</b>) a little to the south.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>3283</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3283</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3283</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3284	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>3284</b>) is the archaeological remains of widespread remains of Neolithic, Bronze Age and Iron Age activity located west of Holton St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>3284</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3284</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>3284</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3285	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3285</b>) is the archaeological remains of medieval pitting located north-east of Langham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3285</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3285</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3286	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3268</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible prehistoric / Romano-British roundhouse.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3286</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3286</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3287	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3287</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible late Iron Age / Romano-British farmstead.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>3287</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3287</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB10 to TB12 and a SuDS basin location. These elements of the Project would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3288	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3288</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible Iron Age pits and ditches perhaps peripheral to an area of settlement, located adjacent to Little Bromley Road and east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3288</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3288</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3289	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3289</b>) is the archaeological remains of medieval/post medieval field boundary ditches and furrows located west of Hungerdown Lane and east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3289</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3289</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3290	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3290</b>) is the archaeological remains of a Romano-British ditch and possible occupation layer located within the bounds of the former RAF Raydon (<b>3189</b>) and north-east of Raydon.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3290</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3290</b> ).		
3291	Project identified archaeological features	Low	The asset ( <b>3291</b> ) is the archaeological remains of the north-east corner of a probable Romano-British enclosure located immediately north of the former RAF Raydon ( <b>3189</b> ) and north-east of Raydon.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3291</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3291</b> ).		
3292	Project identified archaeological features	Low	The asset ( <b>3292</b> ) is the archaeological remains of a Romano-British ditch and a partially exposed possible kiln located within the bounds of the former RAF Raydon ( <b>3189</b> ) and north-east of Raydon.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3292</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3292).		
3293	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (3293) is the archaeological remains of a possible undated cremation located within the bounds of the former RAF Raydon (3189) and north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3293) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3293).</p>	N/A	N/A
3294	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (3294) is the archaeological remains of a brick-built 16th-18th century building located between Stratford St Mary to the north-east and Boxted in the south-west.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3294) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3294).</p>	N/A	N/A
3295	Project identified	Low	The asset (3295) is the archaeological remains of Romano-British ditches and pits and post medieval field boundaries.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	archaeological features		All the impacts on asset ( <b>3295</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3295</b> ).		
3296	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3296</b>) is the archaeological remains of a middle Bronze Age ditch, post medieval and undated ditches, and undated pits including one containing cremated human bone located immediately west of the A137 Harwich Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3296</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3296</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3297	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3297</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a north/south aligned trackway with several perpendicularly appended enclosures with numerous internal, discrete features located adjacent to pylon JC12.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3297</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3297).		
3298	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (3298) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming three sides of a presumably square or rectangular enclosure with several internal discrete anomalies located between pylons JC31 and JC32.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3298) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3289).</p>	N/A	N/A
3300	HER-MCC9375	Unknown	<p>The asset (3300) is the site of a PAS find, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset (3300) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3301	HER-MCC9376	Unknown	<p>The asset (3301) is the site of a PAS find, located north of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset (3301) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
3302	HER-MCC9377	Unknown	<p>The asset (3302) is the site of a PAS find, located north of Langham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>3302</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>3304</b>	HER-MCC9436	Low	The asset ( <b>3304</b> ) is the findspot of a medieval artefact, located north of Langham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>3304</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>3305</b>	HER-MCC9437	Low	The asset ( <b>3305</b> ) is the findspot of a medieval artefact, located north of Langham.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>3305</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
<b>3326</b>	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>3326</b> ) is the site of the former Longlands Barn, located south-west of Chattisham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>3326</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>3326</b> ).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3327	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3327) is the site of unnamed farm on the first edition OS map, located north-north-west of Great Wenham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3327) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3327).</p>	N/A	N/A
3328	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3328) is the site of an unnamed former farmstead within the bounds of RAF Raydon.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3328) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3328).</p>	N/A	N/A
3329	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3329) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located west of Sproughton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3329) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3329).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3330	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3330</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3330</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3330</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3332	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3332</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and enclosures, located north-east of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3332</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3332</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3333	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3333</b>) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, locate south and east of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3333</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3333</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3334	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3334) is the cropmarks of field boundaries and a possible trackway, located north of Coopers Corner.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3334) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3334).</p>	N/A	N/A
3335	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3335) is cropmarks of field boundaries and enclosures, located largely within RAF Raydon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3335) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3335).</p>	N/A	N/A
3336	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3336) is cropmarks of field boundaries, pits and a possible enclosure, located east of Raydon and partially within RAF Raydon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3336) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3336).		
3337	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3337) is cropmarks of field boundaries, located south of Raydon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3337) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3337).</p>	N/A	N/A
3338	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3338) is cropmarks of field boundaries and trackways, located west of Holton Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3338) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3338).</p>	N/A	N/A
3339	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3339) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, ring ditches, and enclosures extending asset (3339), located south-east of Higham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3339) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3339).		
3340	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (3340) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-east of Burstall.  All the impacts on asset (3340) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3340).	N/A	N/A
3341	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (3341) is cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-west of Chattisham.  All the impacts on asset (3341) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3341).	N/A	N/A
3343	HER-MEX11474	Low	The asset (3343) is cropmarks of field boundaries and three ring ditches, located south-east of Little Bromley.  All the impacts on asset (3343) would take place during the construction phase.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3343).		
3349	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (3349) is cropmarks of field boundaries, located immediately north of Burstall.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3349) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3349).		
3350	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (3350) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south-west of Sproughton.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3350) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3350).		
3351	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (3351) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located north-east of Higham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3351) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3351).</p>		
3353	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3353) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south of Little Bromley.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no impacts on asset (3353) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3353).</p>		
3362	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (3362) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, enclosures, and a trackway, located immediately north of Ardleigh.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (3362) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3362).</p>		
3404	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3404) is cropmarks of field boundaries, located immediately north of Burstall.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3404</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3404</b>).</p>		
3405	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3405</b>) is a parish boundaries, which crosses the Order Limits south-east of Burstall.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>3405</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>3405</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3332	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3332</b>) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to the north-east and south of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3332</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3332</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3407</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Burstall.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			(3407) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3407).		
3408	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (3408) is the site of a former trigonometry point, located east of Burstall.  As the asset (3408) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
3410	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3410) is the cropmarks of field boundaries, located south and east of Chattisham.  All the impacts on asset (3410) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3410).	N/A	N/A
3413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3413) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Burstall.  All the impacts on asset (3413) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3413).		
3421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3421) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Chattisham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3421) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3421).</p>	N/A	N/A
3434	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3434) is the site of a former pond, located south of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3434) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3434).</p>	N/A	N/A
3439	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3439) is the site of the former Longland's Barn, located south-south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3439) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3439).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3444	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3444) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits between pylons JC26 and JC26 to the south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3444) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3444).</p>	N/A	N/A
3445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3445) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits at pylon JC30 to the south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3445) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3445).</p>	N/A	N/A
3447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3447) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to twice to the east and south of Raydon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3447) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3447).		
3450	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3450) is the route of the former Great Eastern Railway (Hadleigh Branch), which crosses the Order Limits immediately north of the Little Wenham CSE compound and north of Coopers Corner.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3450) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3450).		
3452	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (3452) is the site of a former pond, located north-east of Raydon.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3452) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3452).		
3454	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3454) is the site of the former Cole's Farm, located east-south-east of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3454) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3454).</p>		
3455	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3455) is the site of a former pond, located immediately north of Ardleigh Road and east-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3455) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3455).</p>	N/A	N/A
3456	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3456) is a possible important hedgerow, located immediately to the east of the proposed East Anglia Connection Node Substation to the east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3456) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3456).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3459</b>) is the site of a woodland, located east-south-east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>3459</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>3459</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3466</b>) is the site of a former pond adjacent to Dedham Road and located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3466</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3466</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3467	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>3467</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3467</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3467</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3474	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3474) is the site of a former pond, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3474) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3474).</p>	N/A	N/A
3478	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3478) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3478) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3478).</p>	N/A	N/A
3479	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3479) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3479) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3479).</p>	N/A	N/A
3483	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3483) is the site of a former gravel pit, now backfilled and returned to pasture, located north-north-east of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Langham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3483) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3483).</p>		
3485	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3485) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3485) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3485).</p>	N/A	N/A
3502	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3502) is the site of a former pond, located north of Langham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3502) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3502).</p>	N/A	N/A
3510	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3510) is a parish boundary, located east of Higham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3510</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3510</b>).</p>		
3351	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3351</b>) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits along Holtonwood Road to the north-east of Higham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>3351</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>3351</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3520	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3520</b>) is a parish boundary located west of Stratford St Mary and delineated by the Stour river.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>3520</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>3520</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
3523	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>3523</b>) is the site of a series of former ponds, located north-east of Langham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (3523) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3523).</p>		
3525	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3525) is a parish boundary, located east of Langham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3525) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3525).</p>	N/A	N/A
3530	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3530) is the former site builds, yards and ponds, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3530) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3530).</p>	N/A	N/A
3532	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3532) is the site of a former orchard, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3532) would</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3532).		
3533	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3533) is a parish boundary, located immediately to the east of the proposed East Anglia Connection Node Substation to the east of Ardleigh.  All the impacts on asset (3533) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3533).	N/A	N/A
3535	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3535) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits south of Langham.  All the impacts on asset (3535) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3535).	N/A	N/A
3536	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3536) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to the south of Lamb Corner.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset (3536) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3536).		
3540	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3540) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Raydon.  All the impacts on asset (3540) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3540).	N/A	N/A
3541	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3541) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Higham.  There would be no impacts on asset (3541) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3541).	N/A	N/A
3542	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3542) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.  All the impacts on asset (3542) would take place during the construction phase.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3542).		
3544	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3544) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Chattisham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3544) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3544).		
3545	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3545) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3545) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3545).		
3546	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3546) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Stratford Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3546) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3546).</p>		
3474	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3474) is the site of a former pond, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3474) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3474).</p>	N/A	N/A
3478	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3478) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3478) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3478).</p>	N/A	N/A
3479	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3479) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3479) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3479).		
3483	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3483) is the site of a former gravel pit, now backfilled and returned to pasture, located north-north-east of Langham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3483) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3483).</p>	N/A	N/A
3485	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3485) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3485) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3485).</p>	N/A	N/A
3502	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (3502) is the site of a former pond, located north of Langham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3502) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3502).		
3510	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3510) is a parish boundary, located east of Higham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3510) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3510).</p>	N/A	N/A
3351	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3351) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits along Holtonwood Road to the north-east of Higham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3351) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3351).</p>	N/A	N/A
3520	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3520) is a parish boundary located west of Stratford St Mary and delineated by the Stour river.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3520) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3520).		
3523	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3523) is the site of a series of former ponds, located north-east of Langham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3523) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3523).</p>	N/A	N/A
3525	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3525) is a parish boundary, located east of Langham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3525) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3525).</p>	N/A	N/A
3530	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3530) is the former site builds, yards and ponds, located north of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3530) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3530).		
3532	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3532) is the site of a former orchard, located east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3532) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3532).</p>		
3533	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3533) is a parish boundary, located immediately to the east of the proposed East Anglia Connection Node Substation to the east of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3533) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3533).</p>	N/A	N/A
3535	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3535) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits south of Langham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3535) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3535).		
3536	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3536) is a parish boundary, which crosses the Order Limits to the south of Lamb Corner.  All the impacts on asset (3536) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3536).	N/A	N/A
3540	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3540) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-east of Raydon.  All the impacts on asset (3540) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3540).	N/A	N/A
3541	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3541) is a possible important hedgerow, located east of Higham.  There would be no impacts on asset (3541) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3541).		
3542	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3542) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3542) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3542).</p>	N/A	N/A
3544	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3544) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3544) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3544).</p>	N/A	N/A
3545	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3545) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3545) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3545).		
3546	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3546) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Stratford Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3546) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3546).		
3548	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3548) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (3541) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3541).		
3542	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (3542) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (3542) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3542).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3544	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3544) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3544) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3544).</p>	N/A	N/A
3545	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3545) is a possible important hedgerow, located south-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3545) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3545).</p>	N/A	N/A
3546	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3546) is a possible important hedgerow, located north-west of Stratford Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (3546) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (3546).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
3548	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (3548) is a possible important hedgerow, located west of Ardleigh.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (3541) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (3541).</p>	N/A	N/A

#### HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION C ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT

3042	HER-MSF4638	Medium	<p>The asset (3042) is the Birch Farm Moat associated with Birch House Farmhouse (1036898) and the Birch Farm Farmstead (3046) and located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC25 to JC28.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset (3042) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3046	HER-MSF42547	Medium	The asset (3046) is the Birch House Farm Farmstead associated with the Birch Farm Moat (3042) associated with Birch House Farmhouse (1036898) and	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset (3046) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>located south-west of Chattisham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC25 to JC28.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3088	HER-MSF44937	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3088</b>) is the Woodlands Farm Farmstead, located north-north-east of Roydon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB34 and TB35, the Little Wenham CSE compound, and a permanent attenuation pond.</p> <p>These elements of the Project would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>3088</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3107	HER-MSF4541	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3107</b>) is the site of the circular cropmark of a possible barrow, located south of Sproughton. It is not clear if this asset is a duplicate of asset (<b>3115</b>) or</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3107</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>not.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the removal of towers PHB24 to PHB26.</p> <p>The tower corridor of the Project lies over 1.1 km to the west of the asset beyond the setting of the asset. The dismantling of towers PHB24 to PHB26 would remove them from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>		<p><b>beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3111	HER-MSF5127	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3111</b>) is the site of a medieval moat, located on Vauxhall Farmstead north-east of Raydon.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC29 to JC33.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and outbuildings of the farmyard and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of the asset (<b>3111</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3112	HER-MSF45157	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3112</b>) is the site of Vauxhall Farmstead, located north-east of Raydon.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as	<p>The value of the asset (<b>3111</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons JC29 to JC33.</p> <p>The pylons would be occasionally visible through the mature vegetation and outbuildings of the farmyard, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3115	HER-MSZ27316	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3115</b>) is the site of the circular cropmark of a possible barrow, located south of Sproughton. It is not clear if this asset is a duplicate of asset (<b>3107</b>) or not.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the removal of towers PHB24 to PHB26.</p> <p>The tower corridor of the Project lies over 1.1 km to the west of the asset beyond the setting of the asset. The dismantling of towers PHB24 to PHB26 would remove them from the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>3115</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low beneficial</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor beneficial</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3118	HER-MSF43893	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3118</b>) is the site of Four Sifers Farm Farmstead, located south-east of</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as</p>	<p>The value of the farmstead (<b>3118</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Holton St Mary.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3154	HER-MSF43778	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3154</b>) is the site of Dewlands Farm Farmstead, located north-east of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the farmstead (<b>3154</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3174	HER-MSF8460	High	<p>The asset (<b>3174</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>3174</b>) is high, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
3175	HER-MSF8461	High	<p>The asset (<b>3175</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of the asset (<b>3175</b>) is high, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3179	HER-MSF8582	High	<p>The asset (<b>3179</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset ( <b>3179</b> ) is high, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3195	HER-MSF20278	High	<p>The asset (<b>3195</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located within a high value cropmark complex south-east of Higham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset ( <b>3195</b> ) is high, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
3250	HER-MEX8587	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>3250</b>) is a cropmark complex that encompasses the southern portion of scheduled monument (<b>1489898</b>) between Little Bromley and Great Bromley.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of the northern primary access route. The route falls within the northern boundary of the asset and would be visible altering the character of the rural setting of the asset. The</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset ( <b>3250</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		

## Section D

Table A11.2.29 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section D

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION D ORDER LIMITS</b>					
4000	Colchester City Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4000</b>) is Holts Road/ Crabtree Lane/Workhouse Road, a Protected Lane network, west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4000</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4000</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4002	Colchester City Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4002</b>) is Fossetts Lane, a Protected Lane, west of north-east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4002</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4002</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4007	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4007</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible Romano-British settlement activity and possible medieval pottery production located west of The</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>4007</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Causeway and south-east of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4007</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4007</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4012	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4012</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible roadside medieval settlement activity immediately south of School Lane and south-east of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4012</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4012</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>4012</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b> .		
4013	Project identified archaeological features	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4013</b>) is the archaeological remains of a possible Romano-British enclosure located west of London Road and south of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4013</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4013</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not impact the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>The value of the asset (<b>4013</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4015	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4015</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming small rectilinear fields Located between pylons TB38 and TB39.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4015</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4015</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4016	Project identified	Low	The asset ( <b>4016</b> ) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a small group of	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	Phase 2 geophysical anomalies		possible pit and short gullies located adjacent to pylon TB53. All the impacts on asset ( <b>4016</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4016</b> ).		
4017	Project identified geophysical anomalies	Low	The asset ( <b>4017</b> ) is geophysical anomalies located at pylon TB51.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>4017</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4017</b> ).	N/A	N/A
4018	HER-MCC4742	Low	The asset ( <b>4018</b> ) is a group of cropmarks of trackways between School Lane and Old House Road, west of the Causeway, south of Great Horkesley.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>4018</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4018</b> ).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4019	Project identified geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (4019) is geophysical anomalies located immediately west of pylon TB65.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4019) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4019).</p>	N/A	N/A
4024	HER-MCC4784	Low	<p>From a meadow at the side of Mill road/ Fiddlers Hill a type FW3/22 pillbox (4024) faces north towards Fordham Bridge.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4024) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4024).</p>	N/A	N/A
4026	HER-MCC4786	Low	<p>The asset (4026) is a pillbox (WWII) located south bank of River Colne, c. 380 m east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4026) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4026).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4028	HER-MCC4787	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4028</b>) is a type FW3/22 concrete pillbox (WWII), 45 m east of Fordstreet Hill, south of Fordstreet.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4028</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4028</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4043	HER-MCC5119	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4043</b>) is a destroyed pillbox (WWII), west of Fordstreet Hill, south of Fordstreet.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4043</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4043</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4050	HER-MCC5658	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4050</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Colchester Road and west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4050</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4050).		
4057	HER-MCC7017	Low	<p>The asset (4057) is a medieval homestead moat located along Brook/Great Tey Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4057) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4057).</p>	N/A	N/A
4059	HER-MCC6931	Medium	<p>The asset (4059) is a cropmark complex, located west of Brook/Great Tey Road and south of Great Tey.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (4059) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4059).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB63 to TB67 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural</p>	N/A	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (4059) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
4062	HER-MCC7125	Low	The asset ( <b>4062</b> ) is a cropmark complex including ring ditches, field boundaries, linear features, pits and a trackway, located east of Great Horkesley, on Broad Lane.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4062</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4062</b> ).		
4063	HER-MCC7126	Medium	The asset ( <b>4063</b> ) is the former Boxted WWII Airfield, located south-west of Langham.	N/A	<p data-bbox="1688 855 1995 935">For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p data-bbox="1688 967 2107 1342">For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4063</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
			For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset ( <b>4063</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4063</b> ).		
			For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB21		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			to TB27 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
4067	HER-MCC7207	Low	The asset ( <b>4067</b> ) is the Old House Field located north-west of Great Horkesley, on School Lane.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4067</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4067</b> ).		
4073	HER - MCC7577	Low	The asset (4073) is a cropmarks complex, located south of Rectory Lane and south-east of Great Tey.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4073</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4073</b> ).		
4077	HER - MCC7704	Low	The asset ( <b>4077</b> ) is a cropmark complex located between Fiddlers Hill/Mill Road and Porters Farm Road, on the southern	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>bank of the Colne river north of Fordham Heath.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4077</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4077</b>).</p>		
4078	HER - MCC7711	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4078</b>) is the site of possible prehistoric cropmarks including five ring ditches and a double ring ditch. This asset is located immediately east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4078</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4078</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB49-TB51 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on</p>	N/A	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (4078) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
4081	HER - MCC7078	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4081</b>) is the site of excavated Romano-British settlement remains, located south of Fordham, and associated with a lead coffin and two Roman burials excavated earlier (<b>4093</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4081</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4081</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB46-TB49 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>	N/A	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4081</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4082	HER - MCC7079	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4082</b>) is the site of cropmarks and geophysical anomalies considered to represent Romano-British activity associated with excavated remains (<b>4081</b>) and (<b>4093</b>), located south of</p>	N/A	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the <b>value</b> of asset (<b>4082</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Fordham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4082</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4082</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB46 - TB49 are introduced. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>.</p>		<p>the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4084	HER - MCC7081	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4084</b>) is a group of undated cropmarks west of Aldham Hall along North Lane, including trackway spanning two modern fields.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4084</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4084</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4088	HER - MCC7733	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4088</b>) is a group of undated cropmarks including ring ditches and linear feature. This asset is located north-east of Fordham, along Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>4088</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4088</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB47 to TB44. The closest pylon would be 40 m south of the asset. The pylons would be visible from the asset and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	N/A	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>4088</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4090	HER - MCC7762	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4090</b>) is a cropmark complex, located east of Fordstreet and Aldham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4090</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4090).		
4092	HER - MCC7775	Low	<p>The asset (4092) is a cropmarks complex, located south of Rectory Road and south-east of Great Tey.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4092) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4092).</p>	N/A	N/A
4093	HER - MCC8139	Medium	<p>The asset (4093) is a site of a Roman lead coffin and skeleton excavated in 1984, and located south of Fordham.</p> <p>As the asset (4093) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
4099	HER - MCC8640	Low	<p>The asset (4099) is a group of undated cropmarks of linear features, the predominant ones being the former woodland boundaries and field boundaries of Aldhamhall Wood and Aldham Hall. This asset is located along Brook Road, south of Aldham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4099) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4099).		
4100	HER - MCC8641	Low	The asset (4100) is a group of linear cropmarks, located north-east of Fordham.  All the impacts on asset (4100) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4100).	N/A	N/A
4102	HER - MCC8645	Medium	The asset (4102) is the site of a cropmark complex, located south-east of Fordham and south of Fossetts Lane.  For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (4102) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4102).  For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB45-TB47. TB46 and TB47 are located	N/A	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset (4102) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			within the bounds of the asset and would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>high adverse</b> .		
4103	HER - MCC8646	Low	The asset ( <b>4103</b> ) is a cropmark complex, located east of Fordstreet and west of Mill Road.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4103</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4103</b> ).		
4104	HER - MCC8753	Low	The asset ( <b>4104</b> ) is the cropmark of a probable medieval moated site, located along Fossetts Lane and east of Fordham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4104</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4104</b> ).		
4107	HER - MCC9061	Medium	The asset ( <b>4107</b> ) is the site of a cropmark complex, located immediately west of the A12 and south of Langham.	N/A	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (4107) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4107).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset due to the introduction of pylons TB21 to TB23. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>asset (4107) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4120	HER - MCC7148	Low	<p>The asset (4120) is the route of a possible Roman road which follows the route of the A134 through Great Horkesley.</p> <p>As the asset (4120) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
4123	HER - MCC8754	Low	<p>The asset (4123) is the route of the Roman road Stane Street, located within the Order Limits between Broad Green and Surrex.</p> <p>As the asset (4123) has been removed</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4161	HER - MCC5344	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4161</b>) is the location of a former post medieval brickworks located north-west of Bergholt.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4161</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4161</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4183	HER - MCC10120	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4183</b>) is the site of excavated Late Iron Age occupation features within cropmark complex (<b>4107</b>), located immediately west of the A12 and south of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>4183</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
4184	HER - MCC10121	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4184</b>) is the site of several charcoal rich pits excavated, and radiocarbon dated to 21 BC - 125 AD, located north of Langham Road and south-west of Langham.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>4183</b>) has been removed</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4185	HER - MCC10122	Low	The asset (4185) is the site of two charcoal rich excavated pits located south-west of Boxted.  As the asset (4183) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
4186	HER - MCC10123	Medium	The asset (4186) is a site several excavated late Iron Age occupation features including ditches and pits and postholes. This asset is located east of Great Horkesley.  As the asset (4186) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
4197	HER - MCC4740	Medium	The asset (4197) is the site of Brickworks North of Primrose House/Colliers Brick Works which is an active site that has been producing bricks since 1863. It is located along the railway and the Roman river, north of Marks Tey.  For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (4197) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	N/A	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset (4197) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4197).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB60-TB61 are introduced. TB60 is located within the bounds of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
4205	HER - MCC9121	Low	<p>The asset (4205) is a group of undated cropmarks near Boxted Heath.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4205) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4205).</p>	N/A	N/A
4208	HER - MCC9146	Low	<p>The asset (4208) is former common land, located south-west of Little Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4208) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4208).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4211	HER - MCC9149	Low	<p>The asset (4211) is the site of Boxted Heath common land, located south-east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4211) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4211).</p>	N/A	N/A
4228	HER - MCC9334	Low	<p>The asset (4228) is an undated cropmark complex east of Great Horkesley, along The Causeway.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4228) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4228).</p>	N/A	N/A
4360	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (4360) is a group of cropmarks west of Langham Lane and north of Colchester.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4360) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4360).		
4362	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (4362) is a group of cropmarks south of Colchester Road, north east of Quilters Green.  All the impacts on asset (4362) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4362).	N/A	N/A
4363	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (4363) is a group of cropmarks east of Fossetts Lane, east of Quilters Green  All the impacts on asset (4363) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4363).	N/A	N/A
4365	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (4365) is a group of cropmarks east of great Tey Road, north of Marks Key.  All the impacts on asset (4365) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4365).		
4366	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (4366) is a group of cropmarks east of The Causeway, north-east of Great Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4366) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4366).		
4367	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (4367) is a cropmark complex flanking London Road, west of Great Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4367) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4367).		
4368	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (4368) is a cropmark complex east of Vinesse Road, south of Little Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4368) would take place during the construction phase.		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(4368)</b> .		
4369	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset <b>(4369)</b> is a group of cropmarks west of Great Tey Road, north of Marks Key.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(4369)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(4369)</b> .		
4370	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset <b>(4370)</b> is a cropmark complex north, east and south of Broad Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(4370)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(4370)</b> .		
4800	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset <b>(4800)</b> is cropmarks located north of Lodge Lane.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(4800)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4800).		
4379	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (4379) is a Pond at Knave's Farm, along great Tey Road, south of the Grade II listed building 'Old Rectory' (1224447)	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4379) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4379).		
4380	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (4380) is the site of a pond, situated along Great Tey Road, north-west of the Grade II listed 'Old Rectory' (1224447).	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4380) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4380).		
4385	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (4385) is the site of a pond, situated east of Great Tey Road.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4385) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4385).</p>		
4386	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (4386) is the site of a pond, situated east of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4386) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4386).</p>	N/A	N/A
4397	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (4397) is the site of a pond, situated west of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4397) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4397).</p>	N/A	N/A
4404	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (4404) is the site of two ponds, situated east of Aldham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4404) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4404).		
4405	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (4405) is the site of several ponds, situated along Green Lane, north east of Aldham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4405) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4405).</p>	N/A	N/A
4425	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (4425) is the site of possible allotments, situated along Broad Lane and Lincoln Lane, east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4425) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4425).</p>	N/A	N/A
4437	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4437) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, situated east of Great Tey Road and south of the Roman river.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4437) would</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4437).		
4441	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4441) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated north of Colchester Road.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4441) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4441).		
4442	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4442) is the site of an undefined boundary, situated between Crabtree Lane and Vinesse Road.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4442) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4442).		
4443	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4443) is the site of a Project undefined boundary, situated east of Vinesse Road.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (4443) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4443).</p>		
4444	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4444) is the site of a Project identified Parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated on London Road and to its west.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (4444) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4444).</p>		
4445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4445) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated along Langham Lane.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (4445) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4445).</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4446	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4446) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated east of Lincoln Lane and Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4446) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4446).</p>	N/A	N/A
4447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4447) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated along Colchester Road, west of Crabtree Lane.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4447) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4447).</p>	N/A	N/A
4448	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4448) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated north of Colchester Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4448) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4448).		
4449	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4449) is the site of a Parish boundary, situated east of Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4449) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4449).</p>	N/A	N/A
4453	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4453) is the site of a Parish boundary, following the River Colne from Fordstreet to Halstead Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4453) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4453).</p>	N/A	N/A
4455	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4455) is the site of a Parish, located east of Great Tey Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4455) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4455).		
4456	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4456) is the site of a Parish boundary, and located north of Marks Tey.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4456) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4456).</p>	N/A	N/A
4457	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4457) is the site of a Parish boundary, located between Surrex and East Gores.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4457) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4457).</p>	N/A	N/A
4459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4459) is the site of a woodland copse, located east of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (4459) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4459).		
4460	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4460) is the site of a woodland copse, located east of Great Horkesley and of Lincoln Lane.  All the impacts on asset (4460) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4460).	N/A	N/A
4461	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4461) is the extant Great Eastern Railway - Sudbury and Bury Branch, located south-east of Great Tey.  All the impacts on asset (4461) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4461).	N/A	N/A
4465	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4465) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along Langham Lane and south-west of Langham.  All the impacts on asset (4465) would take place during the construction phase.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4465).		
4466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4466) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along the B1508 Colchester Road west of Great Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4466) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4466).		
4467	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4467) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along the B1508/ Colchester Road and west of great Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4467) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4467).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4468	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4468</b>) is the site of a Project identified historical hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, and is located along Great Tey Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4468</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4468</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4469	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4469</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located between Vinesse Road and London Road and west of Great Horkesley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4469</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4469</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4470	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4470</b>) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located of Fossetts Lane and north-east of Fordham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4470</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4470).		
4471	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4471) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located between Vinesse Road and London Road, and west of Great Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4471) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4471).		
4472	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4472) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located west of Great Tey Road and east of East Gores.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4472) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4472).		
4474	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (4474) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located east of Lincoln Lane and east of Great Horkesley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (4474) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4474).</p>		
4475	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (4475) is the site of a historical hedgerow, located along Langham Lane and south-west of Langham.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (4475) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (4475).</p>		
4500	HER-MCC9344	Medium	<p>The asset (4500) is excavated Iron Age and early medieval archaeological features, located to the south of Fossetts Lane, Fordham.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>As the asset (4500) has been removed from the Order Limits through excavation, there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		
4512	HER-MCC10067	Negligible	<p>The asset (4512) is the postulated route of an earthwork dyke following the line of Salary Brook and Black Brook, to the north of Colchester.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4512</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4512</b> ).		
4687	HER-MCC9767	Negligible	The asset ( <b>4687</b> ) is a findspots recorded by the PAS. This asset is located between Colchester Road and Crabtree Lane.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>4687</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4613	HER-MCC9370	Low	The asset ( <b>4613</b> ) is a findspots recorded by the PAS. This asset is located between Colchester Road and Crabtree Lane.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>4613</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4691	HER-MCC9804	Low	The asset ( <b>4691</b> ) is a findspot recorded by the PAS. It is located west of Brook Road, north-west of North Lane.	N/A	N/A
			As the asset ( <b>4691</b> ) has been removed		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4616	HER-MCC9405	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4616</b>) is a findspot recorded by the PAS and located west of Fossetts Lane.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>4616</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
4646	HER-MCC9582	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4646</b>) is a findspots recorded by the PAS. This asset is located between Colchester Road and Crabtree Lane.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>4646</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
4707	HER-MCC9966	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4707</b>) is a findspot recorded by the PAS located west of Mill Road, east of Fordstreet.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>4707</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
4621 to 4645 and	HER-MCC9553-MCC9569-MCC9574-	Low	<p>The assets (<b>4621</b>) to (<b>4645</b>) and (<b>4647</b>) to (<b>4686</b>) are findspots recorded by the PAS, They are all located in the same place.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
4647 to 4686	MCC9581 MCC9598- MCC9618 MCC9689- MCC9698 MCC9700 MCC9702- MCC9708 MCC9723		As the asset (4621) to (4645) and (4647) to (4686) have been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4692	HER-MCC9805	Low	The asset (4692) is a findspot recorded by the PAS. It is located west of Brook Road.  As the asset (4692) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
4600 and 4609	HER-MCC4118 and MCC6521	Low	The assets (4600) and (4609) are findspots recorded by the PAS. They are located east of the Causeway.  As the assets (4600) and (4609) have been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the assets.	N/A	N/A
4700	HER-MCC9931	Low	The asset (4700) is a findspot recorded by the PAS, west of Brook Road, south of Rectory Road.  As the asset (4700) has been removed	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
4118	MCC7761	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4118</b>) is a possible section of the Roman road identified as forming part of a route running north-north-east from Colchester towards Great Horkesley and crossing the Order Limit.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4118</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4118</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4520	MSF44892	Low	<p>The asset (<b>4520</b>) is a possible section of the Roman road identified as forming part of a route running north-north-east from Colchester towards Great Horkesley and crossing the Order Limit.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>4520</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>4520</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
4354	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>4354</b> ) is a cropmark complex located north of Rectory Road and partially within the Order Limits.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4354</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4354</b> ).		
4804	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>4804</b> ) is a field boundary found east of Fossetts Lane, c. 1.3 km north-east of Fordham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>4804</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>4804</b> ).		
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION D ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
4072	HER-MCC7572	Medium	The asset ( <b>4072</b> ) is a medieval moat near Brook Road, south of Aldham, which surrounds the medieval Grade II* listed building Aldham Hall ( <b>1306270</b> ).	N/A	The value of asset ( <b>4072</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
			The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB57 and TB58. The closest pylon (TB57) would be c. 250 m north of the asset and might be		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			seen from the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
4217	HER-MCC9220	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4217</b>) is a historic farmstead located along Great Tey Road, north of Mark Tey and which includes the Grade II listed 'The Range south of barn to south of Knaves Farmhouse' (<b>1266775</b>), 'Knaves Farmhouse' (<b>1266773</b>) and the 'Barn to south of Knaves Farmhouse' (<b>1266822</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset due to the introduction of the pylons TB63 to TB66. Due to high trees around the asset the views to the pylons would be limited. The closest pylon would be located 270 m to the west. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	N/A	<p>The value of asset (<b>4217</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
4225	HER-MCC9229	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>4225</b>) is a historic farmstead located along Brook Road and south of Great Tey. The farmstead includes the Grade II listed 'Granary' (<b>1223157</b>), 'Barn to east of Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1223158</b>), 'Stables To South Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1267423</b>), 'Barn To South East Of Teybrook Farmhouse' (<b>1267424</b>), 'Teybrook Farmhouse'</p>	N/A	<p>The value of asset (<b>4225</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>(1223156), 'Barn To Rear Of Stables At Teybrook Farm' (1267422), and the 'Garden Wall At Teybrook Farm' (1223159).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset due to the introduction of the pylons TB64 to TB65. Due to high trees around the asset the views to the pylons would be limited. The closest pylon would be located 550 m west. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section E

Table A11.2.30 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section E

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION E ORDER LIMITS</b>					
5002	HER - MEX1032902	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5002</b>) is a 19th century cast iron milepost located by Colchester Road, east of Surrex.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5002</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on the asset (<b>5002</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5009	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5009</b>) is the archaeological remains of a small prehistoric pit containing pottery/ burnt material and located east of Fairstead Road, North of Fairstead, and west of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5009</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on the asset (<b>5009</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5010	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5010</b>) is the archaeological remains of undated ditches and one pit located north of Fairstead, and west of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5010</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on the asset (<b>5010</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5014	HER - MEX1031391	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5014</b>) is the site of a sub-rectangular enclosure complex, thought to be field boundaries, located north-west of Feering.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5014</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on the asset (<b>5014</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5021	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5021</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming enclosures and a possible trackway, and a partial possible ring ditch located near pylon TB74.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5021</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5021</b>).</p>		
5024	HER - MEX1033776	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5024</b>) is the former site of ancillary dispersed site buildings associated with the WWII RAF Rivenhall (<b>5239</b>), within Cutley's Wood, east of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5024</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5024</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5027	HER - MEX1033779	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5027</b>) is the former site of ancillary dispersed site buildings associated with the WWII RAF Rivenhall (<b>5239</b>), east of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5027</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5027).		
5030	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (5030) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming possible enclosures located to the east of pylon TB93.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5030) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5030).</p>	N/A	N/A
5036	HER - MEX1035403	Medium	<p>The asset (5036) is a designed landscape (garden) associated with Rivenhall Place (1122598), located south of Western Road, west of Park Road and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (5036) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5036).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (5036) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB92 is introduced immediately to the south of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
5056	HER - MEX20749	Low	The asset ( <b>5056</b> ) is the site of the cropmarks of a potential building, trackway, and linear ditch features, south-west of Witham Road (B1018), and east of White Notley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5056</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5056</b> ).		
5057	HER - MEX23958	Medium	The asset ( <b>5057</b> ) is the site of cropmarks within a field immediately north-east of Faulkbourne, and north-east of Church Hill (road).	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the below ground remains: N/A
			For the below ground remains: All the impacts on asset ( <b>5057</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and		For the setting: the value of asset ( <b>5057</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>so no further impacts on asset (5057).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see no change in the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5059	HER-MEX1050834	Medium	<p>The asset (5059) is the site of three probably, likely multi-phase, areas of settlement activity, in a field south-east of Silver End and north-west of Rivenhall.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on the asset (5059) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5059).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB94 and TB94 are introduced within the area of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (5059) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5069	HER - MEX26753	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5069</b>) is the site of a partially extant medieval moat boundary ditch located at Porter's Farm surrounding Porters Farmhouse (<b>1171011</b>), situated south-west of Park Gate Road and east of Silver End.</p> <p>For below ground remains: No impact on asset (<b>5069</b>) would take place during the construction phase. There would be no further impact on the asset.</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB89-TB91. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>5036</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5077	HER - MEX27187	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5077</b>) is the site of undated cropmarks of possible field boundaries, two small enclosures and associated trackways, situated immediately north of Hollow Road, east of Silver End and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5077</b>) would take place during the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5077).		
5089	HER - MEX28254	Medium	<p>The asset (5089) is the site of cropmarks including a rectangular enclosure and a possible ring ditch, situated east of Coggeshall Road and south-east of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>For below ground remains: No impact on asset (5089) would take place during the construction phase. There would be no further impact on the asset.</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB79-TB82. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (5089) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5094	HER - MEX37983	Low	The asset (5094) is the site of a former medieval deer park (Rivenhall I and II) encompassing Rivenhall Place (1122598), and located on the south-eastern	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			outskirts of Silver End.		
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5094</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5094</b> ).		
5095	HER - MEX37985	Low	The asset ( <b>5095</b> ) is the site of a former formal landscaped park (Rivenhall III and IV) once associated with Rivenhall Place ( <b>1122598</b> ), and located on the south-eastern outskirts of Silver End.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5095</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5095</b> ).		
5098	HER - MEX38514	Low	The asset ( <b>5098</b> ) is the site of cropmarks of potential linear features and quarry extraction pits, situated north of Church Hill (road) and north-west of Faulkbourne.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5099	HER - MEX38516	Low	<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5098</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5098</b>).</p> <p>The asset (<b>5099</b>) is the site of undated cropmarks of potential field boundaries and a parish boundary, situated south-west of Church Hill (road) and south of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5099</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5099</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5100	HER - MEX38518	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5100</b>) is the site of cropmarks indicating possible field boundaries, situated north and east of Fairstead Road and north of Fairstead.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5100</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5100).		
5102	HER - MEX38522	Low	<p>The asset (5102) is the site of cropmarks indicating possible field boundaries as well as rectilinear and curvilinear enclosures, situated west of Fairstead Road, and north-west of Fairstead.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5102) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5102).</p>	N/A	N/A
5103	HER - MEX38525	Low	<p>The asset (5103) is the site of a possible rectilinear enclosure and field boundaries within land surrounding Dines Hall (1123448), situated south of Ranks Green Road and south-east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5103) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5103).		
5104	HER - MEX38528	Low	<p>The asset (5104) is the site of cropmark of linear field boundaries, situated east of Fairstead Lodge Road and west of Fairstead.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5104) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5104).</p>	N/A	N/A
5107	HER - MEX38727	Low	<p>The asset (5107) is the site of cropmark of linear field boundaries, one of which can be seen on the OS first edition, situated east of Witham Road and south of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5107) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5107).</p>	N/A	N/A
5109	HER - MEX38730	Low	The asset (5109) is the site of cropmarks of linear field boundaries,	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			situated east of Cressing Road and north-east of Faulkbourne.		
			All the impacts on asset (5109) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5109).		
5110	HER - MEX38731	Low	The asset (5110) is the site of cropmarks of linear field boundaries, situated west of Park Road and south of Silver End.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5110) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5110).		
5111	HER - MEX38733	Low	The asset (5111) is the site of cropmarks of linear field boundaries a pond and trackway suggestive of nearby occupation, situated south of Western Lane, and south-east of Silver End.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5111)		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5111).		
5117	HER - MEX38824	Low	The asset (5117) is the site of undated field boundaries seen on OS first edition mapping, situated north of Park Gate Road, east of Wood House Lane, and east of Silver End.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5117) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5117).		
5119	HER - MEX38906	Low	The asset (5119) is the site of undated field boundary cropmarks, situated west of Pantlings Lane, and north-west of Kelvedon.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5119) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5124	HER - MEX27809	Medium	<p>below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5119).</p> <p>The asset (5124) is the site a possible Romano-British settlement, suggested due to large volumes of pottery and coins being recovered, as well as a scatter of tile fragments, and is situated south-west of Scrips Road, south of Coggeshall.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (5124) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5124).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB81-TB84 are just outside to the east, south, and south-west of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (5124) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5131	HER - MEX28161	Low	The asset (5131) is the site of several undated potential linear features, a small rectangular enclosure, a curvilinear trackway,	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and several large rectangular pits, west of the River Blackwater, east of Kelvedon Road, and east of Coggeshall Hamlet.		
			All the impacts on asset (5131) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5131).		
5133	HER - MEX1035754	Low	The asset (5133) is the possible path of a Roman Road, located between Coggeshall and Kelvedon along the path of the B1024.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5133) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5133).		
5152	HER - EEX55721	Low	The asset (5152) is the location of an archaeological excavation and watching brief which uncovered evidence of multiple periods. The pipeline crosses the Order Limits north-west of Pink Lane and south-	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>west of White Notley.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>5152</b>) has been investigated, and the finds removed from the Order Limits, there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>		
5153	HER - EEX59322	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5153</b>) is the site of the eastern-most extent of a trial trench evaluation which identified early to middle Iron Age pits, gullies, post-holes, and ditches, situated west of Pantlings Lane, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>5153</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
5240	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5240</b>) is the site of a cropmark complex identified by the Project, situated west of Coggeshall Road, and south of Coggeshall Hamlet.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>5240</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5240).		
5241	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (5241) is the site of a cropmark complex, situated west of Park Road, and south-east of Silver End.  All the impacts on asset (5241) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5241).	N/A	N/A
5243	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (5243) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated north-east of Coggeshall Road, and south-east of Coggeshall.  All the impacts on asset (5243) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5243).	N/A	N/A
5244	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (5244) is the site of Project identified cropmarks,	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>situated east of Park Road, north-east of Church Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5244) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5244).</p>		
5246	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (5244) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated south-east of Church Hill, and south-west of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5246) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5246).</p>	N/A	N/A
5247	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (5247) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated north-east of Fairstead Road, and east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5247) would take place during the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5247).</p>		
5249	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (5249) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated north of Fairstead Hall Road, and south of Rank's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5249) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5249).</p>	N/A	N/A
5251	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (5251) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5251) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5251).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5252	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5252</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated south-west of Church Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5252</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5252</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5253	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5253</b>) is the site of Project identified cropmarks, situated south-west of Church Hill (road), and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5253</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5253</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5300	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5300</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated north-east of Fuller Street, and</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			north-west of Fuller Street.		
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5300</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5300</b> ).		
5301	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5301</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5301</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5301</b> ).		
5304	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5304</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south of Rank's Green Road, and south-east of Rank's Green.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5304</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5304</b> ).		
5309	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5309</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south of Ranks Green Road, and south-east of Rank's Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5309</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5309</b> ).		
5317	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5317</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Fairstead Road, and west of White Notley.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5317</b> ) would take place during the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5317).</p>		
5328	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (5328) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Church Hill (road), and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5328) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5328).</p>	N/A	N/A
5332	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (5332) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and west of Rivenhall.</p> <p>There would be no impact on asset (5332). From the commencement of the operation phase there would be</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			no below ground impact and so no change to asset ( <b>5332</b> ).		
5335	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>5335</b> ) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and west of Rivenhall.  There would be no impact on asset ( <b>5335</b> ). From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no below ground impact and so no change to asset ( <b>5335</b> ).	N/A	N/A
5336	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>5336</b> ) is the site of a Project identified section of an informal medieval parkland identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated west of Church Road and Park Road, and south-east of Silver End.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>5336</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5336</b> ).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5342	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5342</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated north of Church Road, and south-east of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5342</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5342</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5352	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5352</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated north-east of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5352</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5352</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5353	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5353</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, north-east of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5353</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5353</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5361	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5361</b>) is the site of a project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated west of Coggeshall Road (B1024), and south of Coggeshall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5361</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5361</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5375	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5375</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and north-west of Stocks Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5375</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5375</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5377	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5377</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and north-west of Stocks Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5377</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5377</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5381	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5381</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5381</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5381</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5382	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5382</b>) is the site of a Project identified pond identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Old Road, and south of Surrex.</p> <p>There would be no impact on asset (<b>5382</b>). From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no below ground impact and so <b>no change</b> to asset (<b>5382</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5395	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5395</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow and parish boundary identified during analysis of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Boreham Road, and north-west of Fuller Street.		
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5395</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5395</b> ).		
5396	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>5395</b> ) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow and parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Fairstead Road, and north-east of Fairstead.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>5396</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>5396</b> ).		
5397	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset ( <b>5397</b> ) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and south of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5397</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5397</b>).</p>		
5398	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5398</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow, west of Church Road, and south of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5398</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5398</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5399	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5399</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible historic hedgerow, situated south of Park Gate Road, and east of Silver Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5399</b>) would take place during the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5399).</p>		
5400	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5400) is the site of a possible historic hedgerow, located south-east of Coggeshall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5400) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5400).</p>	N/A	N/A
5401	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (5401) is the site of a Project identified pond, situated north of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5401) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5401).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5402	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5402</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible boundary of Felixhall Park identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated north of Hollow Road, and north-west of Kelvedon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5402</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5402</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5405	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5405</b>) is the site 'High Hall' buildings, grounds and pond, situated south-east of Fairstead Lodge Road, and north-east of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5405</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5405</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5406	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5406</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated east of Coggeshall Road, and west of Feering.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5406</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5406</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5407</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated crossing Park Gate Road, and east of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5407</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5407</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5408	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5408</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated east of Cressing Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5408</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5408</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5409	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5409</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated west of Witham Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5409</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5409</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5410	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5410</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated west of Cressing Road, and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5410</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5410</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5411	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5411</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary, situated south and south-west of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5411</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5411</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5413</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary, situated east of Cole Hill, and south, south-west, west and north-west of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Fuller Street.		
			All the impacts on asset (5413) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5413).		
5414	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5414) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Coggeshall Road, and south of Coggeshall.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5414) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5414).		
5415	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5415) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Boreham Road, and north-west of Fuller	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5415</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5415</b>).</p>		
5416	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5416</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated adjacent to Crossing Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5416</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5416</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5417	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5417</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated east of Witham Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5417</b>) would take place during the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5417).</p>		
5418	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5418) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5418) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5418).</p>	N/A	N/A
5419	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5419) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Park Road, and south of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5419) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5419).		
5420	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5420) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Fairstead Road, and east of Rank's Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5420) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5420).		
5421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5421) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated north of Colchester Road, and west of Little Tey.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5421) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5421).		
5422	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5422) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Crossing Road, and north-west of Witham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5422) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5422).</p>	N/A	N/A
5423	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5423) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Church Hill (road), and north-west of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5423) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5423).		
5424	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5424) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Church Hill (road), and north-west of Faulkbourne.  All the impacts on asset (5424) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5424).	N/A	N/A
5407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5407) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated crossing Park Gate Road, and east of Silver End.  All the impacts on asset (5407) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5407).		
5408	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5408) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated east of Crossing Road, and south-west of Silver End.  All the impacts on asset (5408) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5408).	N/A	N/A
5409	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5409) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated west of Witham Road, and south-west of Silver End.  All the impacts on asset (5409) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5409).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5410	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5410</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping situated west of Cressing Road, and south-east of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5410</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5410</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5411	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5411</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary, situated south and south-west of White Notley.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5411</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5411</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5413</b>) is the site of a Project identified parish boundary, situated east of Cole Hill, and south, south-west, west and north-west of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5413) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5413).</p>		
5414	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5414) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Coggeshall Road, and south of Coggeshall.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5414) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5414).</p>	N/A	N/A
5415	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5415) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Boreham Road, and north-west of Fuller</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5415</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5415</b>).</p>		
5416	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5416</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated adjacent to Crossing Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5416</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5416</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5417	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5417</b>) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated east of Witham Road, and north-east of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5417</b>) would take place during the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5418	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5417).</p> <p>The asset (5418) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated east of Witham Road, and south-west of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5418) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5418).</p>	N/A	N/A
5419	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5419) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated south-west of Park Road, and south of Silver End.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5419) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5419).		
5420	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5420) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Fairstead Road, and east of Rank's Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5420) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5420).		
5421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5421) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow, situated north of Colchester Road, and west of Little Tey.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (5421) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5421).		
5422	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5422) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Crossing Road, and north-west of Witham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5422) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5422).</p>	N/A	N/A
5423	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (5423) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Church Hill (road), and north-west of Faulkbourne.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (5423) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5423).		
5424	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (5424) is the site of a Project identified possible important hedgerow identified during analysis of the historic Ordnance Survey mapping, situated adjacent to Church Hill (road), and north-west of Faulkbourne.  All the impacts on asset (5424) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (5424).	N/A	N/A
5425	Geophysical Survey Anomalies	Low	The asset (5245) is the site of a single curving ditch-like anomaly aligned roughly north-west/south-east and a single magnetically enhanced discrete response recorded at the south-west boundary, both identified during geophysical survey, situated east of Fairstead Road, and north of Fairstead.  All the impacts on asset (5245) would take place during the	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5245</b>).</p>		
5388	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>5388</b>) is a former pond, first seen on mapping from 1876, located east of Coggeshall</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>5388</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>5388</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
5500	Braintree District Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5500</b>) is Pole Roda, a Protected Lane, north of Fairstead.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of</p>	<p>Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required.</p> <p>Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5500</b>) is <b>low</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b>, resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
5503	Braintree District Council	Low	<p>The asset (<b>5503</b>) is Fairstead Lodge road, a Protected Lane, north of Fuller Street.</p> <p>The construction phase would result in the permanent and irreversible loss of any portion of the asset impacted by groundworks associated with bellmouth works and the temporary haul road, however, asset elements such as flanking walls, banks, ditches, hedges etc. would be reinstated. As a small proportion of the asset falls within the Order Limits' area of impact, the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	Archaeological investigation and recording prior to the construction phase if required. Any physical impact to protected lanes would be reinstated in accordance with the provisions in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (document reference 7.4) and the Outline CoCP (document reference 7.2).	The value of asset ( <b>5503</b> ) is <b>low</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would reduce the impact to <b>negligible</b> , resulting in a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> ).

#### HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION E ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT

<b>5017</b>	HER - MEX1032412	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5017</b>) is the late 19th century designed landscape belonging to Faulkborne Hall, located south-east of Faulkborne.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>5017</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>
-------------	------------------	--------	--	--	--

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			TB102-TB105.  There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5018	HER - MEX1032414	Medium	The asset ( <b>5018</b> ) is the late 19th century designed landscape belonging to Faulkborne Hall, located south-east of Faulkborne.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB102-TB105.  There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>5018</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
5019	HER - MEX1032527	Medium	The asset ( <b>5019</b> ) is the site of building rubble possibly indicating a building of Roman date, as well as a cropmark of a wall, located directly east and north-east of Rivenhall.  The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB92-94.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>5018</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
5020	HER - MEX1031218	Medium	<p>There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>5020</b>) is the site of a potential moated area near Great Walley Hall (<b>1123449</b>) and the nearby listed barns (<b>1248834</b>, <b>1337818</b>), located east of Rank's Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB110-TB117 and permanent attenuation drainage channels and ponds.</p> <p>There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5020</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5044	HER - MEX20241	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5044</b>) is the site a moat which surrounds Dines Hall (<b>1123448</b>), located south-east of Rank's Green, and south of Ranks Green Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of this asset (<b>5044</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB117-TB119.</p> <p>There would be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p><b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5073	HER - MEX1005521	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5073</b>) is an extant late 17th to early 18th century timber framed barn south of the Grade II listed Porters Farm House (<b>1171011</b>), east of Silver End, west of Kelvedon and south of Park Gate Road.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB89-TB91.</p> <p>There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5073</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5078	HER - MEX27727	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>5078</b>) is the site of a substantial volume of medieval pottery suggestive of occupation at 'Houchin's Farmhouse' (<b>1123187</b>), located east of Coggeshall, and</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of asset (<b>5078</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>immediately east of Houchin's Lane.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB67-74.</p> <p>There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
5132	HER - MEX28191	High	<p>The asset (<b>5132</b>) is the site of cropmarks within the area surrounding a long mortuary enclosure and round barrow scheduled monument (<b>1017230</b>), north-west of Feering.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB77-TB79.</p> <p>There may be views of the pylons from the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>5132</b>) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION F ORDER LIMITS</b>					
6004	HER-MEX1031196	Low	<p>The asset (6004) are undated field boundaries which have been identified in a field at Lee Farm, located south-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6004) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6004).</p>	N/A	N/A
6007	HER-MEX1031266	Medium	<p>The asset (6007) comprises cropmarks of two ring ditches with internal features and linear ditches are recorded on land north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (6007) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6007).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB162 is located within the bounds of the asset and pylon TB161 just outside to the north</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6007) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			and pylon TB163 just outside to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
6011	HER-MEX1032817	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6011</b>) is the site of a WWI Second Class Royal Flying Corps night landing ground, located at Broomfield Court, Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6011</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6011</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6024	HER-MEX1040665	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6024</b>) is the site of cropmarks of medieval to post medieval field boundaries at Hoestreet Cottages. The asset is located immediately to the east of Hoe Street.</p> <p>There would be not the impacts on asset (<b>6024</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6024</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6025	HER-MEX1040666	Low	The asset ( <b>6025</b> ) comprises cropmarks of three small rectilinear enclosures located immediately to the east of the A131 Braintree Road.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6025</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6025</b>).</p>		
6031	HER-MEX20762	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6031</b>) is the site of undated linear cropmarks, thought to be old field boundaries, located west-south-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6031</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6031</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6038	HER-MEX28573	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6038</b>) is a cropmark complex located partially within the south-eastern portion of Langleys parkland (<b>1000241</b>).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>6038</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6038</b>).</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6038</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB139 located within the bounds of the asset and pylon TB138 to the north and pylon TB140 to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6041	HER-MEX2914	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6041</b>) comprises the fragmentary remains of a medieval moat located at Montpeliers Farm to the north of Writtle.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6041</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6041</b>).</p>		
6050	HER-MEX3612	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6050</b>) comprises a medieval moat at Partridge Green Farm located on the outskirts of Broomfield.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6050</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6050</b>).</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6056	HER-MEX38451	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6056</b>) comprises undated cropmarks of two large circular pits, a field boundary, other linear features, and some amorphous features are located on land to the north of Hole Farm, Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6056</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6056</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6057	HER-MEX38455	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6057</b>) comprises undated cropmarks of various linear and amorphous features, identified on land south of Terling Spring.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6057</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6057</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6061	HER-MEX28603	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6061</b>) comprises undated linear cropmarks in a field south-west of Partridge Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6061</b>) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6061).		
6104	HER-MEX20466	Medium	The asset (6104) comprises the route of the Chelmsford-Braintree-Long Melford Roman which crosses the Order Limits between pylons TB135 and TB135.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6104) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
			For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (6104) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6104).		
			For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see limited change to the setting of the asset which does not extend beyond the boundary of the road itself. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b> .		
6105	HER-MEX28472	Medium	The asset (6105) comprises an anti-tank ditch runs through the Order Limits at Little Waltham, to the north-west of the settlement.	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6105) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior</p>
			For below ground remains: There would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>be no impacts on asset (<b>6105</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6105</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the addition of pylons TB138. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6200	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6200</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of Boreham Road, north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6200</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6200</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6201	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6201</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of Paulk Hall Lane, south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6201</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6201).		
6203	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6203) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the eastern flank of Braintree Road, east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6203) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6203).</p>	N/A	N/A
6204	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6204) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland south-east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6204) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6204).</p>	N/A	N/A
6206	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6206) comprises a cropmark complex, located north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6206) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6206)</b> .		
6207	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset <b>(6207)</b> comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the north-western flank of Braintree Road, immediately north of Little Waltham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(6207)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6207)</b> .		
6208	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset <b>(6208)</b> comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north and south of Lark's Lane, west of Little Waltham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(6208)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6208)</b> .		
6210	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset <b>(6210)</b> comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north-west and south-east of Hollow Lane, north of Chelmsford.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6210)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6210)</b>.</p>		
6212	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset <b>(6212)</b> comprises linear cropmarks in farmland on the eastern flank of Mashbury Road, north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6212)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6212)</b>.</p>	N/A	N/A
6214	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset <b>(6214)</b> comprises a cropmark complex in farmland to the west of the Can river, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6214)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6214)</b>.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6217	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6217</b>) comprises a complex in farmland north of Ongar Road, west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6217</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6217</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6219	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6219</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland south of Ongar Road, west of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6219</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6219</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6220	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6220</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland immediately east of Highwood Road, immediately south of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6220</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6220).		
6221	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6221) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland immediately north of Nathan's Lane, south-south-east of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6221) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6221).</p>	N/A	N/A
6224	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6224) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of the A12, west and south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6224) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6224).</p>	N/A	N/A
6233	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6233) comprises a linear cropmark in farmland immediately south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6233) would</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6233).		
6248	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6248) is former pond, west of the A12 and east of Dawes Farm.  All the impacts on asset (6248) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6248).	N/A	N/A
6252	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6252) is a former pond, located along the east side of Coptfold Hall Drive, north-west of Margaretting and the A12.  All the impacts on asset (6252) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6252).	N/A	N/A
6259	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6259) is a former pond, west of Margaretting Road, north of Margaretting.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6259</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6259</b> ).		
6269	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6269</b> ) is a former pond, immediately west of Highwood Road and south-west of Little Oxney Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6269</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6269</b> ).		
6271	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6271</b> ) is a former pond, south-east of Highwood Road and south of Little Oxney Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6271</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6271</b> ).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6289	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6289) is a former pond, west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6289) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6289).</p>	N/A	N/A
6290	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6290) is a former pond, west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6290) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6290).</p>	N/A	N/A
6299	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6299) is a former pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6299) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6299).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6302	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6302</b>) is a former spring/pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6302</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6302</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6303	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6303</b>) is a former pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6303</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6303</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6307	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6307</b>) is a former pond east of Roxwell.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6307</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6307</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6314	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6314) is a former pond, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (6314) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6314).</p>	N/A	N/A
6320	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6320) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6320) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6320).</p>	N/A	N/A
6324	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6324) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6324) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6324).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6330	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6330) are former possible allotments located along Broads Green and Lark's Lane and south-east of Chignall Saint James.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (6330) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6330).</p>	N/A	N/A
6331	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6331) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall Saint James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6331) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6331).</p>	N/A	N/A
6344	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6344) comprises four former ponds west of Broomfield and north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (6344) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6344).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6350	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6350</b>) is a former pond north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6350</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6350</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6363	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6363</b>) is a former pond south-east of Broad's Green.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6363</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6363</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6376	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6376</b>) is part of a former medieval park north of Little Waltham and east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6376</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6376</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6381	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6381</b>) comprises a former pond north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6381</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6381</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6385	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6385</b>) is a former pond north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6385</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6385</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6386	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6386</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6386</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6386</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6387	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6387</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6387</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6387</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6393	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6393</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and northeast of Great Waltham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6393</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6393</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6394	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6394</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6394</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6394</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6396	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6396</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6396</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6396</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6403	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6403</b>) is a former pond south Little Leighs and east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6403</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6403</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6413</b>) is a former pond west of Fuller Street and south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6413</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6413</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6426	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	All the impacts on asset (6426) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6426).	N/A	N/A
6427	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6427) is a historic hedgerow north of Margaretting.  All the impacts on asset (6427) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6427).	N/A	N/A
6428	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6428) is a historic hedgerow south of Chignall St James.  All the impacts on asset (6428) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6428).	N/A	N/A
6432	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6432) is a historic civil parish boundary north-west of Margaretting.  All the impacts on asset (6432) would take place during the construction phase.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6432).		
6434	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6434) is a historic civil parish boundary north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6434) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6434).		
6440	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6440) 'Gally's Farm' buildings and yard/garden Great Leighs.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6440) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6440).		
6445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6445) is a historic parish boundary north-west of Chelmsford and south-east of Chignall St James.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6445) would take place during the construction phase.		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6445).		
6446	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6446) is a parish boundary north-west of Writtle.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6446) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6446).		
6447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6447) is a historic hedgerow north-west of Great Oxney Green and Writtle.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6447) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6447).		
6449	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6449) is a parish boundary north of Margaretting.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6449) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6449).		
6450	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6450) is a parish boundary north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6450) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6450).</p>	N/A	N/A
6458	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6458) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6458) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6458).</p>	N/A	N/A
6459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6459) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6459) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6459).		
6460	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6460) is a historic hedgerow north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6460) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6460).</p>	N/A	N/A
6461	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6461) is a historic hedgerow south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6461) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6461).</p>	N/A	N/A
6462	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6462) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Broad's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6462) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6462).		
6463	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6463) is a historic hedgerow west Broomfield and north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6463) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6463).</p>	N/A	N/A
6466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6466) is a historic hedgerow south of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6466) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6466).</p>	N/A	N/A
6021	HER-MEX1037221	High	<p>The asset (6021) comprises Romano-British settlement activity of various phases located west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no impacts on asset (6021) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6021) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>there would be no impacts to asset (6021).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB139-TB143 are introduced. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6046	HER-MEX31097	Medium	<p>The asset (6046) comprises a circular cropmark enclosure on the north-western outskirts of Chelmsford.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no impacts on asset (6046) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6046).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not affect the wider setting of the asset as only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6046) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6202	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6202) are undated field boundaries which have been identified west of Lyonshall Wood, east of Braintree	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Road.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (6202) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6202).</p>		
6211	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6211) are undated field boundaries which have been identified north-east of Chignall St James, west of Chignall Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6211) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6211).</p>	N/A	N/A
6382	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6382) is a former pond adjacent to Braintree Road (A131), north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6382) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6382).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6399	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6399</b>) is a former pond which has been identified east of Braintree Road, south-east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6399</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6399</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6402	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6402</b>) is a former pond which has been identified adjacent to Braintree Road, south-east of Chatham Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6402</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6402</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6404	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6404</b>) is a former pond which has been identified between Paulk Hall Lane and Goodmans Lane.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6404</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6404</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6406	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6406) is a former pond which has been identified between Paulk Hall Lane and Goodmans Lane, north of (6404).</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6406) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6406).</p>	N/A	N/A
6407	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6407) is a former pond which has been identified along Goodmans Lane, near river Ter.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6407) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6407).</p>	N/A	N/A
6421	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6421) is a former pond which has been identified north of Roxwell Road, south of Rover Can.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6421) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6421).		
6185	MEX1031198	Low	<p>The asset (6185) is a is a cropmark complex of linear features, boundaries and a trackway on the northern edge of Ingatestone and the Ingatestone Bypass.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6185) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6185).</p>	N/A	N/A
6193	MEX1049590	Medium	<p>The asset (6193) comprises a circular cropmark enclosure on the north-western outskirts of Chelmsford.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (6193) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6193).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with a SuDS basin and pylon TB149 located within the bounds of the asset and pylon TB150 in</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6193) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			close proximity to the asset to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
6029	MEX20644	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6029</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Chatham Green.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>6029</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6029</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6006	MEX1031238	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6006</b>) is cropmarks of undated linear features identified at Cole Hill, south at Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6006</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6006</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6005	MEX1031201	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6005</b>) is cropmarks of undated linear features and a double ditched trackway, located north-north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6005</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6005).		
6213	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6213) is cropmark complex in farmland east of the Can river, west of Chelmsford.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (6213) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6213).		
6457	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (6457) is a possible important hedgerow located along Paulk Hall Lane, west of the A131, south of Great Leighs.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6457) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6457).		
6600	Chelmsford City Council	Low	The asset (6600) is Boreham Road/Cole Hill/Boreham Road, a Protected Lane to the south-east of Great Leighs.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6600) would take place during the construction phase.		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6600)</b> .		
6601	Chelmsford City Council	Low	The asset <b>(6601)</b> is Paulk Hall Lane, a Protected Lane to the south of Great Leighs.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(6601)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6601)</b> .		
6602	Chelmsford City Council	Low	The asset <b>(6602)</b> is Goodmans Lane, a Protected Lane to the south of Great Leighs.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset <b>(6602)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6602)</b> .		
6604	Chelmsford City Council	Low	The asset <b>(6604)</b> is Larks Lane, a Protected Lane, east of Broad's Green, and south-west of Little Waltham.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6604)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6604)</b>.</p>		
6605	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset <b>(6605)</b> is Cow Watering Lane, a Protected Lane, west of Writtle College, and c. 600 m north of the A414.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6605)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6605)</b>.</p>	N/A	N/A
6607	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset <b>(6607)</b> is Little Hyde Lane, a Protected Lane, north of Ingatestone, south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6607)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6607)</b>.</p>	N/A	N/A
7190	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset <b>(7190)</b> is a possible important hedgerow located along Little Hyde Lane, east of the A12, west of Margaretting.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (7190) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7190).</p>		
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION F ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
6059	MEX3988	Medium	<p>The asset (6059) is a complex of undated cropmarks, located on farmland to the south of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the introduction of SuDS basins and pylons TB141 to 144. The pylons TB142 and TB143 are located between c. 60 m and c. 100 m from the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the setting: the value of asset (6059) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6188	MEX1031775	Medium	<p>The asset (6188) is a moated site surrounding the Grade II listed 'Longs Farmhouse' (1171557) located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB130-133.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of Longs Farmhouse is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect (<b>not significant</b>)</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6037	HER-MEX28570	Medium	<p>asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>6037</b>) is a cropmark complex within the Grade II registered park and garden Langleys (<b>1000241</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB137, TB138 and TB139 are located to the southeast and south of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6037</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6062	HER-MEX1049589	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6062</b>) is the site of a Roman temple west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB148 and TB149 close to the asset to the northwest and southwest. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6062</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
6088	HER-MEX1038105	High	<p>The asset (<b>6088</b>) is the site of an Auxiliary Unit Operational Base located west of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB128-TB129 to the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6088</b> ) is <b>high</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6099	HER-MEX3763	Medium	<p>north-east of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p> <p>The asset (<b>6099</b>) is the site of a possible Romano-British building suggested by a finds scatter, located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB148 and TB149 close to the asset to the north-west and south-west. The setting of the asset has already been affected by the existing hospital and Broomfield village to the east. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p> <p>The value of asset (<b>6099</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6109	HER-MEX1035967	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6109</b>) is the site of a Roman artefact scatter west of Broomfield and within asset (<b>6062</b>) - a possible Romano-British temple site.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB148 and TB149 close to the asset to the north-west and south-west. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>The value of asset (<b>6109</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

## Section F

Table A11.2.31 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section F

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION F ORDER LIMITS</b>					
6000	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6000</b>) is the archaeological remains of possible Romano-British field boundaries located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6000</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6000</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6002	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6002</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies possibly linked to enclosure located immediately north of pylon TB144.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6002</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6002</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6004	HER-MEX1031196	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6004</b>) are undated field boundaries which have been identified in a field at Lee Farm, located south-west of</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6004</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6004</b>).</p>		
<b>6007</b>	HER-MEX1031266	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6007</b>) comprises cropmarks of two ring ditches with internal features and linear ditches are recorded on land north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>6007</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6007</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB162 is located within the bounds of the asset and pylon TB161 just outside to the north and pylon TB163 just outside to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6007</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6011	HER-MEX1032817	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6011</b>) is the site of a WWI Second Class Royal Flying Corps night landing ground, located at Broomfield Court, Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6011</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6011</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6014	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6014</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming three sides of a possible enclosure located at pylon TB145.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6014</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6014</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6015	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6015</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a linear feature and a possible, partial sub-circular enclosure located between pylons TB145 and TB146.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6015</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6015).		
6024	HER-MEX1040665	Low	<p>The asset (6024) is the site of cropmarks of medieval to post medieval field boundaries at Hoestreet Cottages. The asset is located immediately to the east of Hoe Street.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (6024) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6024).</p>	N/A	N/A
6025	HER-MEX1040666	Low	<p>The asset (6025) comprises cropmarks of three small rectilinear enclosures located immediately to the east of the A131 Braintree Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6025) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6025).</p>	N/A	N/A
6031	HER-MEX20762	Low	The asset (6031) is the site of undated linear cropmarks, thought to be old field boundaries, located west-south-west of Fuller Street.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (6031) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6031).</p>		
6038	HER-MEX28573	Medium	<p>The asset (6038) is a cropmark complex located partially within the south-eastern portion of Langleys parkland (1000241).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (6038) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6038).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB139 located within the bounds of the asset and pylon TB138 to the north and pylon TB140 to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6038) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6041	HER-MEX2914	Low	<p>The asset (6041) comprises the fragmentary remains of a medieval moat located at Montpeliers Farm to the north of Writtle.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6041) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6041).</p>		
6045	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (6045) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming linear features and sub-circular enclosures with internal features located around pylon TB155. All the impacts on asset (6045) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6045).</p>	N/A	N/A
6050	HER-MEX3612	Low	<p>The asset (6050) comprises a medieval moat at Partridge Green Farm located on the outskirts of Broomfield.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6050) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6050).</p>	N/A	N/A
6056	HER-MEX38451	Low	<p>The asset (6056) comprises undated cropmarks of two large circular pits, a field boundary, other linear features, and some amorphous features are located on</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			land to the north of Hole Farm, Great Leighs.		
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6056</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6056</b> ).		
<b>6057</b>	HER-MEX38455	Low	The asset ( <b>6057</b> ) comprises undated cropmarks of various linear and amorphous features, identified on land south of Terling Spring.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6057</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6057</b> ).		
<b>6061</b>	HER-MEX28603	Low	The asset ( <b>6061</b> ) comprises undated linear cropmarks in a field south-west of Partridge Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6061</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6061</b> ).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6064	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6064</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a group of conjoined irregular enclosures and linear anomalies located between pylons TB156 and TB157.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6064</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6064</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6073	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6073</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a group of discrete and linear features including two sides of a possible enclosure located immediately south of pylon TB173.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6073</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6073</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6075	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6075</b>) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a penannular shaped feature located north of TB174.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6075</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6075).		
6078	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (6078) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a small group of features including two side of a possible enclosure and two discrete features located north-west of pylon TB173.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6078) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6078).</p>	N/A	N/A
6083	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (6083) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming three sides of a possible enclosure with associated linear features located between pylons TB141 and TB142.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6083) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6083).</p>	N/A	N/A
6086	Project identified Phase 2 geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (6086) is Phase 2 geophysical anomalies forming a possible small circular enclosure located between pylons TB148 and TB149.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6086</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6086</b>).</p>		
6104	HER-MEX20466	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6104</b>) comprises the route of the Chelmsford-Braintree-Long Melford Roman which crosses the Order Limits between pylons TB135 and TB135.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>6104</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6104</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see limited change to the setting of the asset which does not extend beyond the boundary of the road itself. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6104</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6105	HER-MEX28472	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6105</b>) comprises an anti-tank ditch runs through the Order Limits at Little Waltham, to the north-west of the settlement.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6105</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6105</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the addition of pylons TB138. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>asset (<b>6105</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6113	Project identified geophysical anomalies	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6113</b>) is geophysical anomalies located north of pylon TB161. All the impacts on asset (<b>6113</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6113</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6200	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6200</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of Boreham Road, north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6200</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6200).		
6201	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6201) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of Paulk Hall Lane, south of Great Leighs.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6201) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6201).		
6203	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6203) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the eastern flank of Braintree Road, east of Chatham Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6203) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6203).		
6204	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6204) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland south-east of Chatham Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6204) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6204).		
6206	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6206) comprises a cropmark complex, located north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6206) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6206).</p>	N/A	N/A
6207	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6207) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the north-western flank of Braintree Road, immediately north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6207) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6207).</p>	N/A	N/A
6208	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6208) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north and south of Lark's Lane, west of Little Waltham.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6208)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6208)</b>.</p>		
6210	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset <b>(6210)</b> comprises a cropmark complex in farmland north-west and south-east of Hollow Lane, north of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6210)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6210)</b>.</p>	N/A	N/A
6212	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset <b>(6212)</b> comprises linear cropmarks in farmland on the eastern flank of Mashbury Road, north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset <b>(6212)</b> would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset <b>(6212)</b>.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6214	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6214</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland to the west of the Can river, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6214</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6214</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6217	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6217</b>) comprises a complex in farmland north of Ongar Road, west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6217</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6217</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6219	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6219</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland south of Ongar Road, west of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6219</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6219</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6220	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6220</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland immediately east of Highwood Road, immediately south of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6220</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6220</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6221	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6221</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland immediately north of Nathan's Lane, south-south-east of Little Oxney Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6221</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6221</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6224	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6224</b>) comprises a cropmark complex in farmland on the western flank of the A12, west and south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6224</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6224).		
6233	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6233) comprises a linear cropmark in farmland immediately south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6233) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6233).</p>	N/A	N/A
6248	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6248) is former pond, west of the A12 and east of Dawes Farm.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6248) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6248).</p>	N/A	N/A
6252	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6252) is a former pond, located along the east side of Coptfold Hall Drive, north-west of Margaretting and the A12.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6252) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6252).		
6259	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6259) is a former pond, west of Margaretting Road, north of Margaretting.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6259) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6259).		
6269	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6269) is a former pond, immediately west of Highwood Road and south-west of Little Oxney Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6269) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6269).		
6271	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6271) is a former pond, south-east of Highwood Road and south of Little Oxney Green.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (6271) would		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6271).</p>		
6289	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6289) is a former pond, west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6289) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6289).</p>	N/A	N/A
6290	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6290) is a former pond, west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6290) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6290).</p>	N/A	N/A
6299	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6299) is a former pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6299) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6299).		
6302	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6302) is a former spring/pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6302) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6302).</p>	N/A	N/A
6303	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6303) is a former pond, south-east of Roxwell and north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6303) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6303).</p>	N/A	N/A
6307	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6307) is a former pond east of Roxwell.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6307) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6307).		
6314	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6314) is a former pond, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6314) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6314).</p>	N/A	N/A
6320	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6320) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6320) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6320).</p>	N/A	N/A
6324	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6324) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6324) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6324).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6330	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6330</b>) are former possible allotments located along Broads Green and Lark's Lane and south-east of Chignall Saint James.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6330</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6330</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6331	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6331</b>) is a former pond, south-east of Chignall Saint James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6331</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6331</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6344	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6344</b>) comprises four former ponds west of Broomfield and north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6344</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6344</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6350	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6350</b>) is a former pond north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6350</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6350</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6363	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6363</b>) is a former pond south-east of Broad's Green.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6363</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6363</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6376	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6376</b>) is part of a former medieval park north of Little Waltham and east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6376</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6376</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6381	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6381</b>) comprises a former pond north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6381</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6381</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6385	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6385</b>) is a former pond north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6385</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6385</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6386	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6386</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6386</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6386</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6387	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6387</b>) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6387) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6387).</p>		
6393	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6393) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and northeast of Great Waltham.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no the iimpacts on asset (6393) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6393).</p>		
6394	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6394) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6394) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6394).</p>		
6396	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6396) is a former pond north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>There would be no the iimpacts on asset</p>		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			(6396) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6396).		
6403	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6403) is a former pond south Little Leighs and east of Chatham Green.  There would be no the impacts on asset (6403) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6403).	N/A	N/A
6413	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (6413) is a former pond west of Fuller Street and south-east of Great Leighs.  All the impacts on asset (6413) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6413).	N/A	N/A
6426	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	All the impacts on asset (6426) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6426).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6427	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6427) is a historic hedgerow north of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6427) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6427).</p>	N/A	N/A
6428	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6428) is a historic hedgerow south of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6428) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6428).</p>	N/A	N/A
6432	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6432) is a historic civil parish boundary north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6432) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6432).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6434	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6434) is a historic civil parish boundary north of Little Waltham and north-east of Great Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6434) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6434).</p>	N/A	N/A
6440	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6440) 'Gally's Farm' buildings and yard/garden Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6440) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6440).</p>	N/A	N/A
6445	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6445) is a historic parish boundary north-west of Chelmsford and south-east of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6445) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6445).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6446	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6446) is a parish boundary north-west of Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6446) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6446).</p>	N/A	N/A
6447	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6447) is a historic hedgerow north-west of Great Oxney Green and Writtle.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6447) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6447).</p>	N/A	N/A
6449	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6449) is a parish boundary north of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6449) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6449).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6450	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6450) is a parish boundary north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6450) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6450).</p>	N/A	N/A
6458	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6458) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6458) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6458).</p>	N/A	N/A
6459	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6459) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6459) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6459).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6460	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6460) is a historic hedgerow north-west of Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6460) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6460).</p>	N/A	N/A
6461	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6461) is a historic hedgerow south of Broad's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6461) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6461).</p>	N/A	N/A
6462	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6462) is a historic hedgerow south-east of Broad's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6462) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6462).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6463	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6463) is a historic hedgerow west Broomfield and north-west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6463) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6463).</p>	N/A	N/A
6466	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6466) is a historic hedgerow south of Chignall St James.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6466) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6466).</p>	N/A	N/A
6021	HER-MEX1037221	High	<p>The asset (6021) comprises Romano-British settlement activity of various phases located west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no the impacts on asset (6021) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6021).</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (6021173) is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>nminoregligible adverse</b></p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB139-TB143 are introduced. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6046	HER-MEX31097	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6046</b>) comprises a circular cropmark enclosure on the north-western outskirts of Chelmsford.</p> <p>For below ground remains: There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6046</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6046</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would not effectaffect the wider setting of the asset as only a non-intrusive permanent access route falls within the bounds of the asset and so the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>no change</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6046</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>no change</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>neutral</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
6202	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6202</b>) are undated field boundaries which have been identified west of Lyonshall Wood, east of Braintree Road.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>(6202) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6202).</p>		
6211	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6211) are undated field boundaries which have been identified north-east of Chignall St James, west of Chignall Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6211) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6211).</p>	N/A	N/A
6382	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6382) is a former pond adjacent to Braintree Road (A131), north of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6382) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6382).</p>	N/A	N/A
6399	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6399) is a former pond which has been identified east of Braintree Road, south-east of Chatham Green.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6399) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6399).</p>		
6402	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6402) is a former pond which has been identified adjacent to Braintree Road, south-east of Chatham Green.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (6402) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6402).</p>		
6404	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6404) is a former pond which has been identified between Paulk Hall Lane and Goodmans Lane.</p>	N/A	N/A
			<p>All the impacts on asset (6404) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6404).</p>		
6406	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (6406) is a former pond which has been identified between Paulk Hall Lane and Goodmans Lane, north of (6404).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6406</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6406</b> ).		
<b>6407</b>	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6407</b> ) is a former pond which has been identified along Goodmans Lane, near river Ter.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6407</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6407</b> ).		
<b>6421</b>	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset ( <b>6421</b> ) is a former pond which has been identified north of Roxwell Road, south of Rover Can.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>6421</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>6421</b> ).		
<b>6185</b>	MEX1031198	Low	The asset ( <b>6185</b> ) is a is a cropmark complex of linear features, boundaries	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>and a trackway on the northern edge of Ingatestone and the Ingatestone Bypass.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6185</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6185</b>).</p>		
6193	MEX1049590	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6193</b>) comprises a circular cropmark enclosure on the north-western outskirts of Chelmsford.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>6193</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6193</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with a SuDS basin and pylon TB149 located within the bounds of the asset and pylon TB150 in close proximity to the asset to the south. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>6193</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6029	MEX20644	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6029</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north of Chatham Green.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (<b>6029</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>6029</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6006	MEX1031238	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6006</b>) is cropmarks of undated linear features identified at Cole Hill, south at Fuller Street.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6006</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6006</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6005	MEX1031201	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6005</b>) is cropmarks of undated linear features and a double ditched trackway, located north-north-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6005</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6005</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6213	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (6213) is cropmark complex in farmland east of the Can river, west of Chelmsford.</p> <p>There would be no the impacts on asset (6213) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (6213).</p>	N/A	N/A
6457	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (6457) is a possible important hedgerow located along Paulk Hall Lane, west of the A131, south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6457) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6457).</p>	N/A	N/A
6600	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset (6600) is Boreham Road/Cole Hill/Boreham Road, a Protected Lane to the south-east of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6600) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6600).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6601	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset (6601) is Paulk Hall Lane, a Protected Lane to the south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6601) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6601).</p>	N/A	N/A
6602	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset (6602) is Goodmans Lane, a Protected Lane to the south of Great Leighs.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6602) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6602).</p>	N/A	N/A
6604	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset (6604) is Larks Lane, a Protected Lane, east of Broad's Green, and south-west of Little Waltham.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6604) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6604).		
6605	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset (6605) is Cow Watering Lane, a Protected Lane, west of Writtle College, and c. 600 m north of the A414.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6605) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6605).</p>	N/A	N/A
6607	Chelmsford City Council	Low	<p>The asset (6607) is Little Hyde Lane, a Protected Lane, north of Ingatestone, south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6607) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6607).</p>	N/A	N/A
7190	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (7190) is a possible important hedgerow located along Little Hyde Lane, east of the A12, west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7190) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7190).		
<b>HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION F ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT</b>					
6059	MEX3988	Medium	<p>The asset (6059) is a complex of undated cropmarks, located on farmland to the south of Great Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset with the introduction of SuDS basins and pylons TB141 to 144. The pylons TB142 and TB143 are located between c. 60 m and c. 100 m from the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the setting: the value of asset (6059) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6188	MEX1031775	Medium	<p>The asset (6188) is a moated site surrounding the Grade II listed 'Longs Farmhouse' (1171557) located north-east of Little Waltham.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons TB130-133.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible from the asset, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of Longs Farmhouse is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect ( <b>not significant</b> )

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
6037	HER-MEX28570	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6037</b>) is a cropmark complex within the Grade II registered park and garden Langleys (<b>1000241</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB137, TB138 and TB139 are located to the southeast and south of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6037</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6062	HER-MEX1049589	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6062</b>) is the site of a Roman temple west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB148 and TB149 close to the asset to the northwest and southwest. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6062</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>high medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).
6088	HER-MEX1038105	High	<p>The asset (<b>6088</b>) is the site of an Auxiliary Unit Operational Base located west of Chatham Green.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6088</b> ) is <b>hHigh</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct,

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			asset as pylons TB128-TB129 to the north-east of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6099	HER-MEX3763	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6099</b>) is the site of a possible Romano-British building suggested by a finds scatter, located west of Broomfield.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB148 and TB149 close to the asset to the north-west and south-west. The setting of the asset has already been affected by the existing hospital and Broomfield village to the east. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6099</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).
6109	HER-MEX1035967	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>6109</b>) is the site of a Roman artefact scatter west of Broomfield and within asset (<b>6062</b>) - a possible Romano-British temple site.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB148 and TB149 close to the asset to the north-west and south-west. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of asset ( <b>6109</b> ) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset ( <b>significant</b> ).

## Section G

Table A11.2.32 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section G

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION G ORDER LIMITS</b>					
7003	HER-MEX1031044	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>7003</b>) is a cropmark field boundary located east of Brentwood.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7003</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7003</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7032	HER-MEX18664	Medium	<p>The asset is the route of a Roman road (<b>7032</b>) that runs along the High Street between Ingatestone and Margarettig.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7032</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7032</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB184 is introduced c. 10 m to the east of the asset at the nearest point. The pylon would be clearly visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>7032</b>) <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
7034	HER-MEX1041037	Low	<p>Located within the eastern outskirts of Brentwood was a metal detecting finds scatter of post medieval and later material (<b>7034</b>).</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7034</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7034</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7015	HER-MEX1040372	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7015</b>) is the possible cropmark of a ring ditch to the north-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7015</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7015</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7045	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7045</b>) is linear cropmarks to the east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7045</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7045</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7187	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (7187) is a hedged boundary which flanks the Wid river north of Stock Lane and east of Ingatestone. The asset follows the line of a parish boundary. The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7187) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7187).</p>	N/A	N/A
7188	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (7188) is a hedged boundary to the east of Mountnessing Road to the north-west of Billericay. The asset follows the line of a parish boundary. The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7188) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7188).</p>	N/A	N/A
7152	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The site of a former pond (7152) is located within a field to the south-east of the railway line and to the west of Ingatestone.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7152) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7152).		
6040	HER-MEX2906	Low	<p>The possible deserted medieval village (6040) surrounds the Church of St Margaret (1338504, Grade II*), Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6040) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6040).</p>	N/A	N/A
6001	HER-MEX1031191	Low	<p>The asset (6001) is linear cropmarks, and a possible bomb crater partially located within the Order Limits north-west of Billericay.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6001) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6001).</p>	N/A	N/A
7006	HER-MEX1031192	Negligible	<p>The asset (7006) is linear cropmarks located south-east of Padham's Green.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7006) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7006).		
7047	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (7047) is linear cropmarks located west of Billericay.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7047) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7047).</p>	N/A	N/A
7050	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (7050) is linear cropmarks located west of Billericay.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7050) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7150).</p>	N/A	N/A
7051	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>This asset is an area of cropmarks of field boundaries (7051) located to the west of Brentwood.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7051) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7051).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7140	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The former Bush Wood (7140) is located between Rayleigh Road to the south and the railway line to the north and to the east of Hutton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7140) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7140).</p>	N/A	N/A
7123	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (7123) is the site of a former orchard south of Rayleigh Road east of Hutton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7123) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7123).</p>	N/A	N/A
7122	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (7122) is a former pond in farmland east of Hutton.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7122) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7122).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7118	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7118) is a former pond in farmland south-east of Hutton. All the impacts on asset (7118) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7118).	N/A	N/A
7052	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (7052) is linear cropmarks located south-west of Billericay. All the impacts on asset (7052) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7052).	N/A	N/A
7112	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7112) is a former pond north of Sudburys Farm Road to the north-west of Little Burstead. All the impacts on asset (7112) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7112).	N/A	N/A
7104	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset (7104) is a hedged boundary in farmland south-west of Billericay. The asset follows the line of parish boundaries and is largely extant.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (7104) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7104).</p>		
7026	HER-MEX41052	Low	<p>The asset (7026) is three roughly circular cropmarks possibly representing former ponds or bomb craters west of Brentford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7026) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7026).</p>	N/A	N/A
7101	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (7101) is the site of a former pond west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7101) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7101).</p>	N/A	N/A
7106	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (7106) is four former ponds which formally flanked Sudburys Farm Road north-west of Little Burstead.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7106) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7106).		
7054	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (7054) is linear and enclosure cropmarks north-east of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7054) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7054).	N/A	N/A
7025	HER-MEX40683	Low	The asset (7025) is linear and enclosure cropmarks north-east of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7025) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7025).	N/A	N/A
7004	HER-MEX1031186	Negligible	The asset (7004) is a cropmark field boundary located west of Little Burstead. All the impacts on asset (7004) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7004).	N/A	N/A
7009	HER-MEX1031350	Low	The asset (7009) is the cropmark of a rectangular enclosure located south-west of Billericay.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>7009</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>7009</b> ).		
7096	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset ( <b>7096</b> ) is an area of former woodland south-west of Little Burstead. All the impacts on asset ( <b>7096</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>7096</b> ).	N/A	N/A
7055	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset ( <b>7055</b> ) is linear cropmarks north-east of Basildon. All the impacts on asset ( <b>7055</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>7055</b> ).	N/A	N/A
7087	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset ( <b>7087</b> ) is a hedged boundary in farmland north-west of Basildon. The asset follows the line of parish boundaries and is largely extant. All the impacts on asset ( <b>7087</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7087).		
7089	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7089) is a partially extant pond in farmland north-west of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7089) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7089).	N/A	N/A
7056	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (7056) is linear cropmarks on the western outskirts of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7056) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7056).	N/A	N/A
7088	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7088) is a pond in Park Farm, south-east of Herongate. All the impacts on asset (7088) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7088).	N/A	N/A
7185	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	Asset (7185) is a hedged boundary east of Dunton Road on the western edge of Dunton Waylets. The asset partially	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>follows the line of a parish boundary and is extant.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7185</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7185</b>).</p>		
7165	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (<b>7165</b>) is the site of a former gravel pit on the western flank of Dunton Road in Dunton Wayletts.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7165</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7165</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7028	HER-MEX1050480	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7028</b>) is Romano-British archaeological remains investigated on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7028</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7028</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7084	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (<b>7084</b>) is a partially extant pond in farmland north-west of Basildon.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (7084) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7084).</p>		
7074	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (7074) is a hedged boundary to the west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset follows the line of the same parish boundary as asset (7060). The asset is largely extant. All the impacts on asset (7074) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7074).</p>	N/A	N/A
7080	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (7080) is a former pond in farmland west of Lower Dunton Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7080) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7080).</p>	N/A	N/A
7057	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (7057) is linear cropmarks on the western outskirts of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7057) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7057).		
7077	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7077) is four ponds (one extant) associated with Friern Manor on the western outskirts of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7077) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7077).	N/A	N/A
7075	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7075) is a former pond in farmland west of Lower Dunton Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7075) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7075).	N/A	N/A
7066	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Asset (7066) is a pond now water feature on Dunton Hills golf course west of Basildon. All the impacts on asset (7066) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7066).		
7017	HER-MEX17676	Medium	<p>Asset (7017) is a purported DMV located north of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset is associated with a moat (2016) and the Grade II listed Dunton Hall (1338380) and Church of St Mary (1122253).</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (7017) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7017).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB223-226 are introduced c. 85 m to the west of the asset at the nearest point. The pylons would be clearly visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (7017) <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
7058	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (7058) is linear cropmarks on the western outskirts of Basildon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7058) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7058).		
7060	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Asset (7060) is a hedged boundary to the west of Church Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset follows the line of a parish boundary. The asset is largely extant.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7060) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7060).</p>	N/A	N/A
7078	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>Asset (7078) is a former pond in farmland west of Lower Dunton Road to the west of Basildon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7078) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7078).</p>	N/A	N/A
7172	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>Parish boundary (7172) is located immediately south-east of parish boundary (7171). It is aligned north-west to south-east before turning to the east when the assets encounter the Dunton Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7172) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7172).</p>		
7174	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>LNER Shenfield and Southend Railway line (7174) is located along the present railway line between Hutton and Billericay.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7174) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7174).</p>	N/A	N/A
7175	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>London Tilbury and Southend Railway line (7175) is located along the railway line between Laindon, to the east, and West Holdon to the west.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (7175) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7175).</p>	N/A	N/A
6178	HER-MEX1031188	Low	<p>South-east of Ingatestone, along Stock Lane is the asset (6178), consisting of cropmarks of unknown period.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (6178) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6178).		
7067	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	This asset (7067) is a pond located west of Lower Dunton Road. All the impacts on asset (7067) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7067).	N/A	N/A
7083	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	Near Dunton Wayletts, crossing Dunton Road, is a pond (7083). All the impacts on asset (7083) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7083).	N/A	N/A
7099	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	South of Billericay Road, south-west of Little Burstead, is a pond (7099). All the impacts on asset (7099) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7099).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7109	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	West of Blind Lane, north-west of Burstead, is a former ditch (7109). All the impacts on asset (7109) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7109).	N/A	N/A
7117	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	East of Brentwood, south of Church Lane, is a pond (7117). All the impacts on asset (7117) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7117).	N/A	N/A
7129	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	North of Rayleigh Road, north-east of Hutton House (1279616) is a pond (7129). All the impacts on asset (7129) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7129).	N/A	N/A
7130	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	On Rayleigh Road, is a pond (7130). All the impacts on asset (7130) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7130).		
7131	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	In a field along Rayleigh Road, is a pond (7131). All the impacts on asset (7131) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7131).	N/A	N/A
7136	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	North-east of Brentwood and south of the railway is a pond (7136). All the impacts on asset (7136) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7136).	N/A	N/A
7167	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (7167) is a possible important hedgerow is crossing the railway, west of Billericay, and of Mountnessing Road. All the impacts on asset (7167) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (7167).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7170	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7170</b>) is a civil parish boundary that follows Billericay Road before heading south through fields located north of Dunton Waylets.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7170</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7170</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6464	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6464</b>) is an important hedgerow along the High Street and Church Lane, Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6464</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6464</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6451	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6451</b>) is a parish boundary southwest of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6451</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6451</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
6453	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6453</b>) is a parish boundary along the River Wid, to the north-east of Stock Lane, Ingatestone.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6453</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6453</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6431	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6431</b>) is a historic civil parish boundary south-west of Margaretting.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6431</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6431</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6240	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>6240</b>) is a former pond south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6240</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6240</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6169	HER-MEX1049930	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6169</b>) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, located c. 1.7 km west of Stock.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6169</b>) would</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6169).		
6173	HER-MEX18677	Low	Cropmarks (6173) are located surrounding the Church of St Mary (1264434, Grade II*) and on either side of the Buttbury (road), Stock. All the impacts on asset (6173) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6173).	N/A	N/A
6226	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (6226) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, c. 1 km south-east of Ingatestone. All the impacts on asset (6226) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (6226).	N/A	N/A
6184	HER - MEX18675	Low	Located surrounding the Church of St Mary (1264434, Grade II*) and on either side of Buttbury (road), Stock, was a deposit of post medieval peg tiles and pottery (6184).	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6184</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6184</b>).</p>		
6229	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6229</b>) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, c. 1.4 km south-east of Ingatestone.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6229</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6229</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
6235	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>6235</b>) comprises cropmarks of former field boundaries, located north-west of St Margaret's Church (<b>1338504</b>), along High Street and Church Lane.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>6235</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>6235</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7300	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7300</b>) is the site of cropmarks of linear features located north of Buckwyns.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7300</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7300</b>).</p>		
7301	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7301</b>) is the site of cropmarks of linear features located of Mountnessing Road.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7301</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7301</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
7010	HER-MEX1032571	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>7010</b>) maps the dispersed elements of the medieval and post medieval settlement of Hutton, now located on the north-eastern outskirts of modern-day Brentford. The separate elements of the asset are dispersed over approximately two square km and many of them have been subsumed by the 20th century expansion of Brentford. Some of the asset's eastern elements fall within and just outside the Hutton Village conservation area (<b>CA17</b>) just 30 m to the west of the Order Limits.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>7010</b>) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of asset (<b>7010</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>. The implementation of the archaeological mitigation would not reduce the impact as it is not possible to conclude a <b>no change</b> magnitude of impact when there is a physical impact. The significance of effect,</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7010</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would alter the wider rural setting of the asset through the introduction of pylons TB207-211 and five SuDS basin locations. At its closest, the Project (pylon TB208) lies c. 340 m to the east of the asset. The pylons would be visible and partially visible from the asset (Figure 7.12.F185_VP7.03a Viewpoint 7.03: Church Lane, Hutton; document reference 7.12). The magnitude of impact on the asset considered to be <b>negligible adverse</b>.</p>		<p>therefore, remains as direct, permanent <b>negligible adverse (not significant)</b>.</p>

**HIGH/MEDIUM VALUE NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS OUTSIDE THE SECTION G ORDER LIMITS BUT WHOSE SETTING EXTENDS TO THE PROJECT**

<b>7027</b>	HER-MEX41534	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>7027</b>) is the remains of a homestead moat located in woodland south-west of Little Burstled.</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB215-218 are introduced c. 150 m to the south-east of the asset at the closest point. The pylons would be visible and partially visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>7027</b>) <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse effect</b> on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
-------------	--------------	--------	--	---	--

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impacts	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
7016	HER-MEX17671	Medium	<p>Asset (<b>7016</b>) is a moat now associated with the non-designated Old Rectory located off Old Rectory Road on the western outskirts of Basildon. The asset is associated with the purported Dunton DMV (<b>2017</b>) and the Grade II listed Dunton Hall (<b>1338380</b>) and Church of St Mary (<b>1122253</b>).</p> <p>The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB227-231 are introduced c. 85 m to the west of the asset at the nearest point. The pylons would be clearly visible from the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>The value of asset (<b>7016</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

## Section H

Table A11.2.33 Operational (and maintenance) effects to non-designated heritage assets - Section H

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
<b>ALL NON-DESIGNATED ASSETS WITHIN THE SECTION H ORDER LIMITS</b>					
7173	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>7173</b>) is a parish boundary, located between Dunton Hills Golf Club and Brentwood Road, Orsett.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>7173</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>7173</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8000	Project identified archaeological features	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8000</b>) is the archaeological remains of two Romano-British postholes located on the northern edge of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8000</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8000</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8001	Project identified	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8001</b>) is the archaeological remains of undated ditches and pits</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
	archaeological features		<p>interpreted as possibly prehistoric located north-east of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8001</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8001</b>).</p>		
<b>8016</b>	HER-MEX1031026	High	<p>The asset (<b>8016</b>) is a WWII bombing decoy which encompasses the scheduled monument 'Bulphan World War II bombing decoy, 850m and 890m south-west of Doesgate Farm' (<b>1020998</b>), and is located east of Bulphan.</p> <p>The below ground remains: all the impacts on the asset (<b>8016</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the operational phase there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p> <p>For the setting: The operational phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons TB234-TB239 would be visible from the asset. The Project would run parallel and adjacent to an existing pylon route which is located in between the asset and the Order Limits, thereby reducing the Project's impact. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For any below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of the asset (<b>8016</b>) is <b>high</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8048	HER-MEX18140	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8048</b>) is the cropmark of a ring ditch, located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>8048</b>) is outside areas of known impact and may no longer be extant, from the commencement of the operation phase there would be no further impacts on asset (<b>8048</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8049	HER-MEX18168	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8049</b>) is a cropmarks complex, located south of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>8049</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8049</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylon TB252-TB255, and three SuDS basins are introduced. The pylons would be visible, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	The value of the asset is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>minor adverse</b> significance of significance of effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8050	HER-MEX18220	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8050</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8046</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8046</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8066	HER-MEX39661	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8066</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8066</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8066</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8075	HER-MEX6251	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8075</b>) is excavated Iron Age archaeological remains, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>8075</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>8075</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8082	HER-MEX6312	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8082</b>) is a cropmarks complex, located east of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>8082</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8082</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons YYJ126, ZB11-ZB12 and YYJ126R, ZB11-ZB12, and three SuDS basins are introduced.</p> <p>The pylons would be visible, and they would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8082</b>) is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
8084	HER-MEX6327	High	<p>The asset (<b>8084</b>) is a cropmark complex, including ring ditches and enclosures east of Chadwell St Mary and east of East Tilbury. The asset is within the Order Limits.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8084</b>) is <b>high</b> mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>impacts on asset (<b>8084</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8084</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons YYJ127 and ZB11 are to the east and pylons ZB12 and YYJ126R to the north. The magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		<p>is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
<b>8087</b>	HER-MEX6411	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8087</b>) is a cropmark complex, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>The below ground remains: all the impacts on the asset (<b>8087</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the operational phase there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p> <p>For the setting: the operation phase of the Project would impact the asset's setting through the introduction of pylons ZB13RC to ZB13RA, and YYJ125R-YYJ124RD, and the Tilbury CSE compound. The pylons and compound would be visible from the asset, and they</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of the asset (<b>8087</b>) is <b>medium</b> and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation measures is <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>moderate</b> significance of effect on the asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			would alter the character of the rural setting of the asset. The magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b> .		
8088	HER-MEX6420	Low	The asset ( <b>8088</b> ) is a complex, located north-east of Chadwell Saint Mary.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>8088</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>8088</b> ).		
8099	HER-MEX6607	Low	The asset ( <b>8099</b> ) is a cropmark complex, located south-west of East Tilbury.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>8099</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>8099</b> ).		
8104	HER-MEX6914	Low	The asset ( <b>8104</b> ) is an undated linear feature and other features southeast of Southfields	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset ( <b>8104</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8104).		
8116	HER-MEX1036423	Low	The asset (8116) is a Bronze Age findspot south-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill  As the asset (8116) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8117	HER-MEX1036426	Low	The asset (8117) is the findspot of Roman greyware pottery sherds, located east of Brentwood Road.  As the asset (8117) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8122	HER-MEX6641	Low	The asset (8112) is the findspot of an Iron Age Belgic pedestal bowl, located on the Standford Le Hope bypass.  As the asset (8112) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8124	HER-MEX1036570	Low	The asset (8124) is a pottery and flint findspot, located north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			As the asset ( <b>8124</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
8129	HER-MEX42202	Low	The asset ( <b>8129</b> ) is the findspot of medieval pottery sherds, located on St Clere Hall Golf Course.  As the asset ( <b>8129</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8130	HER-MEX42204	Low	The asset ( <b>8130</b> ) is the findspot of a scatter of post medieval tile, located south-west of Stanford-le-Hope.  As the asset ( <b>8130</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8133	HER-MEX6418	Low	This asset ( <b>8133</b> ) is a findspot of a Neolithic spearhead, located between Chadwell Saint Mary in the west, and Linford to the east.  As the asset ( <b>8133</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8139	HER-MEX1033041	Low	The asset ( <b>8139</b> ) is the site of the former Orsett Hall Estate Brick Works, located west-north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8139</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8139</b>).</p>		
8155	HER-MEX39649	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8155</b>) is cropmarks of two ring ditches, located north of Chadwell Saint Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>8155</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8155</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the semi-rural setting of the asset as pylons ZB17T, ZB17R and YYJ121 are introduced within the asset. The pylons would be visible and the magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>.</p>	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of the asset is <b>medium</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>medium adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>moderate adverse</b> significance of effect on this asset (<b>significant</b>).</p>
8156	HER-MEX17761	High	The asset ( <b>8156</b> ) is the excavated archaeological remains early Iron Age occupation overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' ( <b>1009286</b> ), located south of Orsett.		
			For below ground remains: As the asset ( <b>8156</b> ) has been removed through archaeological excavation, there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
			For the setting: N/A		
<b>8157</b>	HER-MEX17776	High	The asset ( <b>8157</b> ) is the excavated archaeological remains of early medieval inhumations overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' ( <b>1009286</b> ), located south of Orsett.	N/A	N/A
			For below ground remains: As the asset ( <b>8157</b> ) has been removed through archaeological excavation, there would be no further impacts on the asset.		
			For the setting: N/A		
<b>8158</b>	HER-MEX17779	Low	The asset ( <b>8158</b> ) is the excavated archaeological remains of medieval field boundaries overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' ( <b>1009286</b> ), located south of	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>Orsett.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8158</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8158</b>).</p>		
8159	HER-MEX17782	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8159</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of post medieval field boundaries and a trackway overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8159</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8159</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8160	HER-MEX17783	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8160</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of undated ditches, pits and postholes overlying the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			All the impacts on asset (8159) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8159).		
8164	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8164) is a cropmark complex, located east of Bulphan.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8164) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8164).		
8165	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8165) is a cropmark complex, located north of Horndon-on-the-Hill.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8165) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8165).		
8166	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8166) is a cropmark complex, located west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8166) would take place during the construction phase.		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8166).		
8168	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8168) is a cropmark complex, located west of Stanford-le-Hope.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8168) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8168).		
8169	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8169) is a cropmark complex, located on the north-eastern edge of Chadwell St Mary.	N/A	N/A
			all the impacts on asset (8169) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8169).		
8170	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8170) is a cropmark complex, located north-west of East Tilbury.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8170) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8170).		
8171	Project Mapped Cropmarks	Low	The asset (8171) is a cropmark complex, located west of East Tilbury.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8171) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8171).		
8190	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	The asset (8190) is a shrunken woodland, located west of Linford.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8190) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8190).		
8205	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	The asset (8205) is a pond west of Black Bush Lane and Horndon-on-the-Hill.	N/A	N/A
			There would be no impacts on asset (8205) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (8205).		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8209	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8209</b>) is a former clay pit, located north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8209</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8209</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8211	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8211</b>) is a non-extant pond located off Black Bush Lane and north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8211</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8211</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8212	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8212</b>) is a group of three former ponds, located east of Brentwood Road and south-south-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>8212</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>8212</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8228	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8228</b>) is the site of a former pond located west of Lower Dunton Road and east of Basildon.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>8228</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>8228</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8227	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8227</b>) is the site of a former pond, west of Lower Dunton Road and north-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8227</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase, there would be no more below ground impacts so no further impacts on asset (<b>8227</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8231	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8231</b>) is the site of a former pond, located north-west of Black Bush Lane and Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8231</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase, there would be no more below ground impacts so no further impacts on asset (<b>8231</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8232	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8232</b>) is the site of a former pond, located east of Brentwood Road and north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8232</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase, there would be no more below ground impacts so no further impacts on asset (<b>8232</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8235	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8235</b>) is the site of a former pond, located west of Buckingham Hill Road and south-east of Southfields.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8235</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase, there would be no more below ground impacts so no further impacts on asset (<b>8235</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8240	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8240</b>) is the site of a former pond, located west of Black Bush Lane and west-north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>There would be no impacts on asset (<b>8228</b>) during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no impacts to asset (<b>8228</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8243	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8243</b>) is a ditch or canal path, located east-north-east of Bulphan.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8243</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8243</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8260	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8260</b>) is parish boundaries between Brentwood Road and the northern bank of the Thames.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8260</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8260</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8261	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8261</b>) is parish boundaries, located north-west of East Tilbury.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8261</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8261</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8262	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8262</b>) is civil parish boundaries, located north-west of Horndon-on-the-Hill.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8262</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8262</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8263	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8263</b>) is civil parish boundaries, located north of Langdon.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8263</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8263</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A
8264	Project Mapped Historic Map Feature	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8264</b>) is a section of historic hedgerow located west on Linford.</p> <p>All the impacts on asset (<b>8264</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8264</b>).</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
8266	HER-MEX1031025	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8266</b>) is a Bronze Age findspot, located within the same field as Bronze Age ring ditch site (<b>8155</b>), to the north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>8266</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
8268	HER-MEX1032293	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8268</b>) is a Roman coin findspot, located north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>8268</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
8275	HER-MEX6653	Negligible	<p>The asset (<b>8275</b>) is an Iron Age vessel findspot, located west of Standford-le-Hope.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>8275</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
8276	HER-MEX1031028	High	<p>The asset (<b>8276</b>) is the excavated archaeological remains of a Neolithic causewayed enclosure forming an integral part of the scheduled monument 'Causewayed enclosure and Anglo-Saxon cemetery 500m ENE of Heath Place' (<b>1009286</b>), located south of Orsett.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>For below ground remains: As the asset (8156) has been removed through archaeological excavation, there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p> <p>For the setting: N/A</p>		
8280	HER-MEX18075	High	<p>The asset (8280) is multiperiod cropmark site, which overlays the site of the scheduled monument 'Crop Mark Complex, Orsett' (1002134), north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (8280) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8280).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the semi-rural setting of the asset as pylons YYJ115-YYJ116 and ZB22-ZB23 be introduced. The pylons would be visible and the magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: The value of the asset is <b>high</b>, and the magnitude of impact is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a <b>minor adverse</b> significance of effect on this asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>
8281	HER-MEX18096	Low	<p>All the impacts on asset (8281) would take place during the construction phase.</p>	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8281).		
8284	HER-MEX39827	Low	The asset (8284) is a cropmark site north of Little Thurrock and west of Orsett.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8284) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8284).		
8286	HER-MEX17990	Low	The asset (8286) is a cropmark complex, located east of Little Thurrock.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8286) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8286).		
8287	HER-MEX18071	Low	The asset (8287) is a cropmark complex, located east of Little Thurrock.	N/A	N/A
			All the impacts on asset (8287) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more		

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8287).		
8290	HER-MEX18160	Low	The asset (8290) is cropmarks of a possible Saxon settlement northeast of Little Thurrock and northwest of Chadwell St Mary.  All the impacts on asset (8290) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8290).	N/A	N/A
8292	HER-MEX6539	Medium	The asset (8292) is the cropmarks of a possible Neolithic enclosure on the northern edge of Chadwell St Mary. This asset is associated with lithics scatter (8297).  For below ground remains: For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (8292) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8292).  For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the	No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.	For the below ground remains: N/A  For the setting: the value of asset (8292) is <b>medium</b> , and the magnitude of impact prior to mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> . Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset ( <b>not significant</b> ).

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			<p>semi-rural setting of the asset as pylons YYJ120 and ZB18 are introduced. The pylons would be visible and the magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>.</p>		
8293	HER-MEX17957	Low	<p>The asset (<b>8293</b>) is a Mesolithic flint blade findspot north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>As the asset (<b>8293</b>) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.</p>	N/A	N/A
8294	HER-MEX18051	Medium	<p>The asset (<b>8294</b>) is a cropmarks complex including a large rectilinear enclosure with subdivisions, located north of Chadwell St Mary.</p> <p>For below ground remains: all the impacts on asset (<b>8294</b>) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (<b>8294</b>).</p> <p>For the setting: The operation phase of the Project would see a change in the rural setting of the asset as pylons ZB19, ZB18, YYJ119 and YYJ120 would be introduced within the asset. The</p>	<p>No operation phase mitigation is proposed as part of the application in this location.</p>	<p>For the below ground remains: N/A</p> <p>For the setting: the value of asset (<b>8294</b>) is <b>medium</b>, mitigation is considered to be <b>low adverse</b>. Therefore, there is likely to be a direct, permanent <b>minor adverse</b> effect on the asset (<b>not significant</b>).</p>

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			magnitude of impact on the asset is considered to be <b>low adverse</b> .		
8297	HER-MEX6544	Low	The asset ( <b>8297</b> ) is the findspot of a scatter of Neolithic flintwork, located north of Chadwell St Mary. The asset is associated with a possible Neolithic cropmark complex ( <b>8292</b> ).  As the asset ( <b>8297</b> ) has been removed from the Order Limits there would be no further impacts on the asset.	N/A	N/A
8299	Project Mapped LiDAR feature	Low	The asset ( <b>8299</b> ) is a cropmark complex within the Order Limits east of Lower Dunton Road.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>8299</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset ( <b>8299</b> ).	N/A	N/A
8400	Thurrock Council		The asset ( <b>8400</b> ) is Hoford Road, a Protected Lane west of Linford.  All the impacts on asset ( <b>8400</b> ) would take place during the construction phase. From the commencement of the operation phase there would be no more	N/A	N/A

ID Number	Source Reference	Value	Operational (and Maintenance) Impact	Mitigation	Significance of Effect
			below ground impacts and so no further impacts on asset (8400).		

# Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Reference
CA	Conservation Area
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
CSE	Cable Sealing End
DCO	Development Consent Order
EACN	East Anglia Connection Node
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
GI	Ground Investigation
HER	Historic Environment Record
HLC	Historic Landscape Characterisation
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
OHL	Overhead Lines
OL	Order Limits
OS	Ordnance Survey
PAS	Portable Antiquities Scheme
ProW	Public Right of Way
SuDS	Sustainable Drainage System(s)
WWII	World War Two

# Glossary

Term	Definition
Baseline	Reference to the report which identifies, describes, and collates the historic environment resource identified from desk-based sources, walkover, and setting survey within the Order Limits and defined Study Areas for the Project.
Conservation Area (CA)	An area of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance as defined in Section 69(1)(a) in the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.
Cropmark	Evidence of the presence of sub-surface archaeological remains through the differential growth in a crop.
Consultation(s)	Meeting(s) with stakeholders, councils, the client, and the public that are required to be conducted at different stages of the Project prior to decision making, to discuss the potential impacts made by the Project on designated and non-designated heritage assets within the Order Limits and Study Areas.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	An assessment of the likely effects of a development project on the environment, which is reported in an Environmental Statement that is publicised and consulted on and taken into account in the decision on whether a project should proceed.
Environmental Statement (ES)	The main output from the EIA process, an ES is the report required to accompany an application for development consent (under the Infrastructure Planning (EIA) Regulations 2017) to inform public and stakeholder consultation and the decision on whether a project should be allowed to proceed. The EIA Regulations set out specific requirements for the contents of an ES for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.
Designated Heritage Asset	Assets which have been attributed certain degrees of importance, that include listed buildings, World Heritage Sites, registered parks and gardens, scheduled monuments, and conservation areas.
Geophysical Survey	A non-destructive survey method in which specialist equipment is used to map subsurface features and conditions by measuring the physical properties of the earth. The imagery produced through this survey provides valuable information in the form of charted data and images that are then analysed and interpreted by a specialist.
Historic buildings	Architectural, designed, or other structures with a significant historical value. These may include structures that have no aesthetic appeal or structures not usually thought of as buildings, such as milestones or bridges.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
Historic Environment Record	Information services that seek to provide access to comprehensive and dynamic resources relating to the historic environment of a defined geographic area for public benefit and use.
Impact(s)	The degree of change to the asset and its setting caused by the Project and which is graded through the magnitude of impact criteria.
Listed building	A measure of a building's special architectural and historic interest. There are three categories of listed buildings, Grade I, II* and II depending on the level of interest.
Non-Designated Heritage Asset	Assets such as buildings, objects, monuments, sites, places, areas, and landscapes, and information from the Historic Environment Record that are recognised by local authorities as having heritage significance but that are not protected formally by law like a designated heritage asset it. Non-designated heritage assets are values for their contribution to the character and identity of the locality, and their historical, architectural, artistic, and/or archaeological interest.
Registered park and garden	A park or garden included on Historic England's Register of Historic Parks and Gardens. Sites are graded I, II* or II like listed buildings.
Scheduled monument	An historic building or site whose heritage interest is nationally important, that is included in the Schedule of Monuments kept by the Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport. Covered by the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979.
Scoping Opinion	A document which informs the scope of the EIA for the Project.
Setting	The surroundings in which a heritage asset or landscape designation is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.
Setting Survey	The desk-based and on-site assessment of the setting of scoped-in designated and non-designated heritage assets that are or may be impacted by the Project. This survey assesses physical, audible and visual impacts to the asset's setting that could be affected by the Project.
Significance	The collective term for the sum of all the heritage values that are attached to a place (e.g. a building, archaeological site, larger historic area, etc.) which is then assessed.
Site Walkover	The on-site assessment by members of the cultural heritage team of the scoped-in areas of land and assets that are in the Order Limits and Study Area, and that are impacted by the Project. The assessment aims to confirm or discover any unrecorded heritage assets, land use, land type, field boundaries, modern disturbance, and hazards that are within the vicinity of the Order Limits and Study Areas.

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
Study Area	The Study Area is a set distance of search parameters (250 m to 3 km) based on professional judgement, and which provides historical context of the historical environment within these set distances.
Value	A descriptor of the importance of a heritage asset which derives from the asset's ability to illustrate one or more of the Conservation Principles (Historic England, 2008).

# Bibliography

- Babergh District Council (2023) *Babergh and Mid Suffolk Development Plan*
- Basildon Council (2007) *Basildon District Local Plan*
- Braintree District Council (2022) *Braintree District Local Plan*
- Brentwood Council (2022) *Brentwood Local Plan*
- Broadland and South Norfolk (2015) *South Norfolk Local Plan*
- Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA) (2020) *Standard and guidance for Historic Environment desk-based assessment*
- Chelmsford City Council (2020) *Chelmsford Local Plan: Policy S3 Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment*
- Colchester City Council (2022) *Colchester Borough Local Plan*
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) (2024a) *Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)*
- Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (DESNZ) (2024b) *National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5)*
- Epping Forest District Council (2023) *Epping Forest Local Plan 2011 – 2033 Policy DM7 Historic Environment*
- Gravesham Borough Council (2014) *Gravesham Local Plan: Policy CS20 Heritage and the Historic Environment*
- Historic England (2008) *Conservation Principles Policies and Guidance for the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment*
- Historic England (2015) *Managing Significance in Decision-Taking in the Historic Environment. Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning*
- Historic England (2017) *The Setting of Heritage Assets: Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning Note 3 (Second Edition)*. (Online) Available at: <https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/gpa3-setting-of-heritage-assets/heag180-gpa3-setting-heritage-assets/>
- Historic England (2019) *Statements of Heritage Significance: Analysing Significance in Heritage Assets. Historic England Advice Note 12*
- Ipswich Borough Council (2020) *Ipswich Local Plan 2018 – 2036*
- Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) (2021) *Principles of Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment in the UK*
- Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) (2022) *IEMA Climate Change Adaptation Practitioner Guidance*
- International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS) (2011) *Guidance on Heritage Impact Assessments for Cultural World Heritage Properties*
- Maldon District Council (2017) *Maldon Local Development Plan 2014-2029*

Medway Council (2003) *Medway Local Plan*

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (2024). *National Planning Policy Framework*

National Highways (2020) *Design Manual for Roads and Bridges [DMRB] LA 106 Cultural Heritage Assessment*

Natural England (2009) *European Landscape Convention's (ELC), Integrating the European Landscape Convention Parts 1-3*

Natural England (2023) *Ancient Woodland Inventory Update Project (Provisional) for England 2023*

Norwich City Council (2014) *Norwich Local Plan*

Tendring District Council (2007) *Tendring District Local Plan*

Thurrock Council (2015) *Thurrock Local Development Framework*

Uttlesford District Council (2005) *Uttlesford Local Plan*

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) (2022) *Guidance and Toolkit for Impact Assessment in a World Heritage Context*

United Kingdom Government (2019) *Planning Practice Guidance: Historic Environment*

National Grid plc  
National Grid House,  
Warwick Technology Park,  
Gallows Hill, Warwick.  
CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales  
No. 4031152  
[nationalgrid.com](http://nationalgrid.com)